Optimal Supply Networks Complex Networks, CSYS/MATH 303, Spring, 2010

Prof. Peter Dodds

Department of Mathematics & Statistics Center for Complex Systems Vermont Advanced Computing Center University of Vermont







Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 1/86

Outline

Introduction

Optimal branching

Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source

Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources

Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 2/86

P

୬ବଙ

Optimal supply networks

What's the best way to distribute stuff?

- Stuff = medical services, energy, people,
- Some fundamental network problems:
 - 1. Distribute stuff from a single source to many sinks
 - 2. Distribute stuff from many sources to many sinks
 - Redistribute stuff between nodes that are both sources and sinks
- Supply and Collection are equivalent problems

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 3/86

୍ର୍ର୍ବ୍

Basic Q for distribution/supply networks:

How does flow behave given cost:

$$C = \sum_{j} I_{j}^{\gamma} Z_{j}$$

where

 l_j = current on link j

and

 $Z_j = \text{link } j$'s impedance?

• Example: $\gamma = 2$ for electrical networks.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 4/86

৸৵ঀ৻৻৽







(a) γ > 1: Braided (bulk) flow
(b) γ < 1: Local minimum: Branching flow
(c) γ < 1: Global minimum: Branching flow

From Bohn and Magnasco^[3] See also Banavar et al.^[1]

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 5/86

SAR



Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks Biver networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 6/86

P

୬ବ୍ଦ

Growing networks:



Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching

Single Source

Distributed

5

Xia (2007)^[26]

Frame 7/86 a ୍ର୍ର୍୍

Growing networks:



Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Xia (2007)^[26]

An immensely controversial issue...

The form of river networks and blood networks: optimal or not?^[25, 2, 5, 4]

Two observations:

- Self-similar networks appear everywhere in nature for single source supply/single sink collection.
- Real networks differ in details of scaling but reasonably agree in scaling relations.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 9/86

୍ର୍ବ୍ର୍

River network models

Optimality:

- Optimal channel networks^[15]
- Thermodynamic analogy^[16]

versus...

Randomness:

- Scheidegger's directed random networks
- Undirected random networks

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 10/86

Cardiovascular networks:

Murray's law (1926) connects branch radii at forks: [13, 12, 14]

where r_0 = radius of main branch and r_1 and r_2 are radii of sub-branches.

 See D'Arcy Thompson's "On Growth and Form" for background inspiration^[20, 21].

 $r_0^3 = r_1^3 + r_2^3$

- ► Calculation assumes Poiseuille flow (⊞).
- Holds up well for outer branchings of blood networks.
- ► Also found to hold for trees ^[14, 10, 11].
- Use hydraulic equivalent of Ohm's law:

$$\Delta p = \Phi Z \Leftrightarrow V = IR$$

where Δp = pressure difference, Φ = flux.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Cardiovascular networks:

Fluid mechanics: Poiseuille impedance (⊞) for smooth flow in a tube of radius r and length ℓ:

$$Z=\frac{8\eta\ell}{\pi r^4}$$

where $\eta = \underline{\text{dynamic viscosity}}$ (\boxplus) (units: $ML^{-1}T^{-1}$).

Power required to overcome impedance:

$$P_{\rm drag} = \Phi \Delta \rho = \Phi^2 Z.$$

Also have rate of energy expenditure in maintaining blood:

$$P_{\rm metabolic} = cr^2 \ell$$

where c is a metabolic constant.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 13/86

Aside on P_{drag}

- ► Work done = F · d = energy transferred by force F
- Power = P = rate work is done = $F \cdot v$
- $\Delta p =$ Force per unit area
- Φ = Volume per unit time
 = cross-sectional area · velocity
- So $\Phi \Delta p$ = Force · velocity

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 14/86

Murray's law:

Total power (cost):

$$P = P_{\text{drag}} + P_{\text{metabolic}} = \Phi^2 \frac{8\eta\ell}{\pi r^4} + cr^2\ell$$

- Observe power increases linearly with l
- But r's effect is nonlinear:
 - increasing r makes flow easier but increases metabolic cost (as r²)
 - decreasing r decrease metabolic cost but impedance goes up (as r⁻⁴)

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 15/86 団 かへへ

Murray's law:

▶ Minimize *P* with respect to *r*:

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} = \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\Phi^2 \frac{8\eta\ell}{\pi r^4} + cr^2 \ell \right)$$

$$=-4\Phi^2\frac{8\eta\ell}{\pi r^5}+c2r\ell=0$$

Rearrange/cancel/slap:

$$\Phi^2 = \frac{c\pi r^6}{16\eta} = k^2 r^6$$

where k = constant.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 16/86

Murray's law:

So we now have:

$$\Phi = kr^3$$

Flow rates at each branching have to add up (else our organism is in serious trouble...):

$$\Phi_0=\Phi_1+\Phi_2$$

where again 0 refers to the main branch and 1 and 2 refers to the offspring branches

All of this means we have a groovy cube-law:

$$r_0^3 = r_1^3 + r_2^3$$

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Murray meets Tokunaga:

- Φ_ω = volume rate of flow into an order ω vessel segment
- Tokunaga picture:

$$\Phi_{\omega} = 2\Phi_{\omega-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\omega-1} T_k \Phi_{\omega-k}$$

• Using
$$\phi_{\omega} = kr_{\omega}^3$$

$$r_{\omega}^{3} = 2r_{\omega-1}^{3} + \sum_{k=1}^{\omega-1} T_{k}r_{\omega-k}^{3}$$

Find Horton ratio for vessel radius $R_r = r_{\omega}/r_{\omega-1}...$

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 19/86

Murray meets Tokunaga:

Find R³_r satisfies same equation as R_n and R_v (v is for volume):

$$R_r^3 = R_n = R_v$$

Is there more we could do here to constrain the Horton ratios and Tokunaga constants?

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 20/86

Murray meets Tokunaga:

• Isometry: $V_{\omega} \propto \ell_{\omega}^3$

Gives

$$R_{\ell}^3 = R_{\nu} = R_n$$

- We need one more constraint...
- West et al (1997)^[25] achieve similar results following Horton's laws.
- So does Turcotte et al. (1998)^[22] using Tokunaga (sort of).

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 21/86

- Consider one source supplying many sinks in a volume V d-dim. region in a D-dim. ambient space.
- Assume sinks are invariant.
- Assume ρ = ρ(V), i.e., ρ may vary with region's volume V.
- See network as a bundle of virtual vessels:



- Q: how does the number of sustainable sinks N_{sinks} scale with volume V for the most efficient network design?
- Or: what is the highest α for $N_{\text{sinks}} \propto V^{\alpha}$?

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Allometrically growing regions:



Have d length scales which scale as

$$L_i \propto V^{\gamma_i}$$
 where $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \ldots + \gamma_d = 1$.

- For isometric growth, $\gamma_i = 1/d$.
- For allometric growth, we must have at least two of the {γ_i} being different

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 24/86

Best and worst configurations (Banavar et al.)



• Rather obviously: min $V_{\text{net}} \propto \sum$ distances from source to sinks.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 25/86

Minimal network volume:

Real supply networks are close to optimal:



Figure 1. (a) Commuter rail network in the Boston area. The arrow marks the assumed root of the network. (b) Star graph. (c) Minimum spanning tree. (d) The model of equation (3) applied to the same set of stations.

(2006) Gastner and Newman^[8]: "Shape and efficiency in spatial distribution networks"

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 26/86 අප න ද ලං

Minimal network volume:

Add one more element:

- Vessel cross-sectional area may vary with distance from the source.
- Flow rate increases as cross-sectional area decreases.
- e.g., a collection network may have vessels tapering as they approach the central sink.
- ► Find that vessel volume v must scale with vessel length ℓ to affect overall system scalings.
- Consider vessel radius $r \propto (\ell + 1)^{-\epsilon}$, tapering from $r = r_{\text{max}}$ where $\epsilon \ge 0$.
- Gives $v \propto \ell^{1-2\epsilon}$ if $\epsilon < 1/2$
- Gives $v \propto 1 \ell^{-(2\epsilon-1)} \rightarrow 1$ for large ℓ if $\epsilon > 1/2$
- Previously, we looked at $\epsilon = 0$ only.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 27/86 日 りへへ

Minimal network volume:

For $0 \le \epsilon < 1/2$, approximate network volume by integral over region:

min
$$V_{\rm net} \propto \int_{\Omega_{d,D}(V)} \rho \, ||ec{x}||^{1-2\epsilon} \, \mathrm{d}ec{x}$$

Insert question 1, assignment 3 (⊞)

$$\propto \rho V^{1+\gamma_{\max}(1-2\epsilon)}$$
 where $\gamma_{\max} = \max_{i} \gamma_{i}$.

For $\epsilon > 1/2$, find simply that

min $V_{\rm net} \propto \rho V$

 So if supply lines can taper fast enough and without limit, minimum network volume can be made negligible.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 28/86

Sac.

For $0 \le \epsilon < 1/2$:

- $\blacktriangleright \min V_{\rm net} \propto \rho V^{1+\gamma_{\rm max}(1-2\epsilon)}$
- If scaling is isometric, we have $\gamma_{max} = 1/d$:

min
$$V_{\rm net/iso} \propto \rho V^{1+(1-2\epsilon)/d}$$

If scaling is allometric, we have γ_{max} = γ_{allo} > 1/d: and

min $V_{\rm net/allo} \propto \rho V^{1+(1-2\epsilon)\gamma_{\rm allo}}$

Isometrically growing volumes require less network volume than allometrically growing volumes:

$$\frac{\min V_{\text{net/iso}}}{\min V_{\text{net/allo}}} \to 0 \text{ as } V \to \infty$$

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

For $\epsilon > 1/2$:

- $\blacktriangleright \quad \min V_{\rm net} \propto \rho V$
- Network volume scaling is now independent of overall shape scaling.

Limits to scaling

- Can argue that
 e must effectively be 0 for real networks over large enough scales.
- Limit to how fast material can move, and how small material packages can be.
- e.g., blood velocity and blood cell size.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 30/86

Blood networks

- Velocity at capillaries and aorta approximately constant across body size ^[24]: ϵ = 0.
- Material costly ⇒ expect lower optimal bound of V_{net} ∝ ρV^{(d+1)/d} to be followed closely.
- For cardiovascular networks, d = D = 3.
- Blood volume scales linearly with blood volume [17], $V_{\text{net}} \propto V$.
- ► Sink density must ∴ decrease as volume increases:

 $ho \propto V^{-1/d}.$

Density of suppliable sinks decreases with organism size.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Blood networks

Then P, the rate of overall energy use in Ω, can at most scale with volume as

 $P \propto
ho V \propto
ho M \propto M^{(d-1)/d}$

For d = 3 dimensional organisms, we have

 $P \propto M^{2/3}$

- Including other constraints may raise scaling exponent to a higher, less efficient value.
- Exciting bonus: Scaling obtained by the supply network story and the surface-area law only match for isometrically growing shapes.
 Insert question 3, assignment 3 (⊞)

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 33/86 日 のへへ

Recap:

- ► The exponent α = 2/3 works for all birds and mammals up to 10–30 kg
- For mammals > 10–30 kg, maybe we have a new scaling regime
- Economos: limb length break in scaling around 20 kg
- White and Seymour, 2005: unhappy with large herbivore measurements. Find α ≃ 0.686 ± 0.014

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 34/86

River networks

- View river networks as collection networks.
- Many sources and one sink.
- ► *ϵ*?
- Assume ρ is constant over time and $\epsilon = 0$:

 $V_{\rm net} \propto \rho V^{(d+1)/d} = {\rm constant} \times V^{3/2}$

- Network volume grows faster than basin 'volume' (really area).
- It's all okay:

Landscapes are d=2 surfaces living in D=3 dimension.

- Streams can grow not just in width but in depth...
- If *ϵ* > 0, *V*_{net} will grow more slowly but 3/2 appears to be confirmed from real data.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 36/86 日 のへへ

Many sources, many sinks

How do we distribute sources?

- Focus on 2-d (results generalize to higher dimensions)
- Sources = hospitals, post offices, pubs, ...
- Key problem: How do we cope with uneven population densities?
- Obvious: if density is uniform then sources are best distributed uniformly
- Which lattice is optimal? The hexagonal lattice Q1: How big should the hexagons be?
- Q2: Given population density is uneven, what do we do?
- We'll follow work by Stephan^[18, 19], Gastner and Newman (2006)^[7], Um *et al.* ^[23] and work cited by them.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 38/86

A ~ ~

Solidifying the basic problem

- Given a region with some population distribution ρ, most likely uneven.
- Given resources to build and maintain N facilities.
- Q: How do we locate these N facilities so as to minimize the average distance between an individual's residence and the nearest facility?

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 39/86



From Gastner and Newman (2006)^[7]

- Approximately optimal location of 5000 facilities.
- Based on 2000 Census data.
- Simulated annealing + Voronoi tessellation.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 40/86



From Gastner and Newman (2006)^[7]

- Optimal facility density D vs. population density ρ.
- Fit is $D \propto \rho^{0.66}$ with $r^2 = 0.94$.
- Looking good for a 2/3 power...

Supply Networks

Introduction
Optimal branching
Muray's law
Muray meets Tokunaga
Single Source
Geometric argument
Blood networks
River networks
Distributed
Sources
Facility location
Size-density law
Carlograms
A reasonable derivation

A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 41/86

SAR

Size-density law:

$D \propto ho^{2/3}$

- Why?
- Again: Different story to branching networks where there was either one source or one sink.
- Now sources & sinks are distributed throughout region...

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 43/86
- ▶ We first examine Stephan's treatment (1977)^[18, 19]
- "Territorial Division: The Least-Time Constraint Behind the Formation of Subnational Boundaries" (Science, 1977)
- Zipf-like approach: invokes principle of minimal effort.
- Also known as the Homer principle.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 44/86

ା ୬ବ୍ଦ

- Consider a region of area A and population P with a single functional center that everyone needs to access every day.
- Build up a general cost function based on time expended to access and maintain center.
- Write average travel distance to center as d and assume average speed of travel is v.
- Assume isometry: average travel distance d will be on the length scale of the region which is ~ A^{1/2}
- Average time expended per person in accessing facility is therefore

 $\bar{d}/\bar{v} = cA^{1/2}/\bar{v}$

where c is an unimportant shape factor.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

- Next assume facility requires regular maintenance (person-hours per day)
- Call this quantity \(\tau\)
- If burden of mainenance is shared then average cost per person is *τ*/*P* where *P* = population.
- Replace *P* by ρA where ρ is density.
- Total average time cost per person:

$$T = \bar{d}/\bar{v} + \tau/(\rho A) = g A^{1/2}/\bar{v} + \tau/(\rho A).$$

Now Minimize with respect to A...

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 46/86

Differentiating...

$$\frac{\partial T}{\partial A} = \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \left(c A^{1/2} / \bar{v} + \tau / (\rho A) \right)$$
$$= \frac{c}{2 \bar{v} A^{1/2}} - \frac{\tau}{\rho A^2} = 0$$

Rearrange:

$${\it A}=\left(rac{2ar{
u} au}{c
ho}
ight)^{2/3}\propto
ho^{-2/3}$$

$$ho$$
 # facilities per unit area \propto

$$A^{-1} \propto
ho^{2/3}$$

Groovy...

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 47/86

An issue:

Maintenance (\(\tau\)) is assumed to be independent of population and area (P and A)

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 48/86

一 うへで

Stephan's online book "The Division of Territory in Society" is <u>here</u> (\boxplus) .

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 49/86

一 うへで

Standard world map:



Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Cartogram of countries 'rescaled' by population:



Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 52/86

Diffusion-based cartograms:

- Idea of cartograms is to distort areas to more accurately represent some local density ρ (e.g. population).
- Many methods put forward—typically involve some kind of physical analogy to spreading or repulsion.
- Algorithm due to Gastner and Newman (2004)^[6] is based on standard diffusion:

$$\nabla^2 \rho - \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = \mathbf{0}$$

- Allow density to diffuse and trace the movement of individual elements and boundaries.
- Diffusion is constrained by boundary condition of surrounding area having density p
 .

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 53/86

Child mortality:



Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 54/86

Energy consumption:



Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 55/86

Gross domestic product:



Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 56/86

Greenhouse gas emissions:



Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 57/86

Spending on healthcare:



Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 58/86

People living with HIV:



Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 59/86

- ► The preceding sampling of Gastner & Newman's cartograms lives <u>here</u> (⊞).
- ► A larger collection can be found at worldmapper.org (⊞).

W RLDMAPPER The world as you've never seen it before

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks

Public versus Private

References

Frame 60/86

লি ৩৫৫



- Left: population density-equalized cartogram.
- Right: (population density)^{2/3}-equalized cartogram.
- Facility density is uniform for $\rho^{2/3}$ cartogram.

From Gastner and Newman (2006)^[7]

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 61/86



From Gastner and Newman (2006)^[7]

Cartogram's Voronoi cells are somewhat hexagonal.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks

References

Deriving the optimal source distribution:

- Basic idea: Minimize the average distance from a random individual to the nearest facility.^[7]
- Assume given a fixed population density ρ defined on a spatial region Ω.
- Formally, we want to find the locations of *n* sources $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$ that minimizes the cost function

$$F(\{\vec{x}_1,\ldots,\vec{x}_n\}) = \int_{\Omega} \rho(\vec{x}) \min_i ||\vec{x}-\vec{x}_i|| d\vec{x}.$$

- Also known as the p-median problem.
- Not easy... in fact this one is an NP-hard problem.^[7]
- Approximate solution originally due to Gusein-Zade ^[9].

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 64/86

Approximations:

- For a given set of source placements {x₁,..., x_n}, the region Ω is divided up into <u>Voronoi cells</u> (⊞), one per source.
- Define $A(\vec{x})$ as the area of the Voronoi cell containing \vec{x} .
- As per Stephan's calculation, estimate typical distance from x to the nearest source (say i) as

 $c_i A(\vec{x})^{1/2}$

where c_i is a shape factor for the *i*th Voronoi cell.

• Approximate c_i as a constant c.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 65/86

Carrying on:

The cost function is now

$${\cal F}=c\int_\Omega
ho(ec x){\cal A}(ec x)^{1/2}{
m d}ec x\,.$$

- We also have that the constraint that Voronoi cells divide up the overall area of Ω: ∑ⁿ_{i=1} A(x_i) = A_Ω.
- Sneakily turn this into an integral constraint:

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{x}}{A(\vec{x})} = n$$

- Within each cell, $A(\vec{x})$ is constant.
- So... integral over each of the *n* cells equals 1.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 66/86

Now a Lagrange multiplier story:

• By varying $\{\vec{x}_1, ..., \vec{x}_n\}$, minimize

$$G(A) = c \int_{\Omega} \rho(\vec{x}) A(\vec{x})^{1/2} \mathrm{d}\vec{x} - \lambda \left(n - \int_{\Omega} \left[A(\vec{x}) \right]^{-1} \mathrm{d}\vec{x} \right)$$

- Next compute δG/δA, the <u>functional derivative</u> (⊞) of the functional G(A).
- This gives

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{c}{2} \rho(\vec{x}) A(\vec{x})^{-1/2} - \lambda \left[A(\vec{x}) \right]^{-2} \right] \mathrm{d}\vec{x} = 0.$$

Setting the integrand to be zilch, we have:

$$\rho(\vec{x}) = 2\lambda c^{-1} A(\vec{x})^{-3/2}.$$

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 67/86

Now a Lagrange multiplier story:

► Rearranging, we have

$$A(\vec{x}) = (2\lambda c^{-1})^{2/3} \rho^{-2/3}$$

- ► Finally, we indentify 1/A(x) as D(x), an approximation of the local source density.
- Substituting D = 1/A, we have

$$D(\vec{x}) = \left(rac{c}{2\lambda}
ho
ight)^{2/3}$$

Normalizing (or solving for λ):

$$D(\vec{x}) = n rac{[
ho(\vec{x})]^{2/3}}{\int_{\Omega} [
ho(\vec{x})]^{2/3} \mathrm{d}\vec{x}} \propto [
ho(\vec{x})]^{2/3}.$$

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Global redistribution networks

One more thing:

- How do we supply these facilities?
- How do we best redistribute mail? People?
- How do we get beer to the pubs?
- Gaster and Newman model: cost is a function of basic maintenance and travel time:

 $C_{\text{maint}} + \gamma C_{\text{travel}}$.

Travel time is more complicated: Take 'distance' between nodes to be a composite of shortest path distance l_{ij} and number of legs to journey:

 $(1 - \delta)\ell_{ij} + \delta(\#hops).$

• When $\delta = 1$, only number of hops matters.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Global redistribution networks



From Gastner and Newman (2006)^[7]

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 71/86

Public versus private facilities

Beyond minimizing distances:

- "Scaling laws between population and facility densities" by Um et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 2009.^[23]
- Um et al. find empirically and argue theoretically that the connection between facility and population density

 $D\propto
ho^{lpha}$

does not universally hold with $\alpha = 2/3$.

- Two idealized limiting classes:
 - 1. For-profit, commercial facilities: $\alpha = 1$;
 - 2. Pro-social, public facilities: $\alpha = 2/3$.
- Um et al. investigate facility locations in the United States and South Korea.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 73/86

Public versus private facilities: evidence



- Left plot: ambulatory hospitals in the U.S.
- Right plot: public schools in the U.S.
- Note: break in scaling for public schools. Transition from α ≃ 2/3 to α = 1 around ρ ≃ 100.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Public versus private facilities: evidence

US facility	α (SE)	R ²
Ambulatory hospital	1.13(1)	0.93
Beauty care	1.08(1)	0.86
Laundry	1.05(1)	0.90
Automotive repair	0.99(1)	0.92
Private school	0.95(1)	0.82
Restaurant	0.93(1)	0.89
Accommodation	0.89(1)	0.70
Bank	0.88(1)	0.89
Gas station	0.86(1)	0.94
Death care	0.79(1)	0.80
* Fire station	0.78(3)	0.93
* Police station	0.71(6)	0.75
Public school	0.69(1)	0.87
	a (SE)	R ²
SK facility	u (JL)	
Bank	1.18(2)	0.96
Bank Parking place	1.18(2) 1.13(2)	0.96
Bank Parking place * Primary clinic	1.18(2) 1.13(2) 1.09(2)	0.96 0.91 1.00
Bank Parking place * Primary clinic * Hospital	1.18(2) 1.13(2) 1.09(2) 0.96(5)	0.96 0.91 1.00 0.97
Bank Parking place * Primary clinic * Hospital * University/college	1.18(2) 1.13(2) 1.09(2) 0.96(5) 0.93(9)	0.96 0.91 1.00 0.97 0.89
Bank Parking place * Primary clinic * Hospital * University/college Market place	1.18(2) 1.13(2) 1.09(2) 0.96(5) 0.93(9) 0.87(2)	0.96 0.91 1.00 0.97 0.89 0.90
Bank Parking place * Primary clinic * Hospital * University/college Market place * Secondary school	1.18(2) 1.13(2) 1.09(2) 0.96(5) 0.93(9) 0.87(2) 0.77(3)	0.96 0.91 1.00 0.97 0.89 0.90 0.98
Bank Parking place * Primary clinic * Hospital * University/college Market place * Secondary school * Primary school	1.18(2) 1.13(2) 1.09(2) 0.96(5) 0.93(9) 0.87(2) 0.77(3) 0.77(3)	0.96 0.91 1.00 0.97 0.89 0.90 0.98 0.98 0.97
Bank Parking place * Primary clinic * Hospital * University/college Market place * Secondary school * Primary school Social welfare org.	1.18(2) 1.13(2) 1.09(2) 0.96(5) 0.93(9) 0.87(2) 0.77(3) 0.77(3) 0.75(2)	0.96 0.91 1.00 0.97 0.89 0.90 0.98 0.98 0.97 0.84
Sk facility Bank Parking place * Primary clinic * Hospital * University/college Market place * Secondary school * Primary school Social welfare org. * Police station	1.18(2) 1.13(2) 1.09(2) 0.96(5) 0.93(9) 0.87(2) 0.77(3) 0.77(3) 0.75(2) 0.71(5)	0.96 0.91 1.00 0.97 0.89 0.90 0.98 0.97 0.84 0.94
Sk facility Bank Parking place * Primary clinic * Hospital * University/college Market place * Secondary school * Primary school Social welfare org. * Police station Government office	1.18(2) 1.13(2) 1.09(2) 0.96(5) 0.93(9) 0.87(2) 0.77(3) 0.77(3) 0.77(2) 0.75(2) 0.71(5) 0.70(1)	0.96 0.91 1.00 0.97 0.89 0.90 0.98 0.90 0.98 0.97 0.84 0.94 0.94
Sk facility Bank Parking place * Primary clinic * Hospital * University/college Market place * Secondary school * Primary school Social welfare org. * Police station Government office * Fire station	1.18(2) 1.13(2) 1.09(2) 0.96(5) 0.93(9) 0.87(2) 0.77(3) 0.77(3) 0.77(3) 0.77(3) 0.75(2) 0.71(5) 0.70(1) 0.60(4)	0.96 0.91 1.00 0.97 0.89 0.90 0.98 0.97 0.84 0.94 0.93 0.93

-		
93		Introduction
86 90 92 82		Optimal bra Murray's law Murray meets To
89 70 89 94 80 93 75	Rough transition between public and private at $\alpha \simeq 0.8$.	Single Sour Geometric argun Blood networks River networks Distributed Sources
75 87 87 87 96 91	Note: * indicates analysis is at state/province	Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable de Global redistribu networks Public versus Pr
00 97 89 90	level; otherwise county level.	References
98 97 84 94 93		
93		Frame 75/8

Supply Networks

vate

A 200

Public versus private facilities: evidence



A, C: ambulatory hospitals in the U.S.; B, D: public schools in the U.S.; A, B: data; C, D: Voronoi diagram from model simulation.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Public versus private facilities: the story So what's going on?

- Social institutions seek to minimize distance of travel.
- Commercial institutions seek to maximize the number of visitors.
- Defns: For the *i*th facility and its Voronoi cell V_i, define
 - *n_i* = population of the *i*th cell;
 - $\langle r_i \rangle$ = the average travel distance to the *i*th facility.
 - ► s_i = area of *i*th cell.
- Objective function to maximize for a facility (highly constructed):

$$v_i = n_i \langle r_i \rangle^{\beta}$$
 with $0 \le \beta \le 1$.

Limits:

- $\beta = 0$: purely commercial.
- $\beta = 1$: purely social.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Public versus private facilities: the story

 Proceeding as per the Gastner-Newman-Gusein-Zade calculation, Um et al. obtain:

$$D(\vec{x}) = n \frac{[\rho(\vec{x})]^{2/(\beta+2)}}{\int_{\Omega} [\rho(\vec{x})]^{2/(\beta+2)} \mathrm{d}\vec{x}} \propto [\rho(\vec{x})]^{2/(\beta+2)}$$

- For $\beta = 0$, $\alpha = 1$: commercial scaling is linear.
- For $\beta = 1$, $\alpha = 2/3$: social scaling is sublinear.

You can try this too: Insert question 2, assignment 4 (⊞).

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 78/86

References I

- [1] J. R. Banavar, F. Colaiori, A. Flammini, A. Maritan, and A. Rinaldo.
 Topology of the fittest transportation network. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 84:4745–4748, 2000. pdf (⊞)
- [2] J. R. Banavar, A. Maritan, and A. Rinaldo. Size and form in efficient transportation networks. *Nature*, 399:130–132, 1999. pdf (H)
- [3] S. Bohn and M. O. Magnasco. Structure, scaling, and phase transition in the optimal transport network.

Phys. Rev. Lett., 98:088702, 2007. pdf (⊞)

[4] P. S. Dodds.

Optimal form of branching supply and collection networks.

Phys. Rev. Lett., 104(4):048702, Jan 2010. pdf (⊞)

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

References II

- [5] P. S. Dodds and D. H. Rothman. Geometry of river networks. I. Scaling, fluctuations, and deviations. *Physical Review E*, 63(1):016115, 2001. <u>pdf</u> (⊞)
 [6] M. T. Gastner and M. E. J. Newman. Diffusion-based method for producing density-equalizing maps. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 101:7499–7504, 2004. pdf (⊞)
- [7] M. T. Gastner and M. E. J. Newman. Optimal design of spatial distribution networks. *Phys. Rev. E*, 74:016117, 2006. pdf (⊞)
- [8] M. T. Gastner and M. E. J. Newman. Shape and efficiency in spatial distribution networks. J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. & Exp., 1:P01015, 2006. pdf (⊞)

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 80/86 日 のへへ

References III

 [9] S. M. Gusein-Zade. Bunge's problem in central place theory and its generalizations. *Geogr. Anal.*, 14:246–252, 1982.
 [10] K. A. McCulloh, J. S. Sperry, and F. R. Adler. Water transport in plants obeys Murray's law.

Nature, 421:939–942, 2003. <u>pdf</u> (⊞) [11] K. A. McCulloh, J. S. Sperry, and F. R. Adler.

Murray's law and the hydraulic vs mechanical functioning of wood.

Functional Ecology, 18:931–938, 2004. pdf (⊞)

🔋 [12] C. D. Murray.

The physiological principle of minimum work applied to the angle of branching of arteries. *J. Gen. Physiol.*, 9(9):835-841, 1926. pdf (\boxplus)

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

References IV

[13] C. D. Murray.

The physiological principle of minimum work. I. The vascular system and the cost of blood volume.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 12:207–214, 1926. pdf (⊞)

[14] C. D. Murray.

A relationship between circumference and weight in trees and its bearing on branching angles. J. Gen. Physiol., 10:725–729, 1927. pdf (⊞)

[15] I. Rodríguez-Iturbe and A. Rinaldo. Fractal River Basins: Chance and Self-Organization. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1997.

[16] A. E. Scheidegger. Theoretical Geomorphology. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 1991.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching

Sinale Source

Distributed

References

Frame 82/86 $\neg \land \land \land$

References V

[17] W. R. Stahl. Scaling of respiratory variables in mammals. Journal of Applied Physiology, 22:453–460, 1967.

🔋 [18] G. E. Stephan.

Territorial division: The least-time constraint behind the formation of subnational boundaries. *Science*, 196:523–524, 1977. pdf (\boxplus)

[19] G. E. Stephan.

Territorial subdivision. Social Forces, 63:145–159, 1984. pdf (⊞)

[20] D. W. Thompson. On Growth and From. Cambridge University Pres, Great Britain, 2nd edition, 1952.

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 83/86 日 のへへ
References VI

- [21] D. W. Thompson.
 On Growth and Form Abridged Edition.
 Cambridge University Press, Great Britain, 1961.
- [22] D. L. Turcotte, J. D. Pelletier, and W. I. Newman. Networks with side branching in biology. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, 193:577–592, 1998.
 - [23] J. Um, S.-W. Son, S.-I. Lee, H. Jeong, and B. J. Kim.

Scaling laws between population and facility densities.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 106:14236–14240, 2009. pdf (⊞)

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 84/86

References VII

[24] P. D. Weinberg and C. R. Ethier. Twenty-fold difference in hemodynamic wall shear stress between murine and human aortas. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 40(7):1594–1598, 2007. pdf (⊞)

[25] G. B. West, J. H. Brown, and B. J. Enquist. A general model for the origin of allometric scaling laws in biology. *Science*, 276:122–126, 1997. pdf (⊞)

[26] Q. Xia. The formation of a tree leaf. Submitted. pdf (⊞)

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching Murray's law Murray meets Tokunaga

Single Source Geometric argument Blood networks River networks

Distributed Sources Facility location Size-density law Cartograms A reasonable derivation Global redistribution networks Public versus Private

References

Frame 85/86

୶ୡୡ

References VIII



[27] Q. Xia.

Optimal paths related to transport problems.

Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, 5:251–279, 2003. pdf (⊞)

Supply Networks

Introduction

Optimal branching

Single Source

Distributed

References

Frame 86/86

P

Sac.