Lecture Three

Stories of Complex Sociotechnical Systems: Measurement, Mechanisms, and Meaning Lipari Summer School, Summer, 2012

Prof. Peter Dodds

Department of Mathematics & Statistics | Center for Complex Systems | Vermont Advanced Computing Center | University of Vermont



Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Outline

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQ @ 2 of 137

Alan Greenspan (September 18, 2007):

"I've been dealing with these big mathematical models of forecasting the economy ...

If I could figure out a way to determine whether or not people are more fearful or changing to more euphoric,

don't need any of this other stuff.

could forecast the economy better than my way I know."



http://wikipedia.org

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Alan Greenspan (September 18, 2007):

"I've been dealing with these big mathematical models of forecasting the economy ...

- If I could figure out a way to determine whether or not people are more fearful or changing to more euphoric,
- don't need any of this other stuff.
- could forecast the economy better than any way I know."



http://wikipedia.org

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Alan Greenspan (September 18, 2007):

"I've been dealing with these big mathematical models of forecasting the economy ...

If I could figure out a way to determine whether or not people are more fearful or changing to more euphoric,

don't need any of this other stuff.

could forecast the economy better than ny way I know."



http://wikipedia.org

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Alan Greenspan (September 18, 2007):

"I've been dealing with these big mathematical models of forecasting the economy ...

If I could figure out a way to determine whether or not people are more fearful or changing to more euphoric,

I don't need any of this other stuff.



http://wikipedia.org

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Winning: it's not for everyone

Simple disease





Alan Greenspan (September 18, 2007):

"I've been dealing with these big mathematical models of forecasting the economy ...

If I could figure out a way to determine whether or not people are more fearful or changing to more euphoric,

I don't need any of this other stuff.

I could forecast the economy better than any way I know."





http://wikipedia.org

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



VERMONT

Greenspan continues:

"The trouble is that we can't figure that out. I've been in the forecasting business for 50 years. I'm no better than I ever was, and nobody else is. Forecasting 50 years ago was as good or as bad as it is today. And the reason is that human nature hasn't changed. We can't improve ourselves."



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Greenspan continues:

"The trouble is that we can't figure that out. I've been in the forecasting business for 50 years. I'm no better than I ever was, and nobody else is. Forecasting 50 years ago was as good or as bad as it is today. And the reason is that human nature hasn't changed. We can't improve ourselves."



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Greenspan continues:

"The trouble is that we can't figure that out. I've been in the forecasting business for 50 years. I'm no better than I ever was, and nobody else is. Forecasting 50 years ago was as good or as bad as it is today. And the reason is that human nature hasn't changed. We can't improve ourselves."



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Greenspan continues:

"The trouble is that we can't figure that out. I've been in the forecasting business for 50 years. I'm no better than I ever was, and nobody else is. Forecasting 50 years ago was as good or as bad as it is today. And the reason is that human nature hasn't changed. We can't improve ourselves."



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Greenspan continues:

"The trouble is that we can't figure that out. I've been in the forecasting business for 50 years. I'm no better than I ever was, and nobody else is. Forecasting 50 years ago was as good or as bad as it is today. And the reason is that human nature hasn't changed. We can't improve

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQ @ 4 of 137

Greenspan continues:

"The trouble is that we can't figure that out. I've been in the forecasting business for 50 years. I'm no better than I ever was, and nobody else is. Forecasting 50 years ago was as good or as bad as it is today. And the reason is that human nature hasn't changed. We can't improve ourselves."



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQ @ 4 of 137

Greenspan continues:

"The trouble is that we can't figure that out. I've been in the forecasting business for 50 years. I'm no better than I ever was, and nobody else is. Forecasting 50 years ago was as good or as bad as it is today. And the reason is that human nature hasn't changed. We can't improve ourselves."



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Greenspan continues:

"The trouble is that we can't figure that out. I've been in the forecasting business for 50 years. I'm no better than I ever was, and nobody else is. Forecasting 50 years ago was as good or as bad as it is today. And the reason is that human nature hasn't changed. We can't improve ourselves."

From the Daily Show (⊞) (September 18, 2007)

Jon Stewart:

"You just bummed the @*!# out of me."

• The full inteview is here (\boxplus) .



wildbluffmedia.com

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





DQ @ 4 of 137

James K. Galbraith:

NYT But there are at least 15,000 professional economists in this country, and you're saying only two or three of them foresaw the mortgage crisis?

NYT What does that say about the field of economics, which claims to be a science?

From the New York Times, 11/02/2008 (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





James K. Galbraith:

NYT But there are at least 15,000 professional economists in this country, and you're saying only two or three of them foresaw the mortgage crisis?

YT What does that say about the field of economics which claims to be a science?

From the New York Times, 11/02/2008 (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





James K. Galbraith:

NYT But there are at least 15,000 professional economists in this country, and you're saying only two or three of them foresaw the mortgage crisis? [JKG] Ten or 12 would be closer than two or three.

IYT What does that say about the field of economics which claims to be a science?

From the New York Times, 11/02/2008 (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





James K. Galbraith:

NYT But there are at least 15,000 professional economists in this country, and you're saying only two or three of them foresaw the mortgage crisis? [JKG] Ten or 12 would be closer than two or three.

NYT What does that say about the field of economics, which claims to be a science?

From the New York Times, 11/02/2008 (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



200 5 of 137

James K. Galbraith:

NYT But there are at least 15,000 professional economists in this country, and you're saying only two or three of them foresaw the mortgage crisis? [JKG] Ten or 12 would be closer than two or three.

NYT What does that say about the field of economics, which claims to be a science? [JKG] It's an enormous blot on the reputation of the profession.

From the New York Times, 11/02/2008 (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



200 5 of 137

James K. Galbraith:

NYT But there are at least 15,000 professional economists in this country, and you're saying only two or three of them foresaw the mortgage crisis? [JKG] Ten or 12 would be closer than two or three.

NYT What does that say about the field of economics, which claims to be a science? [JKG] It's an enormous blot on the reputation of the profession. There are thousands of economists. Most of them teach.

From the New York Times, 11/02/2008 (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



James K. Galbraith:

NYT But there are at least 15,000 professional economists in this country, and you're saying only two or three of them foresaw the mortgage crisis? [JKG] Ten or 12 would be closer than two or three.

NYT What does that say about the field of economics, which claims to be a science? [JKG] It's an enormous blot on the reputation of the profession. There are thousands of economists. Most of them teach. And most of them teach a theoretical framework that has been shown to be fundamentally useless.

From the New York Times, 11/02/2008 (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Standard frame:

Locally selfish behavior \rightarrow collective cooperation.

Different frame:

Locally moral/fair behaviour \rightarrow collective bad actions.

- So why do we study frame 1 instead of frame 2?
- Tragedy of the Commons is one example of frame 2.
- Better question: Who is it that studies frame 1 over frame 2...?

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Standard frame:

Locally selfish behavior \rightarrow collective cooperation.

Different frame:

 $\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Locally moral/fair behaviour} \\ \rightarrow \mbox{ collective bad actions.} \end{array}$

- So why do we study frame 1 instead of frame 2?
- Tragedy of the Commons is one example of frame 2.
- Better question: Who is it that studies frame 1 over frame 2...?

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Standard frame:

Locally selfish behavior \rightarrow collective cooperation.

Different frame:

Locally moral/fair behaviour \rightarrow collective bad actions.

- So why do we study frame 1 instead of frame 2?
- Tragedy of the Commons is one example of frame 2.

Better question: Who is it that studies frame 1 over frame 2...? Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Standard frame:

Locally selfish behavior \rightarrow collective cooperation.

Different frame:

Locally moral/fair behaviour \rightarrow collective bad actions.

- So why do we study frame 1 instead of frame 2?
- Tragedy of the Commons is one example of frame 2.

Better question: Who is it that studies frame 1 over frame 2...? Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Standard frame:

Locally selfish behavior \rightarrow collective cooperation.

Different frame:

Locally moral/fair behaviour \rightarrow collective bad actions.

- So why do we study frame 1 instead of frame 2?
- Tragedy of the Commons is one example of frame 2.
- Better question: Who is it that studies frame 1 over frame 2...?

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Homo Economicus

 'What makes people think like Economists? Evidence on Economic Cognition from the "Survey of Americans and Economists on the Economy" '^[8] Bryan Caplan, Journal of Law and Economics, 2001

People behave like Homo economicus:

- 1. if they are well educated,
- 2. if they are male,
- 3. if their real income rose over the last 5 years,
- 4. if they expect their real income to rise over the next 5 years,
- 5. if they have a high degree of job security,
- 6. but not because of high income nor ideological conservatism.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQ @ 7 of 137

Homo Economicus

 'What makes people think like Economists? Evidence on Economic Cognition from the "Survey of Americans and Economists on the Economy" '^[8] Bryan Caplan, Journal of Law and Economics, 2001

People behave like Homo economicus:

- 1. if they are well educated,
- 2. if they are male,
- 3. if their real income rose over the last 5 years,
- if they expect their real income to rise over the next 5 years,
- 5. if they have a high degree of job security,
- 6. but not because of high income nor ideological conservatism.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQ @ 7 of 137

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





Questions used in a recent study by Norton and Ariely: ^[29]

- What percentage of all wealth is owned by individuals grouped into quintiles?
- How do people believe wealth is distributed?
- How do people believe wealth should be distributed?

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





Questions used in a recent study by Norton and Ariely: ^[29]

- What percentage of all wealth is owned by individuals grouped into quintiles?
- How do people believe wealth is distributed?

How do people believe wealth should be distributed?



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Questions used in a recent study by Norton and Ariely: ^[29]

- What percentage of all wealth is owned by individuals grouped into quintiles?
- How do people believe wealth is distributed?

How do people believe wealth should be distributed?



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt

Questions used in a recent study by Norton and Ariely: ^[29]

- What percentage of all wealth is owned by individuals grouped into quintiles?
- How do people believe wealth is distributed?
- How do people believe wealth should be distributed?





VERMONT

Fig. 2. The actual United States wealth distribution plotted against the estimated and ideal distributions across all respondents. Because of their small percentage share of total wealth, both the "4th 20%" value (0.2%) and the "Bottom 20%" value (0.1%) are not visible in the "Actual" distribution.

Top 20% = 2nd 20% = Middle 20% = 4th 20% = Bottom 20%



Percent Wealth Owned

Fig. 3. The actual United States wealth distribution plotted against the estimated and ideal distributions of respondents of different income levels, political affiliations, and genders. Because of their small percentage share of total wealth, both the "4th 20%" value (0.2%) and the "Bottom 20%" value (0.1%) are not visible in the "Actual" distribution. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





This is a Collateralized Debt Obligation:
A confusion of contagions:

- Was Harry Potter some kind of virus?
- What about Vampires?
- Did Sudoku spread like a disease?
- Language? The alphabet?^{[17}
- Religion?
- ► Democracy...?

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



A confusion of contagions:

- Was Harry Potter some kind of virus?
- What about Vampires?
- Did Sudoku spread like a disease?
- Language? The alphabet?^{[17}
- Religion?
- Democracy...?

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



A confusion of contagions:

- Was Harry Potter some kind of virus?
- What about Vampires?
- Did Sudoku spread like a disease?
- Language? The alphabet?^{[17}
- Religion?
- Democracy...?

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



A confusion of contagions:

- Was Harry Potter some kind of virus?
- What about Vampires?
- Did Sudoku spread like a disease?
- Language? The alphabet?^[1]
- Religion?
- ▶ Democracy...?

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



A confusion of contagions:

- Was Harry Potter some kind of virus?
- What about Vampires?
- Did Sudoku spread like a disease?
- Language? The alphabet?^[17]
- Religion?
- ► Democracy...?

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



A confusion of contagions:

- Was Harry Potter some kind of virus?
- What about Vampires?
- Did Sudoku spread like a disease?
- Language? The alphabet?^[17]
- Religion?
- ► Democracy...?

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



A confusion of contagions:

- Was Harry Potter some kind of virus?
- What about Vampires?
- Did Sudoku spread like a disease?
- Language? The alphabet?^[17]
- Religion?
- Democracy...?

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Naturomorphisms

- "The feeling was contagious."
- "The news spread like wildfire."
- "Freedom is the most contagious virus known to man."
 - —Hubert H. Humphrey, Johnson's vice president
- "Nothing is so contagious as enthusiasm."
 —Samuel Taylor Coleridge

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





UNIVERSITY

Naturomorphisms

- "The feeling was contagious."
- "The news spread like wildfire."
- "Freedom is the most contagious virus known to man."
 - —Hubert H. Humphrey, Johnson's vice president
- "Nothing is so contagious as enthusiasm."
 —Samuel Taylor Coleridge

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Naturomorphisms

- "The feeling was contagious."
- "The news spread like wildfire."
- "Freedom is the most contagious virus known to man."
 - -Hubert H. Humphrey, Johnson's vice president
- "Nothing is so contagious as enthusiasm."
 —Samuel Taylor Coleridge

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



na (~ 13 of 137

Naturomorphisms

- "The feeling was contagious."
- "The news spread like wildfire."
- "Freedom is the most contagious virus known to man."
 - -Hubert H. Humphrey, Johnson's vice president
- "Nothing is so contagious as enthusiasm."
 —Samuel Taylor Coleridge

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



NIVERSITY 9

Naturomorphisms

- "The feeling was contagious."
- "The news spread like wildfire."
- "Freedom is the most contagious virus known to man."
 - -Hubert H. Humphrey, Johnson's vice president
- "Nothing is so contagious as enthusiasm."
 —Samuel Taylor Coleridge

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



NIVERSITY 5

Eric Hoffer, 1902–1983

There is a grandeur in the uniformity of the mass.

Hoffer (III) was an interesting fellow.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Eric Hoffer, 1902–1983

There is a grandeur in the uniformity of the mass. When a fashion, a dance, a song, a slogan or a joke

Hoffer (III) was an interesting fellow.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





Dac 14 of 137

Eric Hoffer, 1902–1983

There is a grandeur in the uniformity of the mass. When a fashion, a dance, a song, a slogan or a joke sweeps like wildfire from one end of the continent to the other,

Hoffer (⊞) was an interesting fellow.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Dac 14 of 137

Eric Hoffer, 1902–1983

There is a grandeur in the uniformity of the mass. When a fashion, a dance, a song, a slogan or a joke sweeps like wildfire from one end of the continent to the other, and a hundred million people roar with laughter,

Hoffer (III) was an interesting fellow.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQ @ 14 of 137

Eric Hoffer, 1902–1983

There is a grandeur in the uniformity of the mass. When a fashion, a dance, a song, a slogan or a joke sweeps like wildfire from one end of the continent to the other, and a hundred million people roar with laughter, sway their bodies in unison,

Hoffer (III) was an interesting fellow.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQ @ 14 of 137

Eric Hoffer, 1902–1983

There is a grandeur in the uniformity of the mass. When a fashion, a dance, a song, a slogan or a joke sweeps like wildfire from one end of the continent to the other, and a hundred million people roar with laughter, sway their bodies in unison, hum one song or break forth in anger and denunciation,

Hoffer (III) was an interesting fellow.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQC 14 of 137

Eric Hoffer, 1902–1983

There is a grandeur in the uniformity of the mass. When a fashion, a dance, a song, a slogan or a joke sweeps like wildfire from one end of the continent to the other, and a hundred million people roar with laughter, sway their bodies in unison, hum one song or break forth in anger and denunciation, there is the overpowering feeling that in this country we have come nearer the brotherhood of man than ever before.

Hoffer (⊞) was an interesting fellow...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Eric Hoffer, 1902–1983

There is a grandeur in the uniformity of the mass. When a fashion, a dance, a song, a slogan or a joke sweeps like wildfire from one end of the continent to the other, and a hundred million people roar with laughter, sway their bodies in unison, hum one song or break forth in anger and denunciation, there is the overpowering feeling that in this country we have come nearer the brotherhood of man than ever before.

► Hoffer (⊞) was an interesting fellow...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Dac 14 of 137

Hoffer's acclaimed work: "The True Believer: Thoughts On The Nature Of Mass Movements" (1951)^[20]

Quotes-aplenty:

- "We can be absolutely certain only about things we do not understand."
- "Mass movements can rise and spread without belief in a God, but never without belief in a devil."
- "Where freedom is real, equality is the passion of the masses. Where equality is real, freedom is the passion of a small minority."

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Hoffer's acclaimed work: "The True Believer: Thoughts On The Nature Of Mass Movements" (1951)^[20]

Quotes-aplenty:

- "We can be absolutely certain only about things we do not understand."
- "Mass movements can rise and spread without belief in a God, but never without belief in a devil."
- "Where freedom is real, equality is the passion of the masses. Where equality is real, freedom is the passion of a small minority."

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Hoffer's acclaimed work: "The True Believer: Thoughts On The Nature Of Mass Movements" (1951)^[20]

Quotes-aplenty:

- "We can be absolutely certain only about things we do not understand."
- "Mass movements can rise and spread without belief in a God, but never without belief in a devil."
- "Where freedom is real, equality is the passion of the masses. Where equality is real, freedom is the passion of a small minority."

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Hoffer's acclaimed work: "The True Believer: Thoughts On The Nature Of Mass Movements" (1951)^[20]

Quotes-aplenty:

- "We can be absolutely certain only about things we do not understand."
- "Mass movements can rise and spread without belief in a God, but never without belief in a devil."
- "Where freedom is real, equality is the passion of the masses. Where equality is real, freedom is the passion of a small minority."

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Hoffer's acclaimed work: "The True Believer: Thoughts On The Nature Of Mass Movements" (1951)^[20]

Quotes-aplenty:

- "We can be absolutely certain only about things we do not understand."
- "Mass movements can rise and spread without belief in a God, but never without belief in a devil."
- "Where freedom is real, equality is the passion of the masses. Where equality is real, freedom is the passion of a small minority."

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Imitation



THEY LISUALLY IMITATE FACH OTHER

www.despair.com

despair.com

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Simple disease spreading models



"When people are free to do as they please, they usually imitate each other."

-Eric Hoffer "The Passionate State of Mind"^[21]

The collective...



IDIOCY NEVER UNDERESTIMATE THE POWER OF STUPID PEOPLE IN LARGE GROUPS.

www.despair.com

despair.com

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

"Never Underestimate the Power of Stupid People in Large

Groups."

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Definitions

- (1) The spreading of a quality or quantity between individuals in a population.
- (2) A disease itself: the plague, a blight, the dreaded lurgi, ...
- from Latin: con = 'together with' + tangere 'to touch.'
- Contagion has unpleasant overtones...
- Just Spreading might be a more neutral word
- But contagion is kind of exciting...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Definitions

- (1) The spreading of a quality or quantity between individuals in a population.
- (2) A disease itself: the plague, a blight, the dreaded lurgi, ...
- from Latin: con = 'together with' + tangere 'to touch.'
- Contagion has unpleasant overtones...
- Just Spreading might be a more neutral word
- But contagion is kind of exciting...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Definitions

- (1) The spreading of a quality or quantity between individuals in a population.
- (2) A disease itself: the plague, a blight, the dreaded lurgi, ...
- from Latin: con = 'together with' + tangere 'to touch.'
- Contagion has unpleasant overtones..
- Just Spreading might be a more neutral word
- But contagion is kind of exciting...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Definitions

- (1) The spreading of a quality or quantity between individuals in a population.
- (2) A disease itself: the plague, a blight, the dreaded lurgi, ...
- from Latin: con = 'together with' + tangere 'to touch.'
- Contagion has unpleasant overtones...
- Just Spreading might be a more neutral word
- But contagion is kind of exciting...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Definitions

- (1) The spreading of a quality or quantity between individuals in a population.
- (2) A disease itself: the plague, a blight, the dreaded lurgi, ...
- from Latin: con = 'together with' + tangere 'to touch.'
- Contagion has unpleasant overtones...
- Just Spreading might be a more neutral word
- But contagion is kind of exciting...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Definitions

- (1) The spreading of a quality or quantity between individuals in a population.
- (2) A disease itself: the plague, a blight, the dreaded lurgi, ...
- from Latin: con = 'together with' + tangere 'to touch.'
- Contagion has unpleasant overtones...
- Just Spreading might be a more neutral word
- But contagion is kind of exciting...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



NIVERSITY 0

Definitions

- (1) The spreading of a quality or quantity between individuals in a population.
- (2) A disease itself: the plague, a blight, the dreaded lurgi, ...
- from Latin: con = 'together with' + tangere 'to touch.'
- Contagion has unpleasant overtones...
- Just Spreading might be a more neutral word
- But contagion is kind of exciting...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Examples of non-disease spreading:

Interesting infections:

► Spreading of buildings in the US... (⊞)



► Viral get-out-the-vote video. (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Examples of non-disease spreading:

Interesting infections:

► Spreading of buildings in the US... (⊞)



► Viral get-out-the-vote video. (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models




Two main classes of contagion



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Models Network version

Simple disease spreading models



UNIVERSITY

Two main classes of contagion

1. Infectious diseases



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Models Network version

Simple disease spreading models



UNIVERSITY

Two main classes of contagion

- 1. Infectious diseases
- 2. Social contagion



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Models Network version

Simple disease spreading models



Two main classes of contagion

- Infectious diseases: tuberculosis, HIV, ebola, SARS, influenza, ...
- 2. Social contagion



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

Two main classes of contagion

- Infectious diseases: tuberculosis, HIV, ebola, SARS, influenza, ...
- 2. Social contagion: fashion, word usage, rumors, riots, religion, ...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Winning: it's not for everyone

Where do superstars come from?

Rosen (1981): "The Economics of Superstars"

Examples:

- Full-time Comedians (\approx 200)
- Soloists in Classical Music
- Economic Textbooks (the usual myopic example)

Highly skewed distributions (again)...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Winning: it's not for everyone

Where do superstars come from?

Rosen (1981): "The Economics of Superstars"

Examples:

- Full-time Comedians (\approx 200)
- Soloists in Classical Music
- Economic Textbooks (the usual myopic example)
- Highly skewed distributions (again)...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



NIVERSITY 5

Rosen's theory:

- Individual quality q maps to reward R(q)
- R(q) is 'convex' ($d^2 R/dq^2 > 0$)
- Two reasons:
 - 1. Imperfect substitution:
 - 2. Technology:

No social element—success follows 'inherent quality'

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Rosen's theory:

- Individual quality q maps to reward R(q)
- R(q) is 'convex' (d² $R/dq^2 > 0$)
- ► Two reasons:
 - 1. Imperfect substitution:
 - 2. Technology:

No social element—success follows 'inherent quality'

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Rosen's theory:

- Individual quality q maps to reward R(q)
- R(q) is 'convex' (d² $R/dq^2 > 0$)
- Two reasons:
 - 1. Imperfect substitution:

A very good surgeon is worth many mediocre ones

2. Technology:

Media spreads & technology reduces cost of reproduction of books, songs, etc.

No social element—success follows 'inherent quality'

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Rosen's theory:

- Individual quality q maps to reward R(q)
- R(q) is 'convex' (d² $R/dq^2 > 0$)
- Two reasons:
 - 1. Imperfect substitution:

A very good surgeon is worth many mediocre ones

2. Technology:

Media spreads & technology reduces cost of reproduction of books, songs, etc.

No social element—success follows 'inherent quality'

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





VERMONT

DQ @ 23 of 137

Adler (1985): "Stardom and Talent"

- Assumes extreme case of equal 'inherent quality'
- Argues desire for coordination in knowledge and culture leads to differential success
- Success is then purely a social construction



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





Adler (1985): "Stardom and Talent"

- Assumes extreme case of equal 'inherent quality'
- Argues desire for coordination in knowledge and culture leads to differential success

Success is then purely a social construction

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





DQ @ 23 of 137

Adler (1985): "Stardom and Talent"

- Assumes extreme case of equal 'inherent quality'
- Argues desire for coordination in knowledge and culture leads to differential success
- Success is then purely a social construction

Dominance hierarchies

Chase et al. (2002): "Individual differences versus social dynamics in the formation of animal dominance hierarchies"^[11]

The aggressive female Metriaclima zebra (\boxplus) :



Pecking orders for fish...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Dominance hierarchies

Fish forget—changing of dominance hierarchies:



22 observations: about 3/4 of the time, hierarchy changed

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Cerwork versio

Simple disease spreading models







48 songs 30,000 participants

- How probable is a social state?
- Can we estimate variability?

Salganik et al. (2006) "An experimental study of inequality and unpredictability in an artificial cultural market" ^[33]



multiple 'worlds' Inter-world variability Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





🛛 Music Lab – Song Selection - Mozilla Firefox

🤙 • 🔿

File Edit View Go Bookmarks Tools Help

	# of down loads	[Help]	[Log off]	# of down leads		# o dow load
HARTSFIELD: "enough is enough"	20	GO MOREDCAI: "It does what its told"		12	UNDO: "while the world passes"	24
DEEP ENOUGH TO DIE: 'for the sky'	17	PARKER THEORY: "she said"		47	UP FOR NOTHING: "In sight of	13
THE THRIFT SYNDICATE: 2003 a tragedy*	20	MISS OCTOBER: "pink agression"		27	SILVERFOX: "gnaw"	17
THE BROKEN PROMISE: 'the end in friend'	19	POST BREAK TRAGEDY: "fiorence"		14	STRANGER: "one drop"	10
THIS NEW DAWN: 'the belief above the answer"	12	FORTHFADING: 'fear'		24	FAR FROM KNOWN: "route 9"	18
VOONER AT NINE: walk away"	6	THE CALEFACTION: "trapped in an orange peel		20	STUNT MONKEY: "inside out"	46
WORAL HAZARD: waste of my He*	8	52METRO: "lockdown"		17	DANTE: "Hes mystery"	14
NOT FOR SCHOLARS: 'as seasons change"	27	SIMPLY WAITING: "went with the count"		16	FADING THROUGH: "wish me luck"	10
SECRETARY: 'keep your eyes on the ballistics"	5	STAR CLIMBER: "tell me"		38	UNKNOWN CITIZENS: "falling over"	34
ART OF KANLY: 'seductive intro, melodic breakdown"	10	THE FASTLANE: *til death do us part (i dont)		31	BY NOVEMBER: "# i could take you"	20
HYDRAULIC SANDWICH: separation anxiety*	20	A BLINDING SILENCE: "miseries and mitacles"		17	DRAWN IN THE SKY: "tap the ride"	12
EMBER SKY: 'this upcoming winter'	25	SUM RANA: "the bokhevik boogie"		15	SELSIUS: "stars of the city"	22
SALUTE THE DAWN: iam emor	13	CAPE RENEWAL: 'baseball warlock v1'		12	SIBRIAN: "eye patch"	14
RYAN ESSMAKER: detour_(be stil)*	14	UP FALLS DOWN: "a brighter burning star"		11	EVAN GOLD: "robert downey jr"	10
BEERBONG: father to son*	12	SUMMERSWASTED: "a plan behind destruction"		17	BENEFIT OF A DOUBT: "run away"	38
ALL OF FAME: 'best mistakes'	19	SILENT FILM: "all i have to say"		61	SHIPWRECK UNION: "out of the woods"	16

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

- = ×

00

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





Experiment 1

. 🛤 🔿 🖓 🖬 Mabia	www.musiclab	1			
		(Help) (Log.off)			<u></u>
AATSPELS 'enough's enough'	17	CO MINERCAL "Educe what is: 6/6"	12	UNDE	14
DEEP ENDICE TO DE: "Bir die vily"	10	PARKER THEORY.	- 12	UP FOR ROTHING	10
THE THRFT ENGLISH THE '2003 a tagetty'	20	MULCOTORER.	27	LANDRON .	×
THE BROKEN PROMISE The and is based	10	POST BREAK TRACEON "Restor"	34	CTRAACE/v	
THE SERVICENCE OF SHORE	15	TORTWARING THEY	24	FAR FREAKINGING	,
MOONER AT MINE	×.	THE CALESNET ON THE PART	10	STENT MENOLIT: "Inside aut"	
MORAL INCOME.		33HETRO "Inclusion"	27	CABITE. "Birs mysless"	>
IND T FOR SCHOLARS	27	EMPLY WATERS 'were with the score?	-	VADING THIROUGH "with the Act"	
SECREDARY: "Hosp your eyes on the talk rec?"	5	STAR GARGER	38	Use sowe children "bling ever"	,
ARTOF RAN, Y	39	THE FAST AND: "I MUST ID IN 101 THE RINK"	20	OF MOVEMBER: "Transfer being you"	8
NYTRIALIC SANDIACK	77	A BURGHO SALENCE: "Hereins and estades"		"by the side"	23
ENERY SKY. "We up uning when?"	25	SIM KARA. "Ne belahevé kengér"	38	185,5 MT	2
CALLTE THE CANNI "Lan cm/	10	OPE RENEWS.	10	California Taya pon Si	,
Free ESGMADY 'OCCULES INF	14	UP FALLS ODWN 'D Sighter Surring utpr	33	Coat densey F	
BEEVERONG ***	12	SUMERSMASKIZ '2 plan belond de Decimient'	32	DENERITOF A BOUDT	

Experiments 2-4

 		11000
(Help) (Log.off)		
PROXEM THEORY.	210	
THE FAST, AME: "Education de un part 6 dent?"	20	
LELENE. Trans of the city"	-	
Clast Minute "Hade and"	94	
OT NEWERCE: "Frank Site you"	- 55	
COCHEVADORI.		
HOMAILIC SANDHON Turpunden anterty	-	
SALENT PAIA "All have to say"		
UNDO "While the work posses?	ж	
BENETITOF A BOURT. "Net away"	м	
A BURDINE MURICE	<i>P</i>	
HELA DOPOLERIA "Well-approxime"	24	
ATMA GLIMEER	ж	
TAR FROM GOUNT	20	
Test maters	21	
EMILE SKY		



Experiment 2 The second secon

Variability in final rank.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models







Inequality as measured by Gini coefficient:

$$G = rac{1}{(2N_{
m s}-1)}\sum_{i=1}^{N_{
m s}}\sum_{j=1}^{N_{
m s}}|m_i-m_j|$$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models







Unpredictability

$$U = \frac{1}{N_{s}\binom{N_{w}}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{s}} \sum_{j=1}^{N_{w}} \sum_{k=j+1}^{N_{w}} |m_{i,j} - m_{i,k}|$$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Sensible result:

 Stronger social signal leads to greater following and greater inequality.

Peculiar result:

Stronger social signal leads to greater unpredictability.

Very peculiar observation:

- The most unequal distributions would suggest the greatest variation in underlying 'quality.'
- But success may be due to social construction through following.
- 'Payola' leads to poor system performance

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



VERMONT

Sensible result:

 Stronger social signal leads to greater following and greater inequality.

Peculiar result:

 Stronger social signal leads to greater unpredictability.

Very peculiar observation:

- The most unequal distributions would suggest the greatest variation in underlying 'quality.'
- But success may be due to social construction through following.
- 'Payola' leads to poor system performance

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



University S

Sensible result:

 Stronger social signal leads to greater following and greater inequality.

Peculiar result:

 Stronger social signal leads to greater unpredictability.

Very peculiar observation:

- The most unequal distributions would suggest the greatest variation in underlying 'quality.'
- But success may be due to social construction through following.
- 'Payola' leads to poor system performance.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Sensible result:

 Stronger social signal leads to greater following and greater inequality.

Peculiar result:

 Stronger social signal leads to greater unpredictability.

Very peculiar observation:

- The most unequal distributions would suggest the greatest variation in underlying 'quality.'
- But success may be due to social construction through following.

'Payola' leads to poor system performance.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Sensible result:

 Stronger social signal leads to greater following and greater inequality.

Peculiar result:

 Stronger social signal leads to greater unpredictability.

Very peculiar observation:

- The most unequal distributions would suggest the greatest variation in underlying 'quality.'
- But success may be due to social construction through following.
- 'Payola' leads to poor system performance.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Music Lab Experiment—Sneakiness



Inversion of download count

► The 'pretend rich' get richer ..

... but at a slower rate

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Music Lab Experiment—Sneakiness



- Inversion of download count
- The 'pretend rich' get richer ...
- ... but at a slower rate

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Music Lab Experiment—Sneakiness



- Inversion of download count
- The 'pretend rich' get richer ...
- ... but at a slower rate

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





LOOK AT THESE PEOPLE. GLASSY-EYED AUTOMATONS GOING ABOUT THEIR DAILY LIVES, NEVER STOPPING TO LOOK AROUND AND *THINK!* I'M THE ONLY CONSCIOUS HUMAN IN A WORLD OF SHEEP.



http://xkcd.com/610/ (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models







Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Der Co 35 of 137



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Examples abound

- fashion
- striking
- ► smoking (⊞) ^[13]
- residential segregation ^[34]
- ipods
- ▶ obesity (⊞) ^[12]

- Harry Potter
- voting
- gossip
- Rubik's cube \$\visits\$
- religious beliefs
- leaving lectures

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version

Simple disease

spreading models

References

dt

SIR and SIRS contagion possible

Classes of behavior versus specific behavior



Examples abound

- fashion
- striking
- ► smoking (⊞) ^[13]
- residential segregation^[34]
- ipods
- ▶ obesity (⊞) [12]

- Harry Potter
- voting
- gossip
- 🕨 Rubik's cube 🕸
- religious beliefs
- leaving lectures

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt

SIR and SIRS contagion possible

Classes of behavior versus specific behavior



Examples abound

- fashion
- striking
- ▶ smoking (⊞) ^[13]
- residential segregation ^[34]
- ipods
- ▶ obesity (⊞) ^[12]

- Harry Potter
- voting
- gossip
- Rubik's cube \$\visits\$
- religious beliefs
- leaving lectures

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version

Simple disease

References

dt

SIR and SIRS contagion possible

Classes of behavior versus specific behavior


Examples abound

- fashion
- striking
- ► smoking (⊞) ^[13]
- residential segregation^[34]
- ipods
- ▶ obesity (⊞) ^[12]

- Harry Potter
- voting
- gossip
- Rubik's cube \$\visits\$
- religious beliefs
- leaving lectures

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt

SIR and SIRS contagion possible

Classes of behavior versus specific behavior: dieting



Two focuses for us:

- Widespread media influence
- Word-of-mouth influence

We need to understand influence:

- Who influences whom?
- What kinds of influence response functions are there?
 - (see Romero et al. ^[31], Ugander et al. ^[39])
- Are some individuals super influencers?
- The infectious idea of opinion leaders (Katz and Lazarsfeld)^[22]

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Two focuses for us:

- Widespread media influence
- Word-of-mouth influence

We need to understand influence:

- Who influences whom?
- What kinds of influence response functions are there?
 - (see Romero et al. ^[31], Ugander et al. ^[39])
- Are some individuals super influencers?
- The infectious idea of opinion leaders (Katz and Lazarsfeld)^[22]

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



VERMONT

Two focuses for us:

- Widespread media influence
- Word-of-mouth influence

We need to understand influence:

- Who influences whom?
- What kinds of influence response functions are there?
 - (see Romero et al. ^[31], Ugander et al. ^[39])
- Are some individuals super influencers?
- The infectious idea of opinion leaders (Katz and Lazarsfeld)^[22]

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



VERMONT

Two focuses for us:

- Widespread media influence
- Word-of-mouth influence

We need to understand influence:

- Who influences whom?
- What kinds of influence response functions are there?
 - (see Romero et al. ^[31], Ugander et al. ^[39])
- Are some individuals super influencers?
- The infectious idea of opinion leaders (Katz and Lazarsfeld)^[22]

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





UNIVERSITY

Two focuses for us:

- Widespread media influence
- Word-of-mouth influence

We need to understand influence:

- Who influences whom?
- What kinds of influence response functions are there?
 (ass Remove et al. [31] Usender et al. [39])
- Are some individuals super influencers?
- The infectious idea of opinion leaders (Katz and Lazarsfeld)^[22]

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Two focuses for us:

- Widespread media influence
- Word-of-mouth influence

We need to understand influence:

- Who influences whom? Very hard to measure...
- What kinds of influence response functions are there?
 (see Romero et al. ^[31], Ugander et al. ^[39])
- Are some individuals super influencers?
- The infectious idea of opinion leaders (Katz and Lazarsfeld)^[22]

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References



VERMONT

Two focuses for us:

- Widespread media influence
- Word-of-mouth influence

We need to understand influence:

- Who influences whom? Very hard to measure...
- What kinds of influence response functions are there?

(see Romero et al. [31], Ugander et al. [39])

Are some individuals super influencers?

 The infectious idea of opinion leaders (Katz and Lazarsfeld)^[22] Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References



VERMONT

Two focuses for us:

- Widespread media influence
- Word-of-mouth influence

We need to understand influence:

- Who influences whom? Very hard to measure...
- What kinds of influence response functions are there?

(see Romero et al. ^[31], Ugander et al. ^[39])

- Are some individuals super influencers?
- The infectious idea of opinion leaders (Katz and Lazarsfeld)^[22]

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Simple disease



Two focuses for us:

- Widespread media influence
- Word-of-mouth influence

We need to understand influence:

- Who influences whom? Very hard to measure...
- What kinds of influence response functions are there?

(see Romero et al. [31], Ugander et al. [39])

- Are some individuals super influencers?
 Highly popularized by Gladwell^[16] as 'connectors'
- The infectious idea of opinion leaders (Katz and Lazarsfeld)^[22]

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Simple disease



Two focuses for us:

- Widespread media influence
- Word-of-mouth influence

We need to understand influence:

- Who influences whom? Very hard to measure...
- What kinds of influence response functions are there?

(see Romero et al. [31], Ugander et al. [39])

- Are some individuals super influencers?
 Highly popularized by Gladwell^[16] as 'connectors'
- The infectious idea of opinion leaders (Katz and Lazarsfeld)^[22]

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Simple disease spreading models



The hypodermic model of influence



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The two step model of influence [22]



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The general model of influence



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References





DQC 41 of 137

Why do things spread?

- Because of special individuals?
- Or system level properties?
- Is the match that lights the fire important?
- Yes. But only because we are narrative-making machines...
- We like to think things happened for reasons...
- Reasons for success are usually ascribed to intrinsic properties (e.g., Mona Lisa)
- System/group properties harder to understand—-no natural frame/metaphor
- Always good to examine what is said before and after the fact...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Why do things spread?

- Because of special individuals?
- Or system level properties?
- Is the match that lights the fire important?
- Yes. But only because we are narrative-making machines...
- We like to think things happened for reasons...
- Reasons for success are usually ascribed to intrinsic properties (e.g., Mona Lisa)
- System/group properties harder to understand—-no natural frame/metaphor
- Always good to examine what is said before and after the fact...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mor Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Why do things spread?

- Because of special individuals?
- Or system level properties?
- Is the match that lights the fire important?
- Yes. But only because we are narrative-making machines...
- We like to think things happened for reasons...
- Reasons for success are usually ascribed to intrinsic properties (e.g., Mona Lisa)
- System/group properties harder to understand—-no natural frame/metaphor
- Always good to examine what is said before and after the fact...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mor Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Why do things spread?

- Because of special individuals?
- Or system level properties?
- Is the match that lights the fire important?
- Yes. But only because we are narrative-making machines...
- We like to think things happened for reasons...
- Reasons for success are usually ascribed to intrinsic properties (e.g., Mona Lisa)
- System/group properties harder to understand—no natural frame/metaphor
- Always good to examine what is said before and after the fact...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Why do things spread?

- Because of special individuals?
- Or system level properties?
- Is the match that lights the fire important?
- Yes. But only because we are narrative-making machines...
- We like to think things happened for reasons...
- Reasons for success are usually ascribed to intrinsic properties (e.g., Mona Lisa)
- System/group properties harder to understand—no natural frame/metaphor
- Always good to examine what is said before and after the fact...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Why do things spread?

- Because of special individuals?
- Or system level properties?
- Is the match that lights the fire important?
- Yes. But only because we are narrative-making machines...
- We like to think things happened for reasons...
- Reasons for success are usually ascribed to intrinsic properties (e.g., Mona Lisa)
- System/group properties harder to understand—-no natural frame/metaphor
- Always good to examine what is said before and after the fact...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Why do things spread?

- Because of special individuals?
- Or system level properties?
- Is the match that lights the fire important?
- Yes. But only because we are narrative-making machines...
- We like to think things happened for reasons...
- Reasons for success are usually ascribed to intrinsic properties (e.g., Mona Lisa)
- System/group properties harder to understand—-no natural frame/metaphor
- Always good to examine what is said before and after the fact...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



Why do things spread?

- Because of special individuals?
- Or system level properties?
- Is the match that lights the fire important?
- Yes. But only because we are narrative-making machines...
- We like to think things happened for reasons...
- Reasons for success are usually ascribed to intrinsic properties (e.g., Mona Lisa)
- System/group properties harder to understand—-no natural frame/metaphor
- Always good to examine what is said before and after the fact...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



Why do things spread?

- Because of special individuals?
- Or system level properties?
- Is the match that lights the fire important?
- Yes. But only because we are narrative-making machines...
- We like to think things happened for reasons...
- Reasons for success are usually ascribed to intrinsic properties (e.g., Mona Lisa)
- System/group properties harder to understand—-no natural frame/metaphor
- Always good to examine what is said before and after the fact...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References

From Pratchett's "Lords and Ladies": Granny Weatherwax (⊞) on trying to borrow the mind of a swarm of bees—

"But a swarm, a mind made up of thousands of mobile parts, was beyond her. It was the toughest test of all. She'd tried over and over again to ride on one, to see the world through ten thousand pairs of multifaceted eyes all at once, and all she'd ever got was a migraine and an inclination to make love to flowers."

(p. 42). Harper Collins, Inc. Kindle Edition.





 "Becoming Mona Lisa: The Making of a Global Icon"—David Sassoon

Not the world's greatest painting from the star
 Escalation through theft, vandalism,

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Simple disease spreading models







 "Becoming Mona Lisa: The Making of a Global Icon"—David Sassoon

Not the world's greatest painting from the start...

Escalation through theft, vandalism,

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models







 "Becoming Mona Lisa: The Making of a Global Icon"—David Sassoon

Not the world's greatest painting from the start...

Escalation through theft, vandalism,

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Simple disease spreading models







- "Becoming Mona Lisa: The Making of a Global Icon"—David Sassoon
- Not the world's greatest painting from the start...
- Escalation through theft, vandalism, parody, ...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Simple disease spreading models







 "Becoming Mona Lisa: The Making of a Global Icon"—David Sassoon

Not the world's greatest painting from the start...

Escalation through theft, vandalism, parody, ...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The completely unpredicted fall of Eastern Europe



Timur Kuran: ^[26, 27] "Now Out of Never: The Element of Surprise in the East European Revolution of 1989"

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References





DQ @ 45 of 137

The dismal predictive powers of editors...



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



the set

From 'Influence'^[14] by Robert Cialdini (⊞)

Six modes of influence:

- Reciprocation: The Old Give and Take... and Takes e.g., Free samples, Hare Krishnas.
- Commitment and Consistency: Hobgoblins of the Mind; e.g., Hazing.
- 3. Social Proof: Truths Are Us;
- e.g., Jonestown (⊞),
- Kitty Genovese (III) (contested).
- Liking: The Friendly Thief; e.g., Separation into groups is enough to cause problems.
- Authority: Directed Deference;
 e.g., Milgram's obedience to authority experiment. (EI)
- 5. Scarcity: The Rule of the Few; e.g., Prohibition.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQ @ 47 of 137

From 'Influence'^[14] by Robert Cialdini (⊞)

Six modes of influence:

- 1. Reciprocation: *The Old Give and Take... and Take* e.g., Free samples, Hare Krishnas.
- 2. Commitment and Consistency: *Hobgoblins of the Mind*; e.g., Hazing.
- Social Proof: *Truths Are Us*;
 e.g., Jonestown (⊞),
 Kitty Genovese (⊞) (contested).
- 4. Liking: *The Friendly Thief*; e.g., Separation into groups is enough to cause problems.
- Authority: Directed Deference;
 e.g., Milgram's obedience to authority experiment. (⊞)
- 6. Scarcity: The Rule of the Few; e.g., Prohibition.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



From 'Influence'^[14] by Robert Cialdini (⊞)

Six modes of influence:

- 1. Reciprocation: *The Old Give and Take... and Take*; e.g., Free samples, Hare Krishnas.
- 2. Commitment and Consistency: *Hobgoblins of the Mind*; e.g., Hazing.
- Social Proof: *Truths Are Us*;
 e.g., Jonestown (⊞),
 Kitty Genovese (⊞) (contested).
- 4. Liking: *The Friendly Thief*; e.g., Separation into groups is enough to cause problems.
- Authority: Directed Deference;
 e.g., Milgram's obedience to authority experiment. (⊞)
- 6. Scarcity: The Rule of the Few; e.g., Prohibition.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



From 'Influence'^[14] by Robert Cialdini (⊞)

Six modes of influence:

- 1. Reciprocation: *The Old Give and Take... and Take*; e.g., Free samples, Hare Krishnas.
- 2. Commitment and Consistency: *Hobgoblins of the Mind*; e.g., Hazing.
- Social Proof: *Truths Are Us*;
 e.g., Jonestown (⊞),
 Kitty Genovese (⊞) (contested)
- 4. Liking: *The Friendly Thief*; e.g., Separation into groups is enough to cause problems.
- Authority: Directed Deference;
 e.g., Milgram's obedience to authority
 experiment. (⊞)
- 6. Scarcity: The Rule of the Few; e.g., Prohibition.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



From 'Influence'^[14] by Robert Cialdini (⊞)

Six modes of influence:

- 1. Reciprocation: *The Old Give and Take... and Take*; e.g., Free samples, Hare Krishnas.
- 2. Commitment and Consistency: *Hobgoblins of the Mind*; e.g., Hazing.
- Social Proof: *Truths Are Us*;
 e.g., Jonestown (⊞),
 Kitty Genovese (⊞) (contested).
- 4. Liking: *The Friendly Thief*; e.g., Separation into groups is enough to cause problems.
- Authority: Directed Deference;
 e.g., Milgram's obedience to authority
 experiment. (⊞)
- 6. Scarcity: The Rule of the Few; e.g., Prohibition.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models


Getting others to do things for you

From 'Influence'^[14] by Robert Cialdini (⊞)

Six modes of influence:

- 1. Reciprocation: *The Old Give and Take... and Take*; e.g., Free samples, Hare Krishnas.
- 2. Commitment and Consistency: *Hobgoblins of the Mind*; e.g., Hazing.
- Social Proof: *Truths Are Us*;
 e.g., Jonestown (⊞),
 Kitty Genovese (⊞) (contested).
- Liking: The Friendly Thief; e.g., Separation into groups is enough to cause problems.
- Authority: Directed Deference;
 e.g., Milgram's obedience to authority experiment. (⊞)
- 6. Scarcity: The Rule of the Few; e.g., Prohibition.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Getting others to do things for you

From 'Influence'^[14] by Robert Cialdini (⊞)

Six modes of influence:

- 1. Reciprocation: *The Old Give and Take... and Take*; e.g., Free samples, Hare Krishnas.
- 2. Commitment and Consistency: *Hobgoblins of the Mind*; e.g., Hazing.
- Social Proof: *Truths Are Us*;
 e.g., Jonestown (⊞),
 Kitty Genovese (⊞) (contested).
- Liking: The Friendly Thief; e.g., Separation into groups is enough to cause problems.
- Authority: Directed Deference;
 e.g., Milgram's obedience to authority experiment. (⊞)
- 6. Scarcity: The Rule of the Few; e.g., Prohibition.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Getting others to do things for you

From 'Influence'^[14] by Robert Cialdini (⊞)

Six modes of influence:

- 1. Reciprocation: *The Old Give and Take... and Take*; e.g., Free samples, Hare Krishnas.
- 2. Commitment and Consistency: *Hobgoblins of the Mind*; e.g., Hazing.
- Social Proof: *Truths Are Us*;
 e.g., Jonestown (⊞),
 Kitty Genovese (⊞) (contested).
- Liking: The Friendly Thief; e.g., Separation into groups is enough to cause problems.
- Authority: Directed Deference;
 e.g., Milgram's obedience to authority experiment. (⊞)
- 6. Scarcity: The Rule of the Few; e.g., Prohibition.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



 Cialdini's modes are heuristics that help up us get through life.

Very useful but can be leveraged...

Messing with social connections

Ads based on message content

BzzAgent (⊞)
 Facebook's advertising: Beacon (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



 Cialdini's modes are heuristics that help up us get through life.

Very useful but can be leveraged...

Messing with social connections

Ads based on message content

BzzAgent (⊞)
 Facebook's advertising: Beacon (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



20 A 48 of 137

 Cialdini's modes are heuristics that help up us get through life.

Very useful but can be leveraged...

Messing with social connections

Ads based on message content

BzzAgent (EI)
 Facebook's advertising: Beacon (III)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



シュマ 48 of 137

 Cialdini's modes are heuristics that help up us get through life.

Very useful but can be leveraged...

Messing with social connections

- Ads based on message content
- BzzAgent (III)
 Facebook's advertising: Beacon (III)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



DQ @ 48 of 137

 Cialdini's modes are heuristics that help up us get through life.

Very useful but can be leveraged...

Messing with social connections

Ads based on message content

► BzzAgent (⊞)
 ► Facebook's advertising: Beacon (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



DQ @ 48 of 137

- Cialdini's modes are heuristics that help up us get through life.
- Very useful but can be leveraged...

Messing with social connections

- Ads based on message content (e.g., Google and email)
- ► BzzAgent (⊞)
- ► Facebook's advertising: Beacon (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Simple disease

Simple disease spreading models



- Cialdini's modes are heuristics that help up us get through life.
- Very useful but can be leveraged...

Messing with social connections

- Ads based on message content (e.g., Google and email)
- ► BzzAgent (⊞)

► Facebook's advertising: Beacon (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Simple disease

References

dt



- Cialdini's modes are heuristics that help up us get through life.
- Very useful but can be leveraged...

Messing with social connections

- Ads based on message content (e.g., Google and email)
- ► BzzAgent (⊞)
- ► Facebook's advertising: Beacon (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Simple disease

References

dt



Tipping models—Schelling (1971)^[34, 35, 36]





[youtube] (⊞)

- Simulation on checker boards
- Idea of thresholds
- Threshold models—Granovetter (1978)^[19]
- ▶ Herding models—Bikhchandani, Hirschleifer, Welch (1992)^[4, 5]

 Social learning theory, Informational cascades,... Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models











[youtube] (⊞)

Tipping models—Schelling

(1971) [34, 35, 36]

- Simulation on checker boards
 Idea of thresholds
- Threshold models—Granovetter (1978)^[19]
- ▶ Herding models—Bikhchandani, Hirschleifer, Welch (1992)^[4, 5]

 Social learning theory, Informational cascades,... Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models











[youtube] (⊞)

Tipping models—Schelling (1971)^[34, 35, 36]

- Simulation on checker boards
- Idea of thresholds
- Threshold models—Granovetter (1978)^[19]
- ▶ Herding models—Bikhchandani, Hirschleifer, Welch (1992)^[4, 5]

 Social learning theory, Informational cascades,... Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models











[youtube] (⊞)

Tipping models—Schelling (1971)^[34, 35, 36]

- Simulation on checker boards
- Idea of thresholds
- Threshold models—Granovetter (1978)^[19]
- ► Herding models—Bikhchandani, Hirschleifer, Welch (1992)^[4, 5]

Social learning theory, Informational cascades,... Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models













[youtube] (⊞)

Simulation on checker boards

- Idea of thresholds
- Threshold models—Granovetter (1978)^[19]
- Herding models—Bikhchandani, Hirschleifer, Welch (1992)^[4, 5]
 - Social learning theory, Informational cascades,...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Simple disease spreading models











[youtube] (⊞)

Tipping models—Schelling (1971)^[34, 35, 36]

- Simulation on checker boards
- Idea of thresholds
- Threshold models—Granovetter (1978)^[19]
- Herding models—Bikhchandani, Hirschleifer, Welch (1992)^[4, 5]
 - Social learning theory, Informational cascades,...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Thresholds

- Basic idea: individuals adopt a behavior when a certain fraction of others have adopted
- 'Others' may be everyone in a population, an individual's close friends, any reference group.
- Response can be probabilistic or deterministic.
- Individual thresholds can vary
- Assumption: order of others' adoption does not matter...
- Assumption: level of influence per person is uniform

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Surversity S Vermont S

Thresholds

- Basic idea: individuals adopt a behavior when a certain fraction of others have adopted
- 'Others' may be everyone in a population, an individual's close friends, any reference group.
- Response can be probabilistic or deterministic.
- Individual thresholds can vary
- Assumption: order of others' adoption does not matter...
- Assumption: level of influence per person is uniform

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Thresholds

- Basic idea: individuals adopt a behavior when a certain fraction of others have adopted
- 'Others' may be everyone in a population, an individual's close friends, any reference group.
- Response can be probabilistic or deterministic.
- Individual thresholds can vary
- Assumption: order of others' adoption does not matter...
- Assumption: level of influence per person is uniform

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Thresholds

- Basic idea: individuals adopt a behavior when a certain fraction of others have adopted
- 'Others' may be everyone in a population, an individual's close friends, any reference group.
- Response can be probabilistic or deterministic.
- Individual thresholds can vary
- Assumption: order of others' adoption does not matter...
- Assumption: level of influence per person is uniform

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Thresholds

- Basic idea: individuals adopt a behavior when a certain fraction of others have adopted
- 'Others' may be everyone in a population, an individual's close friends, any reference group.
- Response can be probabilistic or deterministic.
- Individual thresholds can vary
- Assumption: order of others' adoption does not matter...
- Assumption: level of influence per person is uniform

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Thresholds

- Basic idea: individuals adopt a behavior when a certain fraction of others have adopted
- 'Others' may be everyone in a population, an individual's close friends, any reference group.
- Response can be probabilistic or deterministic.
- Individual thresholds can vary
- Assumption: order of others' adoption does not matter...
- Assumption: level of influence per person is uniform

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Thresholds

- Basic idea: individuals adopt a behavior when a certain fraction of others have adopted
- 'Others' may be everyone in a population, an individual's close friends, any reference group.
- Response can be probabilistic or deterministic.
- Individual thresholds can vary
- Assumption: order of others' adoption does not matter...
- Assumption: level of influence per person is uniform

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Thresholds

- Basic idea: individuals adopt a behavior when a certain fraction of others have adopted
- 'Others' may be everyone in a population, an individual's close friends, any reference group.
- Response can be probabilistic or deterministic.
- Individual thresholds can vary
- Assumption: order of others' adoption does not matter... (unrealistic).
- Assumption: level of influence per person is uniform (unrealistic).

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mod Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



2 9 0 50 of 137

Some possible origins of thresholds:

- Inherent, evolution-devised inclination to coordinate, to conform, to imitate.^[3]
- Lack of information: impute the worth of a good or behavior based on degree of adoption (social proof)
- Economics: Network effects or network externalities
 - Externalities = Effects on others not directly involv in a transaction
 - Examples: telephones, fax machine, Facebook operating systems
 - An individual's utility increases with the adoption leve among peers and the population in general

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Some possible origins of thresholds:

- Inherent, evolution-devised inclination to coordinate, to conform, to imitate.^[3]
- Lack of information: impute the worth of a good or behavior based on degree of adoption (social proof)
- Economics: Network effects or network externalities
 - Externalities = Effects on others not directly involv in a transaction
 - Examples: telephones, fax machine, Facebook operating systems
 - An individual's utility increases with the adoption leve among peers and the population in general

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Some possible origins of thresholds:

- Inherent, evolution-devised inclination to coordinate, to conform, to imitate.^[3]
- Lack of information: impute the worth of a good or behavior based on degree of adoption (social proof)
 - Economics: Network effects or network externalities
 Externalities = Effects on others not directly involved in a transaction
 - Examples: telephones, fax machine, Facebook, operating systems
 - An individual's utility increases with the adoption leve among peers and the population in general

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Some possible origins of thresholds:

- Inherent, evolution-devised inclination to coordinate, to conform, to imitate.^[3]
- Lack of information: impute the worth of a good or behavior based on degree of adoption (social proof)
- Economics: Network effects or network externalities
 - Externalities = Effects on others not directly involved in a transaction
 - Examples: telephones, fax machine, Facebook, operating systems
 - An individual's utility increases with the adoption level among peers and the population in general

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Some possible origins of thresholds:

- Inherent, evolution-devised inclination to coordinate, to conform, to imitate.^[3]
- Lack of information: impute the worth of a good or behavior based on degree of adoption (social proof)
- Economics: Network effects or network externalities
 - Externalities = Effects on others not directly involved in a transaction
 - Examples: telephones, fax machine, Facebook, operating systems
 - An individual's utility increases with the adoption level among peers and the population in general

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Some possible origins of thresholds:

- Inherent, evolution-devised inclination to coordinate, to conform, to imitate.^[3]
- Lack of information: impute the worth of a good or behavior based on degree of adoption (social proof)
- Economics: Network effects or network externalities
 - Externalities = Effects on others not directly involved in a transaction
 - Examples: telephones, fax machine, Facebook, operating systems
 - An individual's utility increases with the adoption level among peers and the population in general

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease

Simple disease spreading models





Some possible origins of thresholds:

- Inherent, evolution-devised inclination to coordinate, to conform, to imitate.^[3]
- Lack of information: impute the worth of a good or behavior based on degree of adoption (social proof)
- Economics: Network effects or network externalities
 - Externalities = Effects on others not directly involved in a transaction
 - Examples: telephones, fax machine, Facebook, operating systems
 - An individual's utility increases with the adoption level among peers and the population in general

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Outline

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Ninning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Simple disease spreading models

References

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Action based on perceived behavior of others:



Two states: Susceptible and Infected.

- ϕ = fraction of contacts 'on' (e.g., rioting)
- Discrete time update (strong assumption!)
- This is a Critical mass model
- Many other kinds of dynamics are possible.

Implications for collective action theory:

- . Collective uniformity earrow individual uniformity
- . Small individual changes ightarrow large global changes

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Action based on perceived behavior of others:



Two states: Susceptible and Infected.

- ϕ = fraction of contacts 'on' (e.g., rioting)
- Discrete time update (strong assumption!)
- This is a Critical mass model
- Many other kinds of dynamics are possible.

Implications for collective action theory:

- . Collective uniformity earrow individual uniformity
- . Small individual changes ightarrow large global changes

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Action based on perceived behavior of others:



- Two states: Susceptible and Infected.
- ϕ = fraction of contacts 'on' (e.g., rioting)
- Discrete time update (strong assumption!)
- This is a Critical mass model
- Many other kinds of dynamics are possible

Implications for collective action theory:

- . Collective uniformity eq individual uniformity
- . Small individual changes ightarrow large global changes

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models




- Two states: Susceptible and Infected.
- ϕ = fraction of contacts 'on' (e.g., rioting)
- Discrete time update (strong assumption!)
- This is a Critical mass model
- Many other kinds of dynamics are possible

Implications for collective action theory:

- . Collective uniformity e individual uniformity
- . Small individual changes ightarrow large global changes

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





- Two states: Susceptible and Infected.
- ϕ = fraction of contacts 'on' (e.g., rioting)
- Discrete time update (strong assumption!)
- This is a Critical mass model
- Many other kinds of dynamics are possible.

Implications for collective action theory: 1. Collective uniformity \neq individual uniform

Small individual changes \rightarrow large global changes

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





- Two states: Susceptible and Infected.
- ϕ = fraction of contacts 'on' (e.g., rioting)
- Discrete time update (strong assumption!)
- This is a Critical mass model
- Many other kinds of dynamics are possible.

Implications for collective action theory:
1. Collective uniformity → individual uniformity
2. Small individual changes → large global changes

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





- Two states: Susceptible and Infected.
- ϕ = fraction of contacts 'on' (e.g., rioting)
- Discrete time update (strong assumption!)
- This is a Critical mass model
- Many other kinds of dynamics are possible.

Implications for collective action theory:

- 1. Collective uniformity eq individual uniformity
- 2. Small individual changes ightarrow large global changes

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





- Two states: Susceptible and Infected.
- ϕ = fraction of contacts 'on' (e.g., rioting)
- Discrete time update (strong assumption!)
- This is a Critical mass model
- Many other kinds of dynamics are possible.

Implications for collective action theory:

- 1. Collective uniformity $\not\rightarrow$ individual uniformity
- 2. Small individual changes ightarrow large global changes

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





- Two states: Susceptible and Infected.
- ϕ = fraction of contacts 'on' (e.g., rioting)
- Discrete time update (strong assumption!)
- This is a Critical mass model
- Many other kinds of dynamics are possible.

Implications for collective action theory:

- 1. Collective uniformity $\not\rightarrow$ individual uniformity
- 2. Small individual changes \rightarrow large global changes

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Outline

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Ninning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's model

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Many years after Granovetter and Soong's work:

"A simple model of global cascades on random networks" D. J. Watts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 2002^[40]

Mean field model → network model
 Individuals now have a limited view of the world

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



na (~ 55 of 137

Many years after Granovetter and Soong's work:

"A simple model of global cascades on random networks" D. J. Watts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 2002^[40]

▶ Mean field model → network model

Individuals now have a limited view of the world

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



na ~ 55 of 137

Many years after Granovetter and Soong's work:

"A simple model of global cascades on random networks" D. J. Watts. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 2002^[40]

- Mean field model \rightarrow network model
- Individuals now have a limited view of the world

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



dt

- Interactions between individuals now represented by a network
- Network is sparse
- Individual i has k_i contacts
- Influence on each link is reciprocal and of unit weight
- Each individual *i* has a fixed threshold ϕ_i
- Individuals repeatedly poll contacts on network
- Synchronous, discrete time updating
- Individual *i* becomes active when fraction of active contacts ^{*a_i*}/_{*k_i*} ≥ *φ_i*
- Individuals remain active when switched (no recovery = SI model)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





- Interactions between individuals now represented by a network
- Network is sparse
- Individual i has k_i contacts
- Influence on each link is reciprocal and of unit weight
- Each individual *i* has a fixed threshold ϕ_i
- Individuals repeatedly poll contacts on network
- Synchronous, discrete time updating
- Individual *i* becomes active when fraction of active contacts ^{*a_i*}/_{*k_i*} ≥ *φ_i*
- Individuals remain active when switched (no recovery = SI model)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





- Interactions between individuals now represented by a network
- Network is sparse
- Individual i has k_i contacts
- Influence on each link is reciprocal and of unit weight
- Each individual *i* has a fixed threshold ϕ_i
- Individuals repeatedly poll contacts on network
- Synchronous, discrete time updating
- Individual *i* becomes active when fraction of active contacts ^{*a_i*}/_{*k_i*} ≥ *φ_i*
- Individuals remain active when switched (no recovery = SI model)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





- Interactions between individuals now represented by a network
- Network is sparse
- Individual i has k_i contacts
- Influence on each link is reciprocal and of unit weight
- Each individual *i* has a fixed threshold ϕ_i
- Individuals repeatedly poll contacts on network
- Synchronous, discrete time updating
- ► Individual *i* becomes active when fraction of active contacts ^{*a_i*}/_{*k_i*} ≥ φ_i
- Individuals remain active when switched (no recovery = SI model)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





- Interactions between individuals now represented by a network
- Network is sparse
- Individual i has k_i contacts
- Influence on each link is reciprocal and of unit weight
- Each individual *i* has a fixed threshold ϕ_i
- Individuals repeatedly poll contacts on network
- Synchronous, discrete time updating
- Individual *i* becomes active when fraction of active contacts ^{*a_i*}/_{*k_i*} ≥ *φ_i*
- Individuals remain active when switched (no recovery = SI model)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





- Interactions between individuals now represented by a network
- Network is sparse
- Individual i has k_i contacts
- Influence on each link is reciprocal and of unit weight
- Each individual *i* has a fixed threshold ϕ_i
- Individuals repeatedly poll contacts on network
- Synchronous, discrete time updating
- Individual *i* becomes active when fraction of active contacts ^{*a_i*/_{*k_i*} ≥ *φ_i*}
- Individuals remain active when switched (no recovery = SI model)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





- Interactions between individuals now represented by a network
- Network is sparse
- Individual i has k_i contacts
- Influence on each link is reciprocal and of unit weight
- Each individual *i* has a fixed threshold ϕ_i
- Individuals repeatedly poll contacts on network
- Synchronous, discrete time updating
- ► Individual *i* becomes active when fraction of active contacts ^{*a_i*}/_{*k_i*} ≥ φ_{*i*}
- Individuals remain active when switched (no recovery = SI model)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





- Interactions between individuals now represented by a network
- Network is sparse
- Individual i has k_i contacts
- Influence on each link is reciprocal and of unit weight
- Each individual *i* has a fixed threshold ϕ_i
- Individuals repeatedly poll contacts on network
- Synchronous, discrete time updating
- Individual *i* becomes active when fraction of active contacts ^{a_i}/_{k_i} ≥ φ_i
- Individuals remain active when switched (no recovery = SI model)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



2 9 0 56 of 137

- Interactions between individuals now represented by a network
- Network is sparse
- Individual i has k_i contacts
- Influence on each link is reciprocal and of unit weight
- Each individual *i* has a fixed threshold ϕ_i
- Individuals repeatedly poll contacts on network
- Synchronous, discrete time updating
- ► Individual *i* becomes active when fraction of active contacts ^{*a*_i}/_{*k*_i} ≥ φ_i
- Individuals remain active when switched (no recovery = SI model)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



• All nodes have threshold $\phi = 0.2$.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt





• All nodes have threshold $\phi = 0.2$.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models







• All nodes have threshold $\phi = 0.2$.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The Cascade Condition:

- 1. If one individual is initially activated, what is the probability that an activation will spread over a network?
- 2. What features of a network determine whether a cascade will occur or not?

First study random networks:

- Start with N nodes with a degree distribution p_k
- Nodes are randomly connected (carefully so)
- Aim: Figure out when activation will propagate
- Determine a cascade condition

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The Cascade Condition:

- 1. If one individual is initially activated, what is the probability that an activation will spread over a network?
- What features of a network determine whether a cascade will occur or not?

First study random networks:

- Start with N nodes with a degree distribution p_k
- Nodes are randomly connected (carefully so)
- Aim: Figure out when activation will propagate
- Determine a cascade condition

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The Cascade Condition:

- 1. If one individual is initially activated, what is the probability that an activation will spread over a network?
- 2. What features of a network determine whether a cascade will occur or not?

First study random networks:

Start with N nodes with a degree distribution p,
 Nodes are randomly connected (carefully so)
 Aim: Figure out when activation will propagate
 Determine a cascade condition

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





2 C 58 of 137

The Cascade Condition:

- 1. If one individual is initially activated, what is the probability that an activation will spread over a network?
- 2. What features of a network determine whether a cascade will occur or not?

First study random networks:

- Start with N nodes with a degree distribution p_k
- Nodes are randomly connected (carefully so)
- Aim: Figure out when activation will propagate
- Determine a cascade condition

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The Cascade Condition:

- 1. If one individual is initially activated, what is the probability that an activation will spread over a network?
- 2. What features of a network determine whether a cascade will occur or not?

First study random networks:

- Start with N nodes with a degree distribution p_k
- Nodes are randomly connected (carefully so)
- Aim: Figure out when activation will propagate
- Determine a cascade condition

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The Cascade Condition:

- 1. If one individual is initially activated, what is the probability that an activation will spread over a network?
- 2. What features of a network determine whether a cascade will occur or not?

First study random networks:

- Start with N nodes with a degree distribution p_k
- Nodes are randomly connected (carefully so)
- Aim: Figure out when activation will propagate
- Determine a cascade condition

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The Cascade Condition:

- 1. If one individual is initially activated, what is the probability that an activation will spread over a network?
- 2. What features of a network determine whether a cascade will occur or not?

First study random networks:

- Start with N nodes with a degree distribution p_k
- Nodes are randomly connected (carefully so)
- Aim: Figure out when activation will propagate

Determine a cascade condition

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's model

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The Cascade Condition:

- 1. If one individual is initially activated, what is the probability that an activation will spread over a network?
- 2. What features of a network determine whether a cascade will occur or not?

First study random networks:

- Start with N nodes with a degree distribution p_k
- Nodes are randomly connected (carefully so)
- Aim: Figure out when activation will propagate
- Determine a cascade condition

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Follow active links

- An active link is a link connected to an activated node.
- If an infected link leads to at least 1 more infected link, then activation spreads.
- We need to understand which nodes can be activated when only one of their neigbors becomes active.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Follow active links

- An active link is a link connected to an activated node.
- If an infected link leads to at least 1 more infected link, then activation spreads.
- We need to understand which nodes can be activated when only one of their neigbors becomes active.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Follow active links

- An active link is a link connected to an activated node.
- If an infected link leads to at least 1 more infected link, then activation spreads.
- We need to understand which nodes can be activated when only one of their neigbors becomes active.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



2 Q C 59 of 137



Follow active links

- An active link is a link connected to an activated node.
- If an infected link leads to at least 1 more infected link, then activation spreads.
- We need to understand which nodes can be activated when only one of their neigbors becomes active.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



The most gullible

Vulnerables:

We call individuals who can be activated by just one contact being active vulnerables

► The vulnerability condition for node *i*:

 $1/k_i \ge \phi_i$

- Which means # contacts $k_i \leq \lfloor 1/\phi_i \rfloor$
- ► For global cascades on random networks, must have a global cluster of vulnerables^[40]
- Cluster of vulnerables = critical mass
- ▶ Network story: 1 node \rightarrow critical mass \rightarrow everyone.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The most gullible

Vulnerables:

 We call individuals who can be activated by just one contact being active vulnerables

The vulnerability condition for node i:

 $1/k_i \ge \phi_i$

- Which means # contacts $k_i \leq \lfloor 1/\phi_i \rfloor$
- ► For global cascades on random networks, must have a global cluster of vulnerables^[40]
- Cluster of vulnerables = critical mass

▶ Network story: 1 node \rightarrow critical mass \rightarrow everyone.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





20 60 of 137
Vulnerables:

- We call individuals who can be activated by just one contact being active vulnerables
- The vulnerability condition for node i:

 $1/k_i \ge \phi_i$

- Which means # contacts $k_i \leq \lfloor 1/\phi_i \rfloor$
- ► For global cascades on random networks, must have a global cluster of vulnerables^[40]
- Cluster of vulnerables = critical mass
- ▶ Network story: 1 node \rightarrow critical mass \rightarrow everyone.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





20 60 of 137

Vulnerables:

- We call individuals who can be activated by just one contact being active vulnerables
- The vulnerability condition for node i:

 $1/k_i \ge \phi_i$

- Which means # contacts $k_i \leq \lfloor 1/\phi_i \rfloor$
- ► For global cascades on random networks, must have a global cluster of vulnerables^[40]
- Cluster of vulnerables = critical mass
- ▶ Network story: 1 node \rightarrow critical mass \rightarrow everyone.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





う a C 60 of 137

Vulnerables:

- We call individuals who can be activated by just one contact being active vulnerables
- The vulnerability condition for node i:

 $1/k_i \ge \phi_i$

- Which means # contacts $k_i \leq \lfloor 1/\phi_i \rfloor$
- For global cascades on random networks, must have a global cluster of vulnerables^[40]
- Cluster of vulnerables = critical mass

▶ Network story: 1 node \rightarrow critical mass \rightarrow everyone.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





INIVERSITY 9

Vulnerables:

- We call individuals who can be activated by just one contact being active vulnerables
- The vulnerability condition for node i:

 $1/k_i \ge \phi_i$

- Which means # contacts $k_i \leq \lfloor 1/\phi_i \rfloor$
- For global cascades on random networks, must have a global cluster of vulnerables^[40]
- Cluster of vulnerables = critical mass

Network story: 1 node \rightarrow critical mass \rightarrow everyone.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





NIVERSITY 6

Vulnerables:

- We call individuals who can be activated by just one contact being active vulnerables
- The vulnerability condition for node i:

 $1/k_i \ge \phi_i$

- Which means # contacts $k_i \leq \lfloor 1/\phi_i \rfloor$
- For global cascades on random networks, must have a global cluster of vulnerables^[40]
- Cluster of vulnerables = critical mass
- ▶ Network story: 1 node \rightarrow critical mass \rightarrow everyone.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Back to following a link:

- A randomly chosen link, traversed in a random direction, leads to a degree k node with probability ~ kP_k.
- Follows from there being k ways to connect to a node with degree k.
- Normalization:

► So

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k P_k = \langle k \rangle$$

 $P(\text{linked node has degree } k) = \frac{kl}{l}$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d y > 0

VERMONT

Back to following a link:

- A randomly chosen link, traversed in a random direction, leads to a degree k node with probability \$\propto kP_k\$.
- Follows from there being k ways to connect to a node with degree k.
- Normalization:

► So

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k P_k = \langle k \rangle$$

 $P(\text{linked node has degree } k) = \frac{k_k}{k_k}$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



NIVERSITY 9

Back to following a link:

- A randomly chosen link, traversed in a random direction, leads to a degree k node with probability ~ kP_k.
- Follows from there being k ways to connect to a node with degree k.
- Normalization:

► So

 $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k P_k = \langle k \rangle$

 $P(\text{linked node has degree } k) = -\frac{k}{2}$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Back to following a link:

- A randomly chosen link, traversed in a random direction, leads to a degree k node with probability \$\propto kP_k\$.
- Follows from there being k ways to connect to a node with degree k.
- Normalization:

► So

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k P_k = \langle k \rangle$$

 $P(\text{linked node has degree } k) = \frac{kR}{kR}$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

990 61 of 137

NIVERSITY S

Back to following a link:

- A randomly chosen link, traversed in a random direction, leads to a degree k node with probability ~ kP_k.
- Follows from there being k ways to connect to a node with degree k.
- Normalization:

So

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k P_k = \langle k \rangle$$

$$P(\text{linked node has degree } k) = \frac{kP_k}{\langle k \rangle}$$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt

na @ 61 of 137

NIVERSITY 6

Next: Vulnerability of linked node

Linked node is vulnerable with probability

$$\beta_k = \int_{\phi'_*=0}^{1/k} f(\phi'_*) \mathrm{d}\phi'_*$$

- ► If linked node is vulnerable, it produces k 1 new outgoing active links
- If linked node is not vulnerable, it produces no active links.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d > o

VINIVERSITY O

Next: Vulnerability of linked node

Linked node is vulnerable with probability

$$eta_k = \int_{\phi'_*=0}^{1/k} f(\phi'_*) \mathrm{d} \phi'_*$$

- ► If linked node is vulnerable, it produces k 1 new outgoing active links
- If linked node is not vulnerable, it produces no active links.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's model

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Next: Vulnerability of linked node

Linked node is vulnerable with probability

$$eta_k = \int_{\phi'_*=0}^{1/k} f(\phi'_*) \mathrm{d} \phi'_*$$

- If linked node is vulnerable, it produces k 1 new outgoing active links
- If linked node is not vulnerable, it produces no active links.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's model

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Next: Vulnerability of linked node

Linked node is vulnerable with probability

$$eta_k = \int_{\phi'_*=0}^{1/k} f(\phi'_*) \mathrm{d} \phi'_*$$

- If linked node is vulnerable, it produces k 1 new outgoing active links
- If linked node is not vulnerable, it produces no active links.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's model

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



NIVERSITY 9

Putting things together:

Expected number of active edges produced by an active edge:

 $R = \sum_{k=1}^{m} (k-1) \cdot \beta_k \cdot \frac{kP_k}{\langle k \rangle}$

 $=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1) \cdot \beta_k \cdot \frac{k P_k}{\langle k \rangle}$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Putting things together:

Expected number of active edges produced by an active edge:

success

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k-1)\cdot\beta_k\cdot\frac{kP_k}{\langle k\rangle}$$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Putting things together:

Expected number of active edges produced by an active edge:

$$R = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{(k-1) \cdot \beta_k \cdot \frac{kP_k}{\langle k \rangle}}_{K-1}$$

success

+ $0 \cdot (1 - \beta_k) \cdot$

failure

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k-1)\cdot\beta_k\cdot\frac{kP_k}{\langle k\rangle}$$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Putting things together:

Expected number of active edges produced by an active edge:

$$R = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \underbrace{(k-1) \cdot \beta_k \cdot \frac{kP_k}{\langle k \rangle}}_{K}$$

success



failure

$$=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k-1)\cdot\beta_k\cdot\frac{kP_k}{\langle k\rangle}$$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





So... for random networks with fixed degree distributions, cacades take off when:

$$R = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1) \cdot \beta_k \cdot \frac{kP_k}{\langle k \rangle} \ge 1.$$

β_k = probability a degree *k* node is vulnerable. *P_k* = probability a node has degree *k*.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



UNIVERSITY S

Two special cases:

▶ (1) Simple disease-like spreading succeeds: $\beta_k = \beta$

$$\beta \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1) \cdot \frac{kP_k}{\langle k \rangle} \ge 1$$

• (2) Giant component exists: $\beta = 1$

$$1 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1) \cdot \frac{kP_k}{\langle k \rangle} \ge 1.$$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Two special cases:

▶ (1) Simple disease-like spreading succeeds: $\beta_k = \beta$

• (2) Giant component exists: $\beta = 1$

$$1 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1) \cdot \frac{kP_k}{\langle k \rangle} \ge 1.$$

 $\beta \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1) \cdot \frac{kP_k}{\langle k \rangle} \ge 1.$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Two special cases:

▶ (1) Simple disease-like spreading succeeds: $\beta_k = \beta$

$$eta \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1) \cdot rac{k P_k}{\langle k
angle} \geq 1.$$

• (2) Giant component exists: $\beta = 1$

$$1 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1) \cdot \frac{kP_k}{\langle k \rangle} \ge 1.$$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Two special cases:

▶ (1) Simple disease-like spreading succeeds: $\beta_k = \beta$

$$\beta \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1) \cdot \frac{kP_k}{\langle k \rangle} \geq 1.$$

• (2) Giant component exists: $\beta = 1$

$$1 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1) \cdot \frac{kP_k}{\langle k \rangle} \ge 1.$$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Two special cases:

▶ (1) Simple disease-like spreading succeeds: $\beta_k = \beta$

$$\beta \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1) \cdot \frac{kP_k}{\langle k \rangle} \geq 1.$$

• (2) Giant component exists: $\beta = 1$

$$1 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k-1) \cdot \frac{kP_k}{\langle k \rangle} \geq 1.$$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





UNIVERSITY

Cascades on random networks



- Cascades occur only if size of max vulnerable cluster > 0.
- System may be 'robust-yet-fragile'
 'Ignorance' facilitates spreading.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagio

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



ク へ C 66 of 137

NIVERSITY

Cascades on random networks



- Cascades occur only if size of max vulnerable cluster > 0.
- System may be 'robust-yet-fragile'.

'Ignorance' facilitates spreading. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



う q (~ 66 of 137

NIVERSITY

Cascades on random networks



- Cascades occur only if size of max vulnerable cluster > 0.
- System may be 'robust-yet-fragile'.
- 'Ignorance' facilitates spreading.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagio

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



Cascade window for random networks



Complex

Sociotechnical Systems

VERMONT

- ► 'Cascade window' widens as threshold φ decreases.
- Lower thresholds enable spreading.

Cascade window for random networks

Complex Sociotechnical Systems



Degree distributions of nodes adopting at time t:



 $P_{k,t}$ versus k

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d d d z > 0

VERMONT

na @ 69 of 137

Degree distributions of nodes adopting at time t:



 $P_{k,t}$ versus k

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d dt > 0

VERMONT

na @ 69 of 137

Degree distributions of nodes adopting at time t:



 $P_{k,t}$ versus k

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d d d z > 0

VERMONT

20 0 69 of 137

Degree distributions of nodes adopting at time t:



 $P_{k,t}$ versus k

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d d d z > 0

VERMONT

う a c 69 of 137

The multiplier effect:

"Influentials, Networks, and Public Opinion Formation"^[41] Journal of Consumer Research, Watts and Dodds, 2007.



- Fairly uniform levels of individual influence.
- Multiplier effect is mostly below 1.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt University 8

DQ @ 70 of 137

The multiplier effect:



Skewed influence distribution example.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d d d t >0



Special subnetworks can act as triggers



• $\phi = 1/3$ for all nodes

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Granovetter's mode

Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





na ? 72 of 137
Outline

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Nihning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Groups

Imple disease spreading models

References

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The power of groups...



A Few Harmless Flakes Working Together Can Unleash an Avalanche of Destruction.

www.despair.com

"A few harmless flakes working together can unleash an avalanche of destruction." Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





despair.com

Assumption of sparse interactions is good

- Degree distribution is (generally) key to a network's function
- Still, random networks don't represent all networks
- Major element missing: group structure
- "Threshold Models of Social Influence" [42]
 Watts and Dodds, 2009.
 Oxford Handbook of Analytic Sociology.
 Eds. Hedström and Bearman.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQ @ 75 of 137

- Assumption of sparse interactions is good
- Degree distribution is (generally) key to a network's function
- Still, random networks don't represent all networks
- Major element missing: group structure
- "Threshold Models of Social Influence" [42]
 Watts and Dodds, 2009.
 Oxford Handbook of Analytic Sociology.
 Eds. Hedström and Bearman.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models



- Assumption of sparse interactions is good
- Degree distribution is (generally) key to a network's function
- Still, random networks don't represent all networks
- Major element missing: group structure
- "Threshold Models of Social Influence" ^[42]
 Watts and Dodds, 2009.
 Oxford Handbook of Analytic Sociology.
 Eds. Hedström and Bearman.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Groups

Simple disease spreading models



- Assumption of sparse interactions is good
- Degree distribution is (generally) key to a network's function
- Still, random networks don't represent all networks
- Major element missing: group structure
- "Threshold Models of Social Influence" [42] Watts and Dodds, 2009.
 Oxford Handbook of Analytic Sociology.
 Eds. Hedström and Bearman.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Groups

Simple disease spreading models



- Assumption of sparse interactions is good
- Degree distribution is (generally) key to a network's function
- Still, random networks don't represent all networks
- Major element missing: group structure
- "Threshold Models of Social Influence" [42]
 Watts and Dodds, 2009.
 Oxford Handbook of Analytic Sociology.
 Eds. Hedström and Bearman.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Group structure—Ramified random networks

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





p = intergroup connection probability q = intragroup connection probability.

Bipartite networks

b

а

a

2

b

С

С

3

4

d

Complex Sociotechnical Systems



Contagio

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



е

contexts

individuals



Context distance



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



na (~ 78 of 137

UNIVERSITY

Generalized affiliation model



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d d d t >0

VERMONT

Dac 79 of 137

Generalized affiliation model networks with triadic closure

Connect nodes with probability ∝ exp^{-αd} where
 α = homophily parameter
 and
 d = distance between nodes (height of lowest common ancestor)

connection r₂ = intragroup probability of friend-of-friend connection Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Generalized affiliation model networks with triadic closure

- Connect nodes with probability $\propto \exp^{-\alpha d}$ where
 - α = homophily parameter and
 - *d* = distance between nodes (height of lowest common ancestor)
- τ_1 = intergroup probability of friend-of-friend connection
 - r_2 = intragroup probability of friend-of-friend connection

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Generalized affiliation model networks with triadic closure

Connect nodes with probability $\propto \exp^{-\alpha d}$ where

 α = homophily parameter and

d = distance between nodes (height of lowest common ancestor)

- τ₁ = intergroup probability of friend-of-friend connection
- τ₂ = intragroup probability of friend-of-friend connection

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Cascade windows for group-based networks

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d d d t >0

VERMONT

DQC 81 of 137



Multiplier effect for group-based networks:



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models

Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d dt >0

VERMONT O

DQC 82 of 137

Assortativity in group-based networks



Complex

Sociotechnical Systems

VERMONT

The most connected nodes aren't always the most 'influential.'

Degree assortativity is the reason.

Assortativity in group-based networks



Complex

Sociotechnical Systems

NIVERSITY 9

DQ @ 83 of 137

- The most connected nodes aren't always the most 'influential.'
- Degree assortativity is the reason.

Summary

'Influential vulnerables' are key to spread.

- Early adopters are mostly vulnerables.
- Vulnerable nodes important but not necessary.
- Vulnerable groups may greatly facilitate spread.
- Seems that cascade condition is a global one.
- Most extreme/unexpected cascades occur in highly connected networks.
- Influentials' are posterior constructs.
- Many potential 'influentials' exist.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Summary

- 'Influential vulnerables' are key to spread.
- Early adopters are mostly vulnerables.
- Vulnerable nodes important but not necessary.
- Vulnerable groups may greatly facilitate spread.
- Seems that cascade condition is a global one.
- Most extreme/unexpected cascades occur in highly connected networks.
- Influentials' are posterior constructs.
- Many potential 'influentials' exist.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Summary

- 'Influential vulnerables' are key to spread.
- Early adopters are mostly vulnerables.
- Vulnerable nodes important but not necessary.
- Vulnerable groups may greatly facilitate spread.
- Seems that cascade condition is a global one.
- Most extreme/unexpected cascades occur in highly connected networks.
- Influentials' are posterior constructs.
- Many potential 'influentials' exist.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Summary

- 'Influential vulnerables' are key to spread.
- Early adopters are mostly vulnerables.
- Vulnerable nodes important but not necessary.
- Vulnerable groups may greatly facilitate spread.
- Seems that cascade condition is a global one.
- Most extreme/unexpected cascades occur in highly connected networks.
- 'Influentials' are posterior constructs.
- Many potential 'influentials' exist.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Summary

- 'Influential vulnerables' are key to spread.
- Early adopters are mostly vulnerables.
- Vulnerable nodes important but not necessary.
- Vulnerable groups may greatly facilitate spread.
- Seems that cascade condition is a global one.
- Most extreme/unexpected cascades occur in highly connected networks.
- 'Influentials' are posterior constructs.
- Many potential 'influentials' exist.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Summary

- 'Influential vulnerables' are key to spread.
- Early adopters are mostly vulnerables.
- Vulnerable nodes important but not necessary.
- Vulnerable groups may greatly facilitate spread.
- Seems that cascade condition is a global one.
- Most extreme/unexpected cascades occur in highly connected networks.
- Influentials' are posterior constructs.
- Many potential 'influentials' exist.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Summary

- 'Influential vulnerables' are key to spread.
- Early adopters are mostly vulnerables.
- Vulnerable nodes important but not necessary.
- Vulnerable groups may greatly facilitate spread.
- Seems that cascade condition is a global one.
- Most extreme/unexpected cascades occur in highly connected networks.
- 'Influentials' are posterior constructs.
- Many potential 'influentials' exist.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Summary

- 'Influential vulnerables' are key to spread.
- Early adopters are mostly vulnerables.
- Vulnerable nodes important but not necessary.
- Vulnerable groups may greatly facilitate spread.
- Seems that cascade condition is a global one.
- Most extreme/unexpected cascades occur in highly connected networks.
- 'Influentials' are posterior constructs.
- Many potential 'influentials' exist.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model

Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Implications

Focus on the influential vulnerables.

- Create entities that can be transmitted successfully through many individuals rather than broadcast from one 'influential.'
- Only simple ideas can spread by word-of-mouth. (Idea of opinion leaders spreads well...)
- ► Want enough individuals who will adopt and display.
- Displaying can be passive = free (yo-yo's, fashion), or active = harder to achieve (political messages).
- Entities can be novel or designed to combine with others, e.g. block another one.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease

References





UNIVERSITY 9

Implications

- Focus on the influential vulnerables.
- Create entities that can be transmitted successfully through many individuals rather than broadcast from one 'influential.'
- Only simple ideas can spread by word-of-mouth. (Idea of opinion leaders spreads well...)
- ► Want enough individuals who will adopt and display.
- Displaying can be passive = free (yo-yo's, fashion), or active = harder to achieve (political messages).
- Entities can be novel or designed to combine with others, e.g. block another one.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Implications

- Focus on the influential vulnerables.
- Create entities that can be transmitted successfully through many individuals rather than broadcast from one 'influential.'
- Only simple ideas can spread by word-of-mouth. (Idea of opinion leaders spreads well...)
- ► Want enough individuals who will adopt and display.
- Displaying can be passive = free (yo-yo's, fashion), or active = harder to achieve (political messages).
- Entities can be novel or designed to combine with others, e.g. block another one.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease





Implications

- Focus on the influential vulnerables.
- Create entities that can be transmitted successfully through many individuals rather than broadcast from one 'influential.'
- Only simple ideas can spread by word-of-mouth. (Idea of opinion leaders spreads well...)
- Want enough individuals who will adopt and display.
- Displaying can be passive = free (yo-yo's, fashion), or active = harder to achieve (political messages).
- Entities can be novel or designed to combine with others, e.g. block another one.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease





Implications

- Focus on the influential vulnerables.
- Create entities that can be transmitted successfully through many individuals rather than broadcast from one 'influential.'
- Only simple ideas can spread by word-of-mouth. (Idea of opinion leaders spreads well...)
- Want enough individuals who will adopt and display.
- Displaying can be passive = free (yo-yo's, fashion), or active = harder to achieve (political messages).
- Entities can be novel or designed to combine with others, e.g. block another one.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease

References

dt



Implications

- Focus on the influential vulnerables.
- Create entities that can be transmitted successfully through many individuals rather than broadcast from one 'influential.'
- Only simple ideas can spread by word-of-mouth. (Idea of opinion leaders spreads well...)
- Want enough individuals who will adopt and display.
- Displaying can be passive = free (yo-yo's, fashion), or active = harder to achieve (political messages).
- Entities can be novel or designed to combine with others, e.g. block another one.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



The standard SIR model [28]

- = basic model of disease contagion
- ► Three states:
 - 1. S = Susceptible
 - 2. I = Infective/Infectious
 - 3. R = Recovered or Removed or Refractory
- S(t) + I(t) + R(t) = 1
- Presumes random interactions (mass-action principle)
- Interactions are independent (no memory)
- Discrete and continuous time versions

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The standard SIR model [28]

- = basic model of disease contagion
- Three states:
 - 2. I = Infective/Infectious
 - R = Recovered or Removed or Refractory
- S(t) + I(t) + R(t) = 1
- Presumes random interactions (mass-action principle)
- Interactions are independent (no memory)
- Discrete and continuous time versions

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The standard SIR model [28]

- = basic model of disease contagion
- Three states:
 - 1. S = Susceptible
 - I = Infective/Infectious
 - 3. R = Recovered or Removed or Refractory
- ► S(t) + I(t) + R(t) = 1
- Presumes random interactions (mass-action principle)
- Interactions are independent (no memory)
- Discrete and continuous time versions

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The standard SIR model [28]

- = basic model of disease contagion
- Three states:
 - 1. S = Susceptible
 - I = Infective/Infectious
 - 3. R = Recovered or Removed or Refractory
- ► S(t) + I(t) + R(t) = 1
- Presumes random interactions (mass-action principle)
- Interactions are independent (no memory)
- Discrete and continuous time versions

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models




The standard SIR model [28]

- = basic model of disease contagion
- Three states:
 - 1. S = Susceptible
 - 2. I = Infective/Infectious
 - R = Recovered or Removed or Refractory
- ► S(t) + I(t) + R(t) = 1
- Presumes random interactions (mass-action principle)
- Interactions are independent (no memory)
- Discrete and continuous time versions

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The standard SIR model [28]

- = basic model of disease contagion
- Three states:
 - 1. S = Susceptible
 - 2. I = Infective/Infectious
 - 3. R = Recovered or Removed or Refractory
- S(t) + I(t) + R(t) = 1
- Presumes random interactions (mass-action principle)
- Interactions are independent (no memory)
- Discrete and continuous time versions

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The standard SIR model [28]

- = basic model of disease contagion
- Three states:
 - 1. S = Susceptible
 - 2. I = Infective/Infectious
 - 3. R = Recovered or Removed or Refractory
- S(t) + I(t) + R(t) = 1
- Presumes random interactions (mass-action principle)
- Interactions are independent (no memory)
- Discrete and continuous time versions

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





The standard SIR model [28]

- = basic model of disease contagion
- Three states:
 - 1. S = Susceptible
 - 2. I = Infective/Infectious
 - 3. R = Recovered or Removed or Refractory
- S(t) + I(t) + R(t) = 1
- Presumes random interactions (mass-action principle)
- Interactions are independent (no memory)
- Discrete and continuous time versions

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





The standard SIR model [28]

- = basic model of disease contagion
- Three states:
 - 1. S = Susceptible
 - 2. I = Infective/Infectious
 - 3. R = Recovered or Removed or Refractory
- S(t) + I(t) + R(t) = 1
- Presumes random interactions (mass-action principle)
- Interactions are independent (no memory)
- Discrete and continuous time versions

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





The standard SIR model [28]

- = basic model of disease contagion
- Three states:
 - 1. S = Susceptible
 - 2. I = Infective/Infectious
 - 3. R = Recovered or Removed or Refractory
- S(t) + I(t) + R(t) = 1
- Presumes random interactions (mass-action principle)
- Interactions are independent (no memory)

Discrete and continuous time versions

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





The standard SIR model [28]

- = basic model of disease contagion
- Three states:
 - 1. S = Susceptible
 - 2. I = Infective/Infectious
 - 3. R = Recovered or Removed or Refractory
- ► S(t) + I(t) + R(t) = 1
- Presumes random interactions (mass-action principle)
- Interactions are independent (no memory)
- Discrete and continuous time versions

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Discrete time automata example:



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Discrete time automata example:



Transition Probabilities:

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



DQC 87 of 137

Discrete time automata example:



Transition Probabilities:

 β for being infected given contact with infected

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Discrete time automata example:



Transition Probabilities:

 β for being infected given contact with infected *r* for recovery Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Discrete time automata example:



Transition Probabilities:

 β for being infected given contact with infected r for recovery ρ for loss of immunity Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Original models attributed to

- 1920's: Reed and Frost
- 1920's/1930's: Kermack and McKendrick^{[23, 25, 24}
- Coupled differential equations with a mass-action principle



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Original models attributed to

- 1920's: Reed and Frost
- 1920's/1930's: Kermack and McKendrick^{[23, 25, 24}
- Coupled differential equations with a mass-action principle



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



VERMONT

Original models attributed to

- 1920's: Reed and Frost
- 1920's/1930's: Kermack and McKendrick^[23, 25, 24]
- Coupled differential equations with a mass-action principle



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



VERMONT

Original models attributed to

- 1920's: Reed and Frost
- 1920's/1930's: Kermack and McKendrick^[23, 25, 24]
- Coupled differential equations with a mass-action principle

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Differential equations for continuous model

$$\frac{d}{dt}S = -\beta IS + \rho R$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}I = \beta IS - rI$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}R = rI - \rho R$$

β , *r*, and ρ are now rates.

Reproduction Number R_0 :

 R_0 = expected number of infected individuals resulting from a single initial infective

Epidemic threshold: If $R_0 > 1$, 'epidemic' occurs.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References





UNIVERSITY

Differential equations for continuous model

$$\frac{d}{dt}S = -\beta IS + \rho R$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}I = \beta IS - rI$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}R = rI - \rho R$$

β , *r*, and ρ are now rates.

Reproduction Number R_0 :

- R₀ = expected number of infected individuals resulting from a single initial infective
- Epidemic threshold: If $R_0 > 1$, 'epidemic' occurs.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



VERMONT

Differential equations for continuous model

$$\frac{d}{dt}S = -\beta IS + \rho R$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}I = \beta IS - rI$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}R = rI - \rho R$$

 β , *r*, and ρ are now rates.

Reproduction Number R_0 :

- R₀ = expected number of infected individuals resulting from a single initial infective
- Epidemic threshold: If $R_0 > 1$, 'epidemic' occurs.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References





NIVERSITY

Differential equations for continuous model

$$\frac{d}{dt}S = -\beta IS + \rho R$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}I = \beta IS - rI$$
$$\frac{d}{dt}R = rI - \rho R$$

 β , *r*, and ρ are now rates.

Reproduction Number R_0 :

- R₀ = expected number of infected individuals resulting from a single initial infective
- Epidemic threshold: If $R_0 > 1$, 'epidemic' occurs.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQ @ 89 of 137

Discrete version:

- Set up: One Infective in a randomly mixing population of Susceptibles
- At time t = 0, single infective random bumps into a Susceptible
- Probability of transmission = β
- ► At time t = 1, single Infective remains infected with probability 1 - r
- At time t = k, single Infective remains infected with probability $(1 r)^k$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Discrete version:

- Set up: One Infective in a randomly mixing population of Susceptibles
- At time t = 0, single infective random bumps into a Susceptible
- Probability of transmission = β
- ► At time t = 1, single Infective remains infected with probability 1 - r
- At time t = k, single Infective remains infected with probability $(1 r)^k$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Discrete version:

- Set up: One Infective in a randomly mixing population of Susceptibles
- At time t = 0, single infective random bumps into a Susceptible
- Probability of transmission = β
- ► At time t = 1, single Infective remains infected with probability 1 - r
- At time t = k, single Infective remains infected with probability $(1 r)^k$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Discrete version:

- Set up: One Infective in a randomly mixing population of Susceptibles
- At time t = 0, single infective random bumps into a Susceptible
- Probability of transmission = β
- ► At time t = 1, single Infective remains infected with probability 1 - r
- At time t = k, single Infective remains infected with probability $(1 r)^k$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Discrete version:

- Set up: One Infective in a randomly mixing population of Susceptibles
- At time t = 0, single infective random bumps into a Susceptible
- Probability of transmission = β
- ► At time t = 1, single Infective remains infected with probability 1 - r
- At time t = k, single Infective remains infected with probability $(1 r)^k$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Discrete version:

Expected number infected by original Infective:

$$R_0 = \beta + (1-r)\beta + (1-r)^2\beta + (1-r)^3\beta + \dots$$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Discrete version:

Expected number infected by original Infective:

$$R_0 = \beta + (1-r)\beta + (1-r)^2\beta + (1-r)^3\beta + \dots$$

$$=\beta\left(1+(1-r)+(1-r)^{2}+(1-r)^{3}+\ldots\right)$$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Discrete version:

Expected number infected by original Infective:

$$R_0 = \beta + (1-r)\beta + (1-r)^2\beta + (1-r)^3\beta + \dots$$

$$= \beta \left(1 + (1 - r) + (1 - r)^2 + (1 - r)^3 + \dots \right)$$
$$= \beta \frac{1}{1 - (1 - r)}$$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



UNIVERSITY

Discrete version:

Expected number infected by original Infective:

$$R_0 = \beta + (1-r)\beta + (1-r)^2\beta + (1-r)^3\beta + \dots$$

$$= \beta \left(1 + (1 - r) + (1 - r)^2 + (1 - r)^3 + \dots \right)$$
$$= \beta \frac{1}{1 - (1 - r)} = \beta / r$$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Discrete version:

Expected number infected by original Infective:

$$R_0 = \beta + (1-r)\beta + (1-r)^2\beta + (1-r)^3\beta + \dots$$

$$= \beta \left(1 + (1 - r) + (1 - r)^2 + (1 - r)^3 + \dots \right)$$
$$= \beta \frac{1}{1 - (1 - r)} = \beta / r$$

For S_0 initial infectives $(1 - S_0 = R_0 \text{ immune})$:

 $R_0 = S_0 \beta / r$

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



200 91 of 137

For the continuous version

Second equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{I} = \beta \mathbf{S}\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{r}\mathbf{I}$$

Number of infectives grows initially if

 $\beta S(0) - r > 0$

Same story as for discrete model.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



For the continuous version

Second equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{I} = \beta \mathbf{S}\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{r}\mathbf{I}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}I = (\beta S - r)I$$

Number of infectives grows initially if

 $\beta S(0) - r > 0$

Same story as for discrete model.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



For the continuous version

Second equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{I} = \beta \mathbf{S}\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{r}\mathbf{I}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}I = (\beta S - r)I$$

Number of infectives grows initially if

 $\beta S(0) - r > 0$

Same story as for discrete model.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



For the continuous version

Second equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{I} = \beta \mathbf{S}\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{r}\mathbf{I}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}I = (\beta S - r)I$$

Number of infectives grows initially if

 $\beta S(0) - r > 0$: $\beta S(0) > r$

Same story as for discrete model.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQ @ 92 of 137

For the continuous version

Second equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{I} = \beta \mathbf{S}\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{r}\mathbf{I}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}I = (\beta S - r)I$$

Number of infectives grows initially if

 $\beta S(0) - r > 0 : \beta S(0) > r : \beta S(0)/r > 1$

Same story as for discrete model.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



For the continuous version

Second equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\mathbf{I} = \beta \mathbf{S}\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{r}\mathbf{I}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}I = (\beta S - r)I$$

Number of infectives grows initially if

 $\beta S(0) - r > 0 : \beta S(0) > r : \beta S(0)/r > 1$

Same story as for discrete model.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References




Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models







Continuous phase transition.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models







Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



2 0 0 93 of 137

- Continuous phase transition.
- Fine idea from a simple model.

Many variants of the SIR model:

- SIS: susceptible-infective-susceptible
- SIRS: susceptible-infective-recovered-susceptible
- compartment models (age or gender partitions)
- more categories such as 'exposed' (SEIRS)
- recruitment (migration, birth)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Many variants of the SIR model:

- SIS: susceptible-infective-susceptible
- SIRS: susceptible-infective-recovered-susceptible
- compartment models (age or gender partitions)
- more categories such as 'exposed' (SEIRS)
- recruitment (migration, birth)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Many variants of the SIR model:

- SIS: susceptible-infective-susceptible
- SIRS: susceptible-infective-recovered-susceptible
- compartment models (age or gender partitions)
- more categories such as 'exposed' (SEIRS)
- recruitment (migration, birth)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Many variants of the SIR model:

- SIS: susceptible-infective-susceptible
- SIRS: susceptible-infective-recovered-susceptible
- compartment models (age or gender partitions)
- more categories such as 'exposed' (SEIRS)
- recruitment (migration, birth)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQ @ 94 of 137

Many variants of the SIR model:

- SIS: susceptible-infective-susceptible
- SIRS: susceptible-infective-recovered-susceptible
- compartment models (age or gender partitions)
- more categories such as 'exposed' (SEIRS)

recruitment (migration, birth)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Many variants of the SIR model:

- SIS: susceptible-infective-susceptible
- SIRS: susceptible-infective-recovered-susceptible
- compartment models (age or gender partitions)
- more categories such as 'exposed' (SEIRS)
- recruitment (migration, birth)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



For novel diseases:

- 1. Can we predict the size of an epidemic?
- 2. How important is the reproduction number R_0 ?

*R*₀ approximately same for all of the following:
▶ 1918-19 "Spanish Flu" ~ 500,000 deaths in US
▶ 1957-58 "Asian Flu" ~ 70,000 deaths in US
▶ 1968-69 "Hong Kong Flu" ~ 34,000 deaths in US
▶ 2003 "SARS Epidemic" ~ 800 deaths world-wide

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d dt > 0



For novel diseases:

- 1. Can we predict the size of an epidemic?
- 2. How important is the reproduction number R_0 ?

*R*₀ approximately same for all of the following:
1918-19 "Spanish Flu" ~ 500,000 deaths in US
1957-58 "Asian Flu" ~ 70,000 deaths in US
1968-69 "Hong Kong Flu" ~ 34,000 deaths in US
2003 "SARS Epidemic" ~ 800 deaths world-wide

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d d t >0



For novel diseases:

- 1. Can we predict the size of an epidemic?
- 2. How important is the reproduction number R_0 ?

*R*₀ approximately same for all of the following:
1918-19 "Spanish Flu" ~ 500,000 deaths in US
1957-58 "Asian Flu" ~ 70,000 deaths in US
1968-69 "Hong Kong Flu" ~ 34,000 deaths in US
2003 "SARS Epidemic" ~ 800 deaths world-wide

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d dt > 0



For novel diseases:

- 1. Can we predict the size of an epidemic?
- 2. How important is the reproduction number R_0 ?

*R*₀ approximately same for all of the following:
1918-19 "Spanish Flu" ~ 500,000 deaths in US
1957-58 "Asian Flu" ~ 70,000 deaths in US
1968-69 "Hong Kong Flu" ~ 34,000 deaths in US
2003 "SARS Epidemic" ~ 800 deaths world-wide

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d > 0



For novel diseases:

- 1. Can we predict the size of an epidemic?
- 2. How important is the reproduction number R_0 ?

 R_0 approximately same for all of the following:

- ▶ 1918-19 "Spanish Flu" \sim 500,000 deaths in US
- 1957-58 "Asian Flu" ~ 70,000 deaths in US
 1968-69 "Hong Kong Flu" ~ 34,000 deaths in US
 2003 "SARS Epidemic" ~ 800 deaths world-wide

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



20 95 of 137

For novel diseases:

- 1. Can we predict the size of an epidemic?
- 2. How important is the reproduction number R_0 ?

 R_0 approximately same for all of the following:

- ▶ 1918-19 "Spanish Flu" \sim 500,000 deaths in US
- 1957-58 "Asian Flu" ~ 70,000 deaths in US

1968-69 "Hong Kong Flu" ~ 34,000 deaths in US
 2003 "SARS Epidemic" ~ 800 deaths world-wide

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





For novel diseases:

- 1. Can we predict the size of an epidemic?
- 2. How important is the reproduction number R_0 ?

 R_0 approximately same for all of the following:

- ▶ 1918-19 "Spanish Flu" \sim 500,000 deaths in US
- 1957-58 "Asian Flu" ~ 70,000 deaths in US
- 1968-69 "Hong Kong Flu" ~ 34,000 deaths in US
 2003 "SARS Epidemic" ~ 800 deaths world-wide

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



For novel diseases:

- 1. Can we predict the size of an epidemic?
- 2. How important is the reproduction number R_0 ?

 R_0 approximately same for all of the following:

- ▶ 1918-19 "Spanish Flu" \sim 500,000 deaths in US
- 1957-58 "Asian Flu" ~ 70,000 deaths in US
- ▶ 1968-69 "Hong Kong Flu" ~ 34,000 deaths in US
- 2003 "SARS Epidemic" ~ 800 deaths world-wide

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



Size distributions are important elsewhere:

- earthquakes (Gutenberg-Richter law)
- city sizes, forest fires, war fatalities
- wealth distributions
- 'popularity' (books, music, websites, ideas)
- ► Epidemics?

Really, what about epidemics?

- Simply hasn't attracted much attention.
- Data not as clean as for other phenomena.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Size distributions are important elsewhere:

- earthquakes (Gutenberg-Richter law)
- city sizes, forest fires, war fatalities
- wealth distributions
- 'popularity' (books, music, websites, ideas)
- ► Epidemics?

Really, what about epidemics?

- Simply hasn't attracted much attention.
- Data not as clean as for other phenomena.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Size distributions are important elsewhere:

- earthquakes (Gutenberg-Richter law)
- city sizes, forest fires, war fatalities
- wealth distributions
- 'popularity' (books, music, websites, ideas)
- ► Epidemics?

Really, what about epidemics?

- Simply hasn't attracted much attention.
- Data not as clean as for other phenomena.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Size distributions are important elsewhere:

- earthquakes (Gutenberg-Richter law)
- city sizes, forest fires, war fatalities
- wealth distributions
- 'popularity' (books, music, websites, ideas) Epidemics?

Really, what about epidemics?

Simply hasn't attracted much attention.

Data not as clean as for other phenomena.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Size distributions are important elsewhere:

- earthquakes (Gutenberg-Richter law)
- city sizes, forest fires, war fatalities
- wealth distributions
- 'popularity' (books, music, websites, ideas)
- ► Epidemics?

Really, what about epidemics?

Simply hasn't attracted much attention.

Data not as clean as for other phenomena.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Size distributions are important elsewhere:

- earthquakes (Gutenberg-Richter law)
- city sizes, forest fires, war fatalities
- wealth distributions
- 'popularity' (books, music, websites, ideas)
- Epidemics?

Really, what about epidemics?

Simply hasn't attracted much attention.

Data not as clean as for other phenomena.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Size distributions are important elsewhere:

- earthquakes (Gutenberg-Richter law)
- city sizes, forest fires, war fatalities
- wealth distributions
- 'popularity' (books, music, websites, ideas)
- Epidemics?

Power laws distributions are common but not obligatory...

Really, what about epidemics?

Simply hasn't attracted much attention.

Data not as clean as for other phenomena.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Size distributions are important elsewhere:

- earthquakes (Gutenberg-Richter law)
- city sizes, forest fires, war fatalities
- wealth distributions
- 'popularity' (books, music, websites, ideas)
- Epidemics?

Power laws distributions are common but not obligatory...

Really, what about epidemics?

- Simply hasn't attracted much attention.
- Data not as clean as for other phenomena.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Size distributions are important elsewhere:

- earthquakes (Gutenberg-Richter law)
- city sizes, forest fires, war fatalities
- wealth distributions
- 'popularity' (books, music, websites, ideas)
- Epidemics?

Power laws distributions are common but not obligatory...

Really, what about epidemics?

Simply hasn't attracted much attention.

Data not as clean as for other phenomena.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Size distributions are important elsewhere:

- earthquakes (Gutenberg-Richter law)
- city sizes, forest fires, war fatalities
- wealth distributions
- 'popularity' (books, music, websites, ideas)
- Epidemics?

Power laws distributions are common but not obligatory...

Really, what about epidemics?

- Simply hasn't attracted much attention.
- Data not as clean as for other phenomena.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Feeling III in Iceland

Caseload recorded monthly for range of diseases in Iceland, 1888-1990



 Treat outbreaks separated in time as 'novel' diseases. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Really not so good at all in Iceland

Epidemic size distributions N(S) for Measles, Rubella, and Whooping Cough.



Spike near S = 0, relatively flat otherwise.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Measles & Pertussis



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





Dac 99 of 137

Measles & Pertussis



Insert plots:

Complementary cumulative frequency distributions:

$$N(\Psi' > \Psi) \propto \Psi^{-\gamma+1}$$

Limited scaling with a possible break.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Measured values of γ :

- measles: 1.40 (low Ψ) and 1.13 (high Ψ)
- pertussis: 1.39 (low Ψ) and 1.16 (high Ψ)
- Expect 2 ≤ γ < 3 (finite mean, infinite variance
 When γ < 1, can't normalize
 Distribution is quite flat.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





200 100 of 137

Measured values of γ :

- measles: 1.40 (low Ψ) and 1.13 (high Ψ)
- pertussis: 1.39 (low Ψ) and 1.16 (high Ψ)
- Expect 2 ≤ n < 3 (finite mean, infinite variance
 When n < 1, can't normalize
 Distribution is quite flat

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Measured values of γ :

- measles: 1.40 (low Ψ) and 1.13 (high Ψ)
- pertussis: 1.39 (low Ψ) and 1.16 (high Ψ)
- Expect 2 ≤ γ < 3 (finite mean, infinite variance
 When γ < 1, can't normalize
 Distribution is quite flat.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





200 100 of 137

Measured values of γ :

- measles: 1.40 (low Ψ) and 1.13 (high Ψ)
- pertussis: 1.39 (low Ψ) and 1.16 (high Ψ)
- Expect $2 \le \gamma < 3$ (finite mean, infinite variance)
 - When a < 1, can't normalize
 Distribution is quite flat.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Measured values of γ :

- measles: 1.40 (low Ψ) and 1.13 (high Ψ)
- pertussis: 1.39 (low Ψ) and 1.16 (high Ψ)
- Expect $2 \le \gamma < 3$ (finite mean, infinite variance)
- When $\gamma < 1$, can't normalize

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models


Power law distributions

Measured values of γ :

- measles: 1.40 (low Ψ) and 1.13 (high Ψ)
- pertussis: 1.39 (low Ψ) and 1.16 (high Ψ)
- Expect $2 \le \gamma < 3$ (finite mean, infinite variance)
- When $\gamma < 1$, can't normalize
- Distribution is quite flat.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



160 D 120 # New cases 80 40 0 -Nov 16, '02 Dec 16, '02 Jan 15, '03 Feb 14, '03 Mar 16, '03 Apr 15, '03 May 15, '03 Jun 14, '03 Date of onset

Epidemic slows.

Epidemic discovers new 'pools' of susceptibles Resurgence Importance of rare, stochastic events. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models







Epidemic slows...

Epidemic discovers new 'pools' of susceptibles Resurgence Importance of rare, stochastic events. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





160 D 120 # New cases 80 40 0. Nov 16 '02 Dec 16 '02 Feb 14, '03 Mar 16 '03 Apr 15, '03 May 15, '03 Jun 14 '03 Jan 15, '03 Date of onset

 Epidemic slows... then an infective moves to a new context.

Resurgence, Importance of rare, stochastic events. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





160 D 120 # New cases 80 40 0. Nov 16 '02 Dec 16 '02 Feb 14 '03 Mar 16 '03 Apr 15, '03 May 15, '03 Jun 14 '03 Jan 15, '03 Date of onset

- Epidemic slows... then an infective moves to a new context.
- Epidemic discovers new 'pools' of susceptibles: Resurgence.
 - Importance of rare, stochastic events.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





160 D 120 # New cases 80 40 0. Nov 16 '02 Dec 16 '02 Feb 14 '03 Mar 16 '03 Apr 15, '03 May 15, '03 Jun 14, '03 Jan 15, '03 Date of onset

- Epidemic slows... then an infective moves to a new context.
- Epidemic discovers new 'pools' of susceptibles: Resurgence.
- Importance of rare, stochastic events.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





The challenge

So... can a simple model produce

- 1. broad epidemic distributions and
- 2. resurgence?



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal

Winning: it's not for everyone

Simple disease spreading models



Simple models typically produce bimodal or unimodal size distributions. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt

This-includes network models: random, small-world, scale-free, Exceptions

1. Forest fire models

Sophisticated metapopulation models



DQ @ 103 of 137



Simple models typically produce bimodal or unimodal size distributions. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt

 This includes network models: random, small-world, scale-free, ...

Forest fire models
Sophisticated metapopulation models





Simple models typically produce bimodal or unimodal size distributions. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d at >0



DQ @ 103 of 137

- This includes network models: random, small-world, scale-free, ...
 Exceptions:
 - 2. Sophisticated metapopulation mode



Simple models typically produce bimodal or unimodal size distributions. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d > 0 at > 0



DQ @ 103 of 137

- This includes network models: random, small-world, scale-free, ...
- Exceptions:
 - 1. Forest fire models
 - Sophisticated metapopulation models



Simple models typically produce bimodal or unimodal size distributions. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d at >0



990 103 of 137

 This includes network models: random, small-world, scale-free, ...

- Exceptions:
 - 1. Forest fire models
 - 2. Sophisticated metapopulation models

Forest fire models: [30]

- Rhodes & Anderson, 1996
- The physicist's approach: "if it works for magnets, it'll work for people..."

A bit of a stretch:

- Epidemics = forest fires spreading on 3-d and 5-d lattices.
- Claim Iceland and Faroe Islands exhibit power law distributions for outbreaks.
- Original forest fire model not completely understood.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





DQ @ 104 of 137

Forest fire models: [30]

- Rhodes & Anderson, 1996
- The physicist's approach: "if it works for magnets, it'll work for people..."

A bit of a stretch:

- Epidemics ≡ forest fires spreading on 3-d and 5-d lattices.
- Claim Iceland and Faroe Islands exhibit power law distributions for outbreaks.
- Original forest fire model not completely understood.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Forest fire models: [30]

- Rhodes & Anderson, 1996
- The physicist's approach: "if it works for magnets, it'll work for people..."

A bit of a stretch:

- . Epidemics ≡ forest fires spreading on 3-d and 5-d lattices.
- Claim Iceland and Faroe Islands exhibit power law distributions for outbreaks.
- Original forest fire model not completely understood.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Forest fire models: [30]

- Rhodes & Anderson, 1996
- The physicist's approach: "if it works for magnets, it'll work for people..."

A bit of a stretch:

- Epidemics ≡ forest fires spreading on 3-d and 5-d lattices.
- 2. Claim Iceland and Faroe Islands exhibit power law distributions for outbreaks.
- 3. Original forest fire model not completely understood.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Forest fire models: [30]

- Rhodes & Anderson, 1996
- The physicist's approach: "if it works for magnets, it'll work for people..."

A bit of a stretch:

- Epidemics ≡ forest fires spreading on 3-d and 5-d lattices.
- 2. Claim Iceland and Faroe Islands exhibit power law distributions for outbreaks.
- 3. Original forest fire model not completely understood.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Forest fire models: [30]

- Rhodes & Anderson, 1996
- The physicist's approach: "if it works for magnets, it'll work for people..."

A bit of a stretch:

- Epidemics ≡ forest fires spreading on 3-d and 5-d lattices.
- 2. Claim Iceland and Faroe Islands exhibit power law distributions for outbreaks.

3. Original forest fire model not completely understood.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Forest fire models: [30]

- Rhodes & Anderson, 1996
- The physicist's approach: "if it works for magnets, it'll work for people..."

A bit of a stretch:

- Epidemics ≡ forest fires spreading on 3-d and 5-d lattices.
- 2. Claim Iceland and Faroe Islands exhibit power law distributions for outbreaks.
- 3. Original forest fire model not completely understood.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Da @ 104 of 137



DQC 105 of 137

00

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

Community based mixing: Longini (two scales).

- Eubank et al.'s EpiSims/TRANSIMS—city simulations.
- Spreading through countries—Airlines: Germann et al., Corlizza et al.
- Vital work but perhaps hard to generalize from...
- Create a simple model involving multiscale travel
- Multiscale models suggested by others but not formalized (Bailey, Cliff and Haggett, Ferguson et al.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



- Community based mixing: Longini (two scales).
- Eubank et al.'s EpiSims/TRANSIMS—city simulations.
- Spreading through countries—Airlines: Germann et al., Corlizza et al.
- Vital work but perhaps hard to generalize from...
- Create a simple model involving multiscale travel
- Multiscale models suggested by others but not formalized (Bailey, Cliff and Haggett, Ferguson et al.)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



2 9 P 106 of 137

- Community based mixing: Longini (two scales).
- Eubank et al.'s EpiSims/TRANSIMS—city simulations.
- Spreading through countries—Airlines: Germann et al., Corlizza et al.
- Vital work but perhaps hard to generalize from...
- : Create a simple model involving multiscale travel
- Multiscale models suggested by others but not formalized (Bailey, Cliff and Haggett, Ferguson et al.)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



- Community based mixing: Longini (two scales).
- Eubank et al.'s EpiSims/TRANSIMS—city simulations.
- Spreading through countries—Airlines: Germann et al., Corlizza et al.
- Vital work but perhaps hard to generalize from...
- Create a simple model involving multiscale travel
- Multiscale models suggested by others but not formalized (Bailey, Cliff and Haggett, Ferguson et al.)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



- Community based mixing: Longini (two scales).
- Eubank et al.'s EpiSims/TRANSIMS—city simulations.
- Spreading through countries—Airlines: Germann et al., Corlizza et al.
- Vital work but perhaps hard to generalize from...
- Create a simple model involving multiscale travel
- Multiscale models suggested by others but not formalized (Bailey, Cliff and Haggett, Ferguson et al.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



- Community based mixing: Longini (two scales).
- Eubank et al.'s EpiSims/TRANSIMS—city simulations.
- Spreading through countries—Airlines: Germann et al., Corlizza et al.
- Vital work but perhaps hard to generalize from...
- Create a simple model involving multiscale travel
- Multiscale models suggested by others but not formalized (Bailey, Cliff and Haggett, Ferguson et al.)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





990 107 of 137

Very big question: What is N?

Should we model SARS in Hong Kong as spreading in a neighborhood, in Hong Kong, Asia, or the world?

For simple models, we need to know the final size beforehand...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Der Montersity S → Vermont S Der 107 of 137

Very big question: What is N?

- Should we model SARS in Hong Kong as spreading in a neighborhood, in Hong Kong, Asia, or the world?
- For simple models, we need to know the final size beforehand...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Dec 107 of 137

Very big question: What is N?

- Should we model SARS in Hong Kong as spreading in a neighborhood, in Hong Kong, Asia, or the world?
- For simple models, we need to know the final size beforehand...

Contexts and Identities—Bipartite networks



boards of directors

▶ movies

transportation modes (subway)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Contexts and Identities—Bipartite networks



- boards of directors
- movies.
- transportation modes (subway)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Contexts and Identities—Bipartite networks



- boards of directors
- movies

transportation modes (subway)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Contexts and Identities—Bipartite networks



- boards of directors
- movies
- transportation modes (subway)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Idea for social networks: incorporate identity.

Identity is formed from attributes such as:

- Geographic location
- Type of employment
- Age
- Recreational activities

Groups are crucial...

 formed by people with at least one similar attribut
Attributes ⇔ Contexts ⇔ Interactions ⇔ Networks. ^[43] Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Idea for social networks: incorporate identity.

Identity is formed from attributes such as:

- Geographic location
- Type of employment
- ► Age
- Recreational activities

Groups are crucial...

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Idea for social networks: incorporate identity.

Identity is formed from attributes such as:

- Geographic location
- Type of employment
- Age
- Recreational activities

Groups are crucial...

 formed by people with at least one similar attribute
Attributes ⇔ Contexts ⇔ Interactions ⇔ Networks.^[43] Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models




Idea for social networks: incorporate identity.

Identity is formed from attributes such as:

- Geographic location
- Type of employment
- Age
- Recreational activities

Groups are crucial...

 formed by people with at least one similar attribut
Attributes ⇔ Contexts ⇔ Interactions ⇔ Networks.^[43] Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Idea for social networks: incorporate identity.

Identity is formed from attributes such as:

- Geographic location
- Type of employment
- Age
- Recreational activities

Groups are crucial...

 formed by people with at least one similar attribute
Attributes ⇔ Contexts ⇔ Interactions ⇔ Networks.^[43] Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Idea for social networks: incorporate identity.

Identity is formed from attributes such as:

- Geographic location
- Type of employment
- Age
- Recreational activities

Groups are crucial...

 formed by people with at least one similar attribute
Attributes ⇔ Contexts ⇔ Interactions ⇔ Networks.^[43] Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Idea for social networks: incorporate identity.

Identity is formed from attributes such as:

- Geographic location
- Type of employment
- Age
- Recreational activities

Groups are crucial...

formed by people with at least one similar attribute

► Attributes ⇔ Contexts ⇔ Interactions ⇔ Networks.^[43] Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Idea for social networks: incorporate identity.

Identity is formed from attributes such as:

- Geographic location
- Type of employment
- Age
- Recreational activities

Groups are crucial...

formed by people with at least one similar attribute

► Attributes ⇔ Contexts ⇔ Interactions ⇔ Networks.^[43] Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d d t >0



Idea for social networks: incorporate identity.

Identity is formed from attributes such as:

- Geographic location
- Type of employment
- Age
- Recreational activities

Groups are crucial...

- formed by people with at least one similar attribute
- ► Attributes ⇔ Contexts ⇔ Interactions ⇔ Networks.^[43]

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Infer interactions/network from identities



Distance makes sense in identity/context space.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQC 110 of 137

Generalized context space



(Blau & Schwartz^[6], Simmel^[37], Breiger^[7])

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups Simple disease

spreading models

References



SQC 111 of 137

Geography—allow people to move between contexts:

- Locally: standard SIR model with random mixing
- discrete time simulation
- β = infection probability
- γ = recovery probability
- ► *P* = probability of travel
- Movement distance: $Pr(d) \propto exp(-d/\xi)$
- ξ = typical travel distance

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



Geography—allow people to move between contexts:

- Locally: standard SIR model with random mixing
- discrete time simulation
- β = infection probability
- γ = recovery probability
- ► *P* = probability of travel
- Movement distance: $Pr(d) \propto exp(-d/\xi)$
- ξ = typical travel distance

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Da @ 112 of 137

Geography—allow people to move between contexts:

- Locally: standard SIR model with random mixing
- discrete time simulation
- β = infection probability
- γ = recovery probability
- ► *P* = probability of travel
- Movement distance: $Pr(d) \propto exp(-d/\xi)$
- ξ = typical travel distance

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Geography—allow people to move between contexts:

- Locally: standard SIR model with random mixing
- discrete time simulation
- β = infection probability
- γ = recovery probability
- ► *P* = probability of travel
- Movement distance: $Pr(d) \propto exp(-d/\xi)$
- ξ = typical travel distance

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Geography—allow people to move between contexts:

- Locally: standard SIR model with random mixing
- discrete time simulation
- β = infection probability
- γ = recovery probability
- P = probability of travel
- Movement distance: $Pr(d) \propto exp(-d/\xi)$
- ξ = typical travel distance

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Da @ 112 of 137

Geography—allow people to move between contexts:

- Locally: standard SIR model with random mixing
- discrete time simulation
- β = infection probability
- γ = recovery probability
- P = probability of travel
- Movement distance: $Pr(d) \propto exp(-d/\xi)$
- ξ = typical travel distance

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Geography—allow people to move between contexts:

- Locally: standard SIR model with random mixing
- discrete time simulation
- β = infection probability
- γ = recovery probability
- P = probability of travel
- Movement distance: $Pr(d) \propto exp(-d/\xi)$
- ξ = typical travel distance

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Geography—allow people to move between contexts:

- Locally: standard SIR model with random mixing
- discrete time simulation
- β = infection probability
- γ = recovery probability
- P = probability of travel
- Movement distance: $Pr(d) \propto exp(-d/\xi)$
- ξ = typical travel distance

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



NIVERSITY 6

A toy agent-based model Schematic:

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



Vermont 8

• Define P_0 = Expected number of infected individuals leaving initially infected context.

Need P₀ > 1 for disease to spread (independent of R₀)

 Limit epidemic size by restricting frequency of trave and/or range

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d dt > c



- Define P_0 = Expected number of infected individuals leaving initially infected context.
- Need P₀ > 1 for disease to spread (independent of R₀).
 - Limit epidemic size by restricting frequency of trave and/or range

- Define P_0 = Expected number of infected individuals leaving initially infected context.
- Need P₀ > 1 for disease to spread (independent of R₀).
- Limit epidemic size by restricting frequency of travel and/or range

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



UNIVERSITY S

Varying ξ :



 Transition in expected final size based on typical movement distance Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



DQC 115 of 137

Varying ξ :



 Transition in expected final size based on typical movement distance (sensible) Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



DQ @ 115 of 137

Varying P_0 :



 Transition in expected final size based on typical number of infectives leaving first group

► Travel advisories: < has larger effect than P₀.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Varying P_0 :



 Transition in expected final size based on typical number of infectives leaving first group (also sensible)

Travel advisories: § has larger effect than P₀

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





Varying P_0 :



- Transition in expected final size based on typical number of infectives leaving first group (also sensible)
- Travel advisories: ξ has larger effect than P_0 .

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models







Flat distributions are possible for certain ξ and *P*. Different R_0 s may produce similar distributions Same epidemic sizes may arise from different R_0 Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models







Flat distributions are possible for certain ξ and *P*. Different R_0 s may produce similar distributions Same epidemic sizes may arise from different R_0 Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models







Flat distributions are possible for certain ξ and *P*.

Same epidemic sizes may arise from different R₀

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagion

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d dt >0





Flat distributions are possible for certain ξ and P.
Different R₀'s may produce similar distributions

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

Soc 117 of 137



Flat distributions are possible for certain ξ and P.
Different R₀'s may produce similar distributions
Same epidemic sizes may arise from different R₀'s

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d d d t >0

VERMONT

DQC 117 of 137

Model output—resurgence

Standard model:



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References

d dt >0

VERMONT 8

Model output—resurgence

Standard model with transport:



Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models

References

at >0

Dec 119 of 137

The upshot

Simple multiscale population structure

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





990 120 of 137

The upshot

Simple multiscale population structure + stochasticity Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



DQC 120 of 137

The upshot

Simple multiscale population structure + stochasticity

leads to

resurgence

+ broad epidemic size distributions

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





DQC 120 of 137

Conclusions

For this model, epidemic size is highly unpredictable

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models




For this model, epidemic size is highly unpredictable Model is more complicated than SIR but still simple

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d d d z > 0



For this model, epidemic size is highly unpredictable Model is more complicated than SIR but still simple We haven't even included normal social responses such as travel bans and self-quarantine.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





DQ @ 121 of 137

- For this model, epidemic size is highly unpredictable
- Model is more complicated than SIR but still simple
- We haven't even included normal social responses such as travel bans and self-quarantine.
- The reproduction number R_0 is not terribly useful.

how likely the observed epidemic size was,

2. and how likely future epidemics will be.

Problem R₀ summarises one epidemic after the fact and enfolds movement, the price of bananas, everything Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





- For this model, epidemic size is highly unpredictable
- Model is more complicated than SIR but still simple
- We haven't even included normal social responses such as travel bans and self-quarantine.
- ► The reproduction number *R*₀ is not terribly useful.
- R₀, however measured, is not informative about
 - how likely the observed epidemic size was,
 and how likely future epidemics will be.
 - Problem: R₀ summarises one epidemic after the fact and enfolds movement, the price of bananas, everything.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





- For this model, epidemic size is highly unpredictable
- Model is more complicated than SIR but still simple
- We haven't even included normal social responses such as travel bans and self-quarantine.
- The reproduction number R_0 is not terribly useful.
- R₀, however measured, is not informative about
 - 1. how likely the observed epidemic size was,

Problem: Ro summarises one epidemic after the fact and enfolds movement, the price of bananas, everything. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





- For this model, epidemic size is highly unpredictable
- Model is more complicated than SIR but still simple
- We haven't even included normal social responses such as travel bans and self-quarantine.
- The reproduction number R_0 is not terribly useful.
- R₀, however measured, is not informative about
 - 1. how likely the observed epidemic size was,
 - 2. and how likely future epidemics will be.

Problem: Re summarises one epidemic after the fact and enfolds movement, the price of bananas, everything. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





- For this model, epidemic size is highly unpredictable
- Model is more complicated than SIR but still simple
- We haven't even included normal social responses such as travel bans and self-quarantine.
- The reproduction number R_0 is not terribly useful.
- R₀, however measured, is not informative about
 - 1. how likely the observed epidemic size was,
 - 2. and how likely future epidemics will be.
- Problem: R₀ summarises one epidemic after the fact and enfolds movement, the price of bananas, everything.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



 Disease spread highly sensitive to population structure
 Bare events may matter enormously

More support for controlling population movement

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version

Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





DQ @ 122 of 137

 Disease spread highly sensitive to population structure

Rare events may matter enormously

More support for controlling population movement

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version

Simple disease spreading models





 Disease spread highly sensitive to population structure

 Rare events may matter enormously (e.g., an infected individual taking an international flight)

More support for controlling population movement

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





- Disease spread highly sensitive to population structure
- Rare events may matter enormously (e.g., an infected individual taking an international flight)
- More support for controlling population movement

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

dt



NIVERSITY 6

- Disease spread highly sensitive to population structure
- Rare events may matter enormously (e.g., an infected individual taking an international flight)
- More support for controlling population movement (e.g., travel advisories, quarantine)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQ @ 122 of 137

What to do:

- Need to separate movement from disease
- \triangleright R_0 needs a friend or two.
- Need R₀ > 1 and P₀ > 1 and ξ sufficiently large for disease to have a chance of spreading

More wondering:

- Exactly how important are rare events in disease spreading?
- Again, what is N?

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





What to do:

- Need to separate movement from disease
- R₀ needs a friend or two.
- Need R₀ > 1 and P₀ > 1 and ξ sufficiently large for disease to have a chance of spreading

More wondering:

- Exactly how important are rare events in disease spreading?
- Again, what is N?

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References

d d d t >0



DQ @ 123 of 137

What to do:

- Need to separate movement from disease
- R₀ needs a friend or two.
- Need R₀ > 1 and P₀ > 1 and ξ sufficiently large for disease to have a chance of spreading

More wondering:

Exactly how important are rare events in disease spreading? Again, what is *N*? Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





What to do:

- Need to separate movement from disease
- R₀ needs a friend or two.
- Need R₀ > 1 and P₀ > 1 and ξ sufficiently large for disease to have a chance of spreading

More wondering:

- Exactly how important are rare events in disease spreading?
- Again, what is N?

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQ @ 123 of 137

What to do:

- Need to separate movement from disease
- R₀ needs a friend or two.
- Need R₀ > 1 and P₀ > 1 and ξ sufficiently large for disease to have a chance of spreading

More wondering:

- Exactly how important are rare events in disease spreading?
- Again, what is N?

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Valiant attempts to use SIR and co. elsewhere:

- Adoption of ideas/beliefs (Goffman & Newell, 1964)^[18]
- Spread of rumors (Daley & Kendall, 1965)^[15]
- Diffusion of innovations (Bass, 1969)^[2]
- Spread of fanatical behavior (Castillo-Chávez & Song, 2003)
- Spread of Feynmann diagrams (Bettencourt et al., 2006)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



UNIVERSITY 6

Valiant attempts to use SIR and co. elsewhere:

- Adoption of ideas/beliefs (Goffman & Newell, 1964)^[18]
- Spread of rumors (Daley & Kendall, 1965)^[15]
- ▶ Diffusion of innovations (Bass, 1969)^[2]
- Spread of fanatical behavior (Castillo-Chávez & Song, 2003)
- Spread of Feynmann diagrams (Bettencourt et al., 2006)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Valiant attempts to use SIR and co. elsewhere:

- Adoption of ideas/beliefs (Goffman & Newell, 1964)^[18]
- Spread of rumors (Daley & Kendall, 1965)^[15]
- Diffusion of innovations (Bass, 1969)^[2]
- Spread of fanatical behavior (Castillo-Chávez & Song, 2003)
- Spread of Feynmann diagrams (Bettencourt et al., 2006)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



NIVERSITY 6

Valiant attempts to use SIR and co. elsewhere:

- Adoption of ideas/beliefs (Goffman & Newell, 1964)^[18]
- Spread of rumors (Daley & Kendall, 1965)^[15]
- Diffusion of innovations (Bass, 1969)^[2]
- Spread of fanatical behavior (Castillo-Chávez & Song, 2003)
- Spread of Feynmann diagrams (Bettencourt et al., 2006)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Valiant attempts to use SIR and co. elsewhere:

- Adoption of ideas/beliefs (Goffman & Newell, 1964)^[18]
- Spread of rumors (Daley & Kendall, 1965)^[15]
- Diffusion of innovations (Bass, 1969)^[2]
- Spread of fanatical behavior (Castillo-Chávez & Song, 2003)
- Spread of Feynmann diagrams (Bettencourt et al., 2006)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagio Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



Valiant attempts to use SIR and co. elsewhere:

- Adoption of ideas/beliefs (Goffman & Newell, 1964)^[18]
- Spread of rumors (Daley & Kendall, 1965)^[15]
- Diffusion of innovations (Bass, 1969)^[2]
- Spread of fanatical behavior (Castillo-Chávez & Song, 2003)
- Spread of Feynmann diagrams (Bettencourt et al., 2006)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



References I

- [1] M. Adler. Stardom and talent. <u>American Economic Review</u>, pages 208–212, 1985. pdf (⊞)
- [2] F. Bass.

A new product growth model for consumer durables. Manage. Sci., 15:215–227, 1969. pdf (\boxplus)

[3] A. Bentley, M. Earls, and M. J. O'Brien. I'll Have What She's Having: Mapping Social Behavior. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2011.

 S. Bikhchandani, D. Hirshleifer, and I. Welch. A theory of fads, fashion, custom, and cultural change as informational cascades.
 J. Polit. Econ., 100:992–1026, 1992. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





References II

[5] S. Bikhchandani, D. Hirshleifer, and I. Welch. Learning from the behavior of others: Conformity, fads, and informational cascades.

<u>J. Econ. Perspect.</u>, 12(3):151–170, 1998. pdf (⊞)

[6] P. M. Blau and J. E. Schwartz. Crosscutting Social Circles. Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1984.

[7] R. L. Breiger.
 The duality of persons and groups.
 <u>Social Forces</u>; 53(2):181–190, 1974. pdf (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



References III

[8] B. Caplan.

What makes people think like economists? evidence on economic cognition from the "survey of americans and economists on the economy". Journal of Law and Economics, 44:395–426, 2001. pdf (\boxplus)

 J. M. Carlson and J. Doyle. Highly optimized tolerance: A mechanism for power laws in designed systems.
 Phys. Rev. E, 60(2):1412–1427, 1999. pdf (⊞)

[10] J. M. Carlson and J. Doyle. Highly optimized tolerance: Robustness and design in complex systems.

Phys. Rev. Lett., 84(11):2529–2532, 2000. pdf (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





References IV

[11] I. D. Chase, C. Tovey, D. Spangler-Martin, and M. Manfredonia.

Individual differences versus social dynamics in the formation of animal dominance hierarchies. <u>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.</u>, 99(8):5744–5749, 2002. pdf (⊞)

 [12] N. A. Christakis and J. H. Fowler. The spread of obesity in a large social network over 32 years.
 <u>New England Journal of Medicine</u>, 357:370–379, 2007. pdf (田)

 [13] N. A. Christakis and J. H. Fowler. The collective dynamics of smoking in a large social network. <u>New England Journal of Medicine</u>, 358:2249–2258, 2008. pdf (⊞) Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





References V

[14] R. B. Cialdini.
 <u>Influence: Science and Practice</u>.
 Allyn and Bacon, Boston, MA, 4th edition, 2000.

[15] D. J. Daley and D. G. Kendall.
 Stochastic rumours.
 J. Inst. Math. Appl., 1:42–55, 1965.

[16] M. Gladwell. <u>The Tipping Point.</u> Little, Brown and Company, New York, 2000.

[17] J. Gleick. <u>The Information: A History, A Theory, A Flood</u>. Pantheon, 2011. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





References VI

[18] W. Goffman and V. A. Newill. Generalization of epidemic theory: An application to the transmission of ideas. Nature, 204:225–228, 1964.

[19] M. Granovetter.
 Threshold models of collective behavior.
 Am. J. Sociol., 83(6):1420–1443, 1978. pdf (⊞)

[20] E. Hoffer. <u>The True Believer: On The Nature Of Mass</u> <u>Movements</u>.

Harper and Row, New York, 1951.

[21] E. Hoffer. <u>The Passionate State of Mind: And Other</u> <u>Aphorisms</u>. <u>Buccaneer Books</u>, 1954. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





References VII

[22] E. Katz and P. F. Lazarsfeld. <u>Personal Influence</u>. The Free Press, New York, 1955.

W. O. Kermack and A. G. McKendrick.
 A contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics.
 Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, 115:700–721, 1927. pdf (⊞)

[24] W. O. Kermack and A. G. McKendrick.
 A contribution to the mathematical theory of epidemics. III. Further studies of the problem of endemicity.
 Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, 141(843):94–122, 1927. pdf (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References



DQC 131 of 137

References VIII

[25] W. O. Kermack and A. G. McKendrick. Contributions to the mathematical theory of epidemics. II. The problem of endemicity. <u>Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A</u>, 138(834):55–83, 1927. pdf (⊞)

[26] T. Kuran.

Now out of never: The element of surprise in the east european revolution of 1989. World Politics, 44:7–48, 1991. pdf (⊞)

[27] T. Kuran.

Private Truths, Public Lies: The Social Consequences of Preference Falsification.

Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, Reprint edition, 1997.

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





References IX

[28] J. D. Murray. <u>Mathematical Biology</u>. Springer, New York, Third edition, 2002.

 [29] M. I. Norton and D. Ariely. Building a better America—One wealth quintile at a time.
 Perspectives on Psychological Science, 6:9–12, 2011. pdf (⊞)

[30] C. J. Rhodes and R. M. Anderson. Power laws governing epidemics in isolated populations. Nature, 381:600–602, 1996. pdf (⊞) Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

References





UNIVERSITY 6

References X

[31] D. M. Romero, B. Meeder, and J. Kleinberg. Differences in the mechanics of information diffusion across topics: Idioms, political hashtags, and complex contagion on Twitter. In Proceedings of World Wide Web Conference,

2011. pdf (⊞)
[32] S. Rosen.
The economics of superstars.

Am. Econ. Rev., 71:845–858, 1981. pdf (⊞)

[33] M. J. Salganik, P. S. Dodds, and D. J. Watts. An experimental study of inequality and unpredictability in an artificial cultural market. Science, 311:854–856, 2006. pdf (⊞) Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models



References XI

[34] T. C. Schelling. Dynamic models of segregation. J. Math. Sociol., 1:143–186, 1971. pdf (⊞)

[35] T. C. Schelling.

Hockey helmets, concealed weapons, and daylight saving: A study of binary choices with externalities. J. Conflict Resolut., 17:381–428, 1973. $pdf (\boxplus)$

[36] T. C. Schelling. Micromotives and Macrobehavior. Norton, New York, 1978.

 [37] G. Simmel. The number of members as determining the sociological form of the group. I. American Journal of Sociology, 8:1–46, 1902. Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





References XII

[38] D. Sornette. Critical Phenomena in Natural Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2nd edition, 2003.

 [39] J. Ugander, L. Backstrom, C. Marlow, and J. Kleinberg.
 Structural diversity in social contagion.
 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 109:5962–5966, 2012. pdf (⊞)

[40] D. J. Watts.
 A simple model of global cascades on random networks.
 Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 99(9):5766–5771, 2002.
 pdf (⊞)

Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagion Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models





References XIII

[41] D. J. Watts and P. S. Dodds. Influentials, networks, and public opinion formation. <u>Journal of Consumer Research</u>, 34:441–458, 2007. pdf (⊞)

 [42] D. J. Watts and P. S. Dodds. Threshold models of social influence. In P. Hedström and P. Bearman, editors, <u>The Oxford</u> <u>Handbook of Analytical Sociology</u>, chapter 20, pages 475–497. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2009. pdf (⊞)

[43] D. J. Watts, P. S. Dodds, and M. E. J. Newman. Identity and search in social networks. Science, 296:1302–1305, 2002. pdf (⊞) Complex Sociotechnical Systems

A Very Dismal Science

Contagior

Winning: it's not for everyone

Social Contagior Models Granovetter's model Network version Groups

Simple disease spreading models

