Assortativity and Mixing

Complex Networks, Course 303A, Spring, 2009

Prof. Peter Dodds

Department of Mathematics & Statistics
University of Vermont

Definition

General mixing

Assortativity by degree

Contagion

References

Outline

Definition

General mixing

Assortativity by degree

Contagion

References

Basic idea:

- Random networks with arbitrary degree distributions cover much territory but do not represent all networks.
- Moving away from pure random networks was a key first step.
- We can extend in many other directions and a natural one is to introduce correlations between different kinds of nodes.
- Node attributes may be anything, e.g.:
  1. degree
  2. demographics (age, gender, etc.)
  3. group affiliation
- We speak of mixing patterns, correlations, biases...
- Networks are still random at base but now have more global structure.
- Build on work by Newman [3, 4].

Basic idea:

- Random networks with arbitrary degree distributions cover much territory but do not represent all networks.
- Moving away from pure random networks was a key first step.
- We can extend in many other directions and a natural one is to introduce correlations between different kinds of nodes.
- Node attributes may be anything, e.g.:
  1. degree
  2. demographics (age, gender, etc.)
  3. group affiliation
- We speak of mixing patterns, correlations, biases...
- Networks are still random at base but now have more global structure.
- Build on work by Newman [3, 4].

Basic idea:

- Random networks with arbitrary degree distributions cover much territory but do not represent all networks.
- Moving away from pure random networks was a key first step.
- We can extend in many other directions and a natural one is to introduce correlations between different kinds of nodes.
- Node attributes may be anything, e.g.:
  1. degree
  2. demographics (age, gender, etc.)
  3. group affiliation
- We speak of mixing patterns, correlations, biases...
- Networks are still random at base but now have more global structure.
- Build on work by Newman [3, 4].

Basic idea:

- Random networks with arbitrary degree distributions cover much territory but do not represent all networks.
- Moving away from pure random networks was a key first step.
- We can extend in many other directions and a natural one is to introduce correlations between different kinds of nodes.
- Node attributes may be anything, e.g.:
  1. degree
  2. demographics (age, gender, etc.)
  3. group affiliation
- We speak of mixing patterns, correlations, biases...
- Networks are still random at base but now have more global structure.
- Build on work by Newman [3, 4].

Basic idea:

- Random networks with arbitrary degree distributions cover much territory but do not represent all networks.
- Moving away from pure random networks was a key first step.
- We can extend in many other directions and a natural one is to introduce correlations between different kinds of nodes.
- Node attributes may be anything, e.g.:
  1. degree
  2. demographics (age, gender, etc.)
  3. group affiliation
- We speak of mixing patterns, correlations, biases...
- Networks are still random at base but now have more global structure.
- Build on work by Newman [3, 4].

Basic idea:

- Random networks with arbitrary degree distributions cover much territory but do not represent all networks.
- Moving away from pure random networks was a key first step.
- We can extend in many other directions and a natural one is to introduce correlations between different kinds of nodes.
- Node attributes may be anything, e.g.:
  1. degree
  2. demographics (age, gender, etc.)
  3. group affiliation
- We speak of mixing patterns, correlations, biases...
- Networks are still random at base but now have more global structure.
- Build on work by Newman [3, 4].

Basic idea:

- Random networks with arbitrary degree distributions cover much territory but do not represent all networks.
- Moving away from pure random networks was a key first step.
- We can extend in many other directions and a natural one is to introduce correlations between different kinds of nodes.
- Node attributes may be anything, e.g.:
    1. degree
    2. demographics (age, gender, etc.)
    3. group affiliation
- We speak of mixing patterns, correlations, biases...
- Networks are still random at base but now have more global structure.
- Build on work by Newman [3, 4].

General mixing between node categories

- Assume types of nodes are countable, and are assigned numbers 1, 2, 3, ...
- Consider networks with directed edges.

$$e_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{Pr}\begin{pmatrix} \text{an edge connects a node of type } \mu \\ \text{to a node of type } \nu \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a_{\mu} = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge comes from a node of type } \mu)$$

$$b_{\nu} = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge leads to a node of type } \nu)$$

- Write $\mathbf{E} = [e_{\mu\nu}]$, $\vec{a} = [a_{\mu}]$, and $\vec{b} = [b_{\nu}]$.
- Requirements:

$$\sum_{\mu\ \nu} e_{\mu\nu} = 1, \sum_{\nu} e_{\mu\nu} = a_{\mu}, \text{ and} \sum_{\mu} e_{\mu\nu} = b_{\nu}.$$

General mixing between node categories

- Assume types of nodes are countable, and are assigned numbers 1, 2, 3, ...
- Consider networks with directed edges.

$$e_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge connects a node of type } \mu \\ \text{to a node of type } \nu\end{array}\right)$$

$$a_\mu = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge comes from a node of type } \mu)$$

$$b_\nu = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge leads to a node of type } \nu)$$

- Write $\mathbf{E} = [e_{\mu\nu}]$, $\vec{a} = [a_\mu]$, and $\vec{b} = [b_\nu]$.
- Requirements:

$$\sum_{\mu\,\nu} e_{\mu\nu} = 1, \ \sum_{\nu} e_{\mu\nu} = a_\mu, \ \text{and} \sum_{\mu} e_{\mu\nu} = b_\nu.$$

General mixing between node categories

- Assume types of nodes are countable, and are assigned numbers 1, 2, 3, ...
- Consider networks with directed edges.

$$e_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{Pr}\begin{pmatrix} \text{an edge connects a node of type } \mu \\ \text{to a node of type } \nu \end{pmatrix}$$

$$a_{\mu} = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge comes from a node of type } \mu)$$

$$b_{\nu} = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge leads to a node of type } \nu)$$

- Write $\mathbf{E} = [e_{\mu\nu}]$, $\vec{a} = [a_{\mu}]$, and $\vec{b} = [b_{\nu}]$.
- Requirements:

$$\sum_{\mu}\sum_{\nu} e_{\mu\nu} = 1, \ \sum_{\nu} e_{\mu\nu} = a_{\mu}, \ \text{and} \sum_{\mu} e_{\mu\nu} = b_{\nu}.$$

General mixing between node categories

- Assume types of nodes are countable, and are assigned numbers 1, 2, 3, ...
- Consider networks with directed edges.

$$e_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge connects a node of type } \mu \\ \text{to a node of type } \nu\end{array}\right)$$

$$a_{\mu} = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge comes from a node of type } \mu)$$

$$b_{\nu} = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge leads to a node of type } \nu)$$

- Write $\mathbf{E} = [e_{\mu\nu}]$, $\vec{a} = [a_{\mu}]$, and $\vec{b} = [b_{\nu}]$.
- Requirements:

$$\sum_{\mu\ \nu} e_{\mu\nu} = 1, \ \sum_{\nu} e_{\mu\nu} = a_{\mu}, \text{ and} \sum_{\mu} e_{\mu\nu} = b_{\nu}.$$

General mixing between node categories

- Assume types of nodes are countable, and are assigned numbers 1, 2, 3, ...
- Consider networks with directed edges.

$$e_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge connects a node of type } \mu \\ \text{to a node of type } \nu\end{array}\right)$$

$$a_{\mu} = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge comes from a node of type } \mu)$$

$$b_{\nu} = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge leads to a node of type } \nu)$$

- Write $\mathbf{E} = [e_{\mu\nu}]$, $\vec{a} = [a_{\mu}]$, and $\vec{b} = [b_{\nu}]$.
- Requirements:

$$\sum_{\mu\,\nu} e_{\mu\nu} = 1, \sum_{\nu} e_{\mu\nu} = a_{\mu}, \text{ and} \sum_{\mu} e_{\mu\nu} = b_{\nu}.$$

General mixing between node categories

- Assume types of nodes are countable, and are assigned numbers 1, 2, 3, ...
- Consider networks with directed edges.

$$e_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge connects a node of type } \mu \\ \text{to a node of type } \nu\end{array}\right)$$

$$a_{\mu} = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge comes from a node of type } \mu)$$

$$b_{\nu} = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge leads to a node of type } \nu)$$

- Write $\mathbf{E} = [e_{\mu\nu}]$, $\vec{a} = [a_{\mu}]$, and $\vec{b} = [b_{\nu}]$.
- Requirements:

$$\sum_{\mu\,\nu} e_{\mu\nu} = 1, \ \sum_{\nu} e_{\mu\nu} = a_{\mu}, \text{ and} \sum_{\mu} e_{\mu\nu} = b_{\nu}.$$

General mixing between node categories

- Assume types of nodes are countable, and are assigned numbers 1, 2, 3, ...
- Consider networks with directed edges.

$$e_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge connects a node of type } \mu \\ \text{to a node of type } \nu\end{array}\right)$$

$$a_{\mu} = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge comes from a node of type } \mu)$$

$$b_{\nu} = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge leads to a node of type } \nu)$$

- Write $\mathbf{E} = [e_{\mu\nu}]$, $\vec{a} = [a_{\mu}]$, and $\vec{b} = [b_{\nu}]$.
- Requirements:

$$\sum_{\mu\ \nu} e_{\mu\nu} = 1, \ \sum_{\nu} e_{\mu\nu} = a_{\mu}, \ \text{and} \sum_{\mu} e_{\mu\nu} = b_{\nu}.$$

General mixing between node categories

- Assume types of nodes are countable, and are assigned numbers 1, 2, 3, ...
- Consider networks with directed edges.

$$e_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge connects a node of type } \mu \\ \text{to a node of type } \nu\end{array}\right)$$

$$a_{\mu} = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge comes from a node of type } \mu)$$

$$b_{\nu} = \mathbf{Pr}(\text{an edge leads to a node of type } \nu)$$

- Write $\mathbf{E} = [e_{\mu\nu}]$, $\vec{a} = [a_{\mu}]$, and $\vec{b} = [b_{\nu}]$.
- Requirements:

$$\sum_{\mu}\sum_{\nu} e_{\mu\nu} = 1, \ \sum_{\nu} e_{\mu\nu} = a_{\mu}, \ \text{and} \sum_{\mu} e_{\mu\nu} = b_{\nu}.$$

Connection to degree distribution:

Notes:

- Varying $e_{\mu\nu}$ allows us to move between the following:
  1. Perfectly assortative networks where nodes only connect to like nodes, and the network breaks into subnetworks.
     Requires $e_{\mu\nu} = 0$ if $\mu \neq \nu$ and $\sum_{\mu} e_{\mu\mu} = 1$.
  2. Uncorrelated networks (as we have studied so far)
     For these we must have independence: $e_{\mu\nu} = a_{\mu} b_{\nu}$.
  3. Disassortative networks where nodes connect to nodes distinct from themselves.
- Disassortative networks can be hard to build and may require constraints on the $e_{\mu\nu}$.
- Basic story: level of assortativity reflects the degree to which nodes are connected to nodes within their group.

Notes:

- Varying $e_{\mu\nu}$ allows us to move between the following:

  1. Perfectly assortative networks where nodes only connect to like nodes, and the network breaks into subnetworks.
     Requires $e_{\mu\nu} = 0$ if $\mu \neq \nu$ and $\sum_{\mu} e_{\mu\mu} = 1$.
  2. Uncorrelated networks (as we have studied so far)
     For these we must have independence: $e_{\mu\nu} = a_{\mu} b_{\nu}$.
  3. Disassortative networks where nodes connect to nodes distinct from themselves.

- Disassortative networks can be hard to build and may require constraints on the $e_{\mu\nu}$.
- Basic story: level of assortativity reflects the degree to which nodes are connected to nodes within their group.

Definition
General mixing
Assortativity by degree
Contagion
References

Notes:

- Varying $e_{\mu\nu}$ allows us to move between the following:

  1. Perfectly assortative networks where nodes only connect to like nodes, and the network breaks into subnetworks.
     Requires $e_{\mu\nu} = 0$ if $\mu \neq \nu$ and $\sum_{\mu} e_{\mu\mu} = 1$.
  2. Uncorrelated networks (as we have studied so far)
     For these we must have independence: $e_{\mu\nu} = a_{\mu} b_{\nu}$.
  3. Disassortative networks where nodes connect to nodes distinct from themselves.

- Disassortative networks can be hard to build and may require constraints on the $e_{\mu\nu}$.
- Basic story: level of assortativity reflects the degree to which nodes are connected to nodes within their group.

Notes:

- Varying $e_{\mu\nu}$ allows us to move between the following:

    1. Perfectly assortative networks where nodes only connect to like nodes, and the network breaks into subnetworks.
    Requires $e_{\mu\nu} = 0$ if $\mu \neq \nu$ and $\sum_{\mu} e_{\mu\mu} = 1$.
    2. Uncorrelated networks (as we have studied so far)
    For these we must have independence: $e_{\mu\nu} = a_{\mu} b_{\nu}$.
    3. Disassortative networks where nodes connect to nodes distinct from themselves.

- Disassortative networks can be hard to build and may require constraints on the $e_{\mu\nu}$.
- Basic story: level of assortativity reflects the degree to which nodes are connected to nodes within their group.

Notes:

- Varying $e_{\mu\nu}$ allows us to move between the following:
  1. Perfectly assortative networks where nodes only connect to like nodes, and the network breaks into subnetworks.
     Requires $e_{\mu\nu} = 0$ if $\mu \neq \nu$ and $\sum_{\mu} e_{\mu\mu} = 1$.
  2. Uncorrelated networks (as we have studied so far)
     For these we must have independence: $e_{\mu\nu} = a_{\mu} b_{\nu}$.
  3. Disassortative networks where nodes connect to nodes distinct from themselves.
- Disassortative networks can be hard to build and may require constraints on the $e_{\mu\nu}$.
- Basic story: level of assortativity reflects the degree to which nodes are connected to nodes within their group.

Notes:

- Varying $e_{\mu\nu}$ allows us to move between the following:
  1. Perfectly assortative networks where nodes only connect to like nodes, and the network breaks into subnetworks.
     Requires $e_{\mu\nu} = 0$ if $\mu \neq \nu$ and $\sum_{\mu} e_{\mu\mu} = 1$.
  2. Uncorrelated networks (as we have studied so far)
     For these we must have independence: $e_{\mu\nu} = a_{\mu} b_{\nu}$.
  3. Disassortative networks where nodes connect to nodes distinct from themselves.
- Disassortative networks can be hard to build and may require constraints on the $e_{\mu\nu}$.
- Basic story: level of assortativity reflects the degree to which nodes are connected to nodes within their group.

Notes:

- Varying $e_{\mu\nu}$ allows us to move between the following:
  1. Perfectly assortative networks where nodes only connect to like nodes, and the network breaks into subnetworks.
     Requires $e_{\mu\nu} = 0$ if $\mu \neq \nu$ and $\sum_\mu e_{\mu\mu} = 1$.
  2. Uncorrelated networks (as we have studied so far)
     For these we must have independence: $e_{\mu\nu} = a_\mu b_\nu$.
  3. Disassortative networks where nodes connect to nodes distinct from themselves.
- Disassortative networks can be hard to build and may require constraints on the $e_{\mu\nu}$.
- Basic story: level of assortativity reflects the degree to which nodes are connected to nodes within their group.

Notes:

- Varying $e_{\mu\nu}$ allows us to move between the following:
  1. Perfectly assortative networks where nodes only connect to like nodes, and the network breaks into subnetworks.
     Requires $e_{\mu\nu} = 0$ if $\mu \neq \nu$ and $\sum_\mu e_{\mu\mu} = 1$.
  2. Uncorrelated networks (as we have studied so far)
     For these we must have independence: $e_{\mu\nu} = a_\mu b_\nu$.
  3. Disassortative networks where nodes connect to nodes distinct from themselves.
- Disassortative networks can be hard to build and may require constraints on the $e_{\mu\nu}$.
- Basic story: level of assortativity reflects the degree to which nodes are connected to nodes within their group.

Correlation coefficient:

- Quantify the level of assortativity with the following assortativity coefficient [4]:

$$r = \frac{\sum_\mu e_{\mu\mu} - \sum_\mu a_\mu b_\mu}{1 - \sum_\mu a_\mu b_\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbf{E} - ||E^2||_1}{1 - ||E^2||_1}$$

where $||\cdot||_1$ is the 1-norm = sum of a matrix's entries.

- $\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbf{E}$ is the fraction of edges that are within groups.
- $||E^2||_1$ is the fraction of edges that would be within groups if connections were random.
- $1 - ||E^2||_1$ is a normalization factor so $r_{\max} = 1$.
- When $\mathrm{Tr}\,e_{\mu\mu} = 1$, we have $r = 1$. ✓
- When $e_{\mu\mu} = a_\mu b_\mu$, we have $r = 0$. ✓

Correlation coefficient:

- Quantify the level of assortativity with the following assortativity coefficient [4]:

$$r = \frac{\sum_\mu e_{\mu\mu} - \sum_\mu a_\mu b_\mu}{1 - \sum_\mu a_\mu b_\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbf{E} - ||E^2||_1}{1 - ||E^2||_1}$$

  where $||\cdot||_1$ is the 1-norm = sum of a matrix's entries.
- $\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbf{E}$ is the fraction of edges that are within groups.
- $||E^2||_1$ is the fraction of edges that would be within groups if connections were random.
- $1 - ||E^2||_1$ is a normalization factor so $r_{\mathrm{max}} = 1$.
- When $\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathrm{e}_{\mu\mu} = 1$, we have $r = 1$. ✓
- When $e_{\mu\mu} = a_\mu b_\mu$, we have $r = 0$. ✓

Correlation coefficient:

- Quantify the level of assortativity with the following assortativity coefficient [4]:

$$r = \frac{\sum_\mu e_{\mu\mu} - \sum_\mu a_\mu b_\mu}{1 - \sum_\mu a_\mu b_\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbf{E} - ||E^2||_1}{1 - ||E^2||_1}$$

  where $||\cdot||_1$ is the 1-norm = sum of a matrix's entries.
- $\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbf{E}$ is the fraction of edges that are within groups.
- $||E^2||_1$ is the fraction of edges that would be within groups if connections were random.
- $1 - ||E^2||_1$ is a normalization factor so $r_{\max} = 1$.
- When $\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathrm{e}_{\mu\mu} = 1$, we have $r = 1$. ✓
- When $e_{\mu\mu} = a_\mu b_\mu$, we have $r = 0$. ✓

Correlation coefficient:

- Quantify the level of assortativity with the following assortativity coefficient [4]:

$$r = \frac{\sum_\mu e_{\mu\mu} - \sum_\mu a_\mu b_\mu}{1 - \sum_\mu a_\mu b_\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbf{E} - ||E^2||_1}{1 - ||E^2||_1}$$

  where $||\cdot||_1$ is the 1-norm = sum of a matrix's entries.
- $\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbf{E}$ is the fraction of edges that are within groups.
- $||E^2||_1$ is the fraction of edges that would be within groups if connections were random.
- $1 - ||E^2||_1$ is a normalization factor so $r_{\mathrm{max}} = 1$.
- When $\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathrm{e}_{\mu\mu} = 1$, we have $r = 1$. ✓
- When $e_{\mu\mu} = a_\mu b_\mu$, we have $r = 0$. ✓

Correlation coefficient:

- Quantify the level of assortativity with the following assortativity coefficient [4]:

$$r = \frac{\sum_\mu e_{\mu\mu} - \sum_\mu a_\mu b_\mu}{1 - \sum_\mu a_\mu b_\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbf{E} - ||E^2||_1}{1 - ||E^2||_1}$$

  where $||\cdot||_1$ is the 1-norm = sum of a matrix's entries.
- $\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbf{E}$ is the fraction of edges that are within groups.
- $||E^2||_1$ is the fraction of edges that would be within groups if connections were random.
- $1 - ||E^2||_1$ is a normalization factor so $r_{\max} = 1$.
- When $\mathrm{Tr}\, e_{\mu\mu} = 1$, we have $r = 1$. ✓
- When $e_{\mu\mu} = a_\mu b_\mu$, we have $r = 0$. ✓

Correlation coefficient:

- Quantify the level of assortativity with the following assortativity coefficient [4]:

$$r = \frac{\sum_\mu e_{\mu\mu} - \sum_\mu a_\mu b_\mu}{1 - \sum_\mu a_\mu b_\mu} = \frac{\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbf{E} - ||E^2||_1}{1 - ||E^2||_1}$$

  where $||\cdot||_1$ is the 1-norm = sum of a matrix's entries.
- $\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbf{E}$ is the fraction of edges that are within groups.
- $||E^2||_1$ is the fraction of edges that would be within groups if connections were random.
- $1 - ||E^2||_1$ is a normalization factor so $r_{\max} = 1$.
- When $\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathrm{e}_{\mu\mu} = 1$, we have $r = 1$. ✓
- When $e_{\mu\mu} = a_\mu b_\mu$, we have $r = 0$. ✓

Correlation coefficient:

Notes:

- $r = -1$ is inaccessible if three or more types are presents.
- Disassortative networks simply have nodes connected to unlike nodes—no measure of how unlike nodes are.
- Minimum value of r occurs when all links between non-like nodes: $\mathrm{Tr}\, \mathbf{e}_{\mu\mu} = 0$.
- $$r_{\min} = \frac{-||E^2||_1}{1 - ||E^2||_1}$$

  where $-1 \leq r_{\min} < 0$.

Correlation coefficient:

Notes:

- $r = -1$ is inaccessible if three or more types are presents.
- Disassortative networks simply have nodes connected to unlike nodes—no measure of how unlike nodes are.
- Minimum value of r occurs when all links between non-like nodes: $\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathbf{e}_{\mu\mu} = 0$.
- $$r_{\min} = \frac{-||E^2||_1}{1 - ||E^2||_1}$$

  where $-1 \le r_{\min} < 0$.

Correlation coefficient:

Notes:

- $r = -1$ is inaccessible if three or more types are presents.
- Disassortative networks simply have nodes connected to unlike nodes—no measure of how unlike nodes are.
- Minimum value of r occurs when all links between non-like nodes: $\mathrm{Tr}\, \mathrm{e}_{\mu\mu} = 0$.
-
$$r_{\min} = \frac{-||E^2||_1}{1 - ||E^2||_1}$$
where $-1 \le r_{\min} < 0$.

Correlation coefficient:

Notes:

- $r = -1$ is inaccessible if three or more types are presents.
- Disassortative networks simply have nodes connected to unlike nodes—no measure of how unlike nodes are.
- Minimum value of r occurs when all links between non-like nodes: $\mathrm{Tr}\,\mathrm{e}_{\mu\mu} = 0$.
- $$r_{\mathrm{min}} = \frac{-||E^2||_1}{1 - ||E^2||_1}$$

  where $-1 \leq r_{\mathrm{min}} < 0$.

Scalar quantities

- Now consider nodes defined by a scalar integer quantity.
- Examples: age in years, height in inches, number of friends, ...
- e_{jk} = **Pr** a randomly chosen edge connects a node with value j to a node with value k.
- a_j and b_k are defined as before.
- Can now measure correlations between nodes based on this scalar quantity using standard Pearson correlation coefficient (⊞):

$$r = \frac{\sum_{jk} j\,k(e_{jk} - a_j b_k)}{\sigma_a \sigma_b} = \frac{\langle jk \rangle - \langle j \rangle_a \langle k \rangle_b}{\sqrt{\langle j^2 \rangle_a - \langle j \rangle_a^2}\sqrt{\langle k^2 \rangle_b - \langle k \rangle_b^2}}$$

- This is the observed normalized deviation from randomness in the product jk.

Scalar quantities

- Now consider nodes defined by a scalar integer quantity.
- Examples: age in years, height in inches, number of friends, ...
- e_{jk} = **Pr** a randomly chosen edge connects a node with value j to a node with value k.
- a_j and b_k are defined as before.
- Can now measure correlations between nodes based on this scalar quantity using standard Pearson correlation coefficient (⊞):

$$r = \frac{\sum_{jk} j\,k(e_{jk} - a_j b_k)}{\sigma_a \sigma_b} = \frac{\langle jk \rangle - \langle j \rangle_a \langle k \rangle_b}{\sqrt{\langle j^2 \rangle_a - \langle j \rangle_a^2}\sqrt{\langle k^2 \rangle_b - \langle k \rangle_b^2}}$$

- This is the observed normalized deviation from randomness in the product jk.

Scalar quantities

- Now consider nodes defined by a scalar integer quantity.
- Examples: age in years, height in inches, number of friends, ...
- e_{jk} = **Pr** a randomly chosen edge connects a node with value j to a node with value k.
- a_j and b_k are defined as before.
- Can now measure correlations between nodes based on this scalar quantity using standard Pearson correlation coefficient (⊞):

$$r = \frac{\sum_{jk} j\,k(e_{jk} - a_j b_k)}{\sigma_a \sigma_b} = \frac{\langle jk \rangle - \langle j \rangle_a \langle k \rangle_b}{\sqrt{\langle j^2 \rangle_a - \langle j \rangle_a^2}\sqrt{\langle k^2 \rangle_b - \langle k \rangle_b^2}}$$

- This is the observed normalized deviation from randomness in the product jk.

Scalar quantities

- Now consider nodes defined by a scalar integer quantity.
- Examples: age in years, height in inches, number of friends, ...
- e_{jk} = **Pr** a randomly chosen edge connects a node with value j to a node with value k.
- a_j and b_k are defined as before.
- Can now measure correlations between nodes based on this scalar quantity using standard Pearson correlation coefficient (⊞):

$$r = \frac{\sum_{jk} j\,k(e_{jk} - a_j b_k)}{\sigma_a \sigma_b} = \frac{\langle jk \rangle - \langle j \rangle_a \langle k \rangle_b}{\sqrt{\langle j^2 \rangle_a - \langle j \rangle_a^2}\sqrt{\langle k^2 \rangle_b - \langle k \rangle_b^2}}$$

- This is the observed normalized deviation from randomness in the product jk.

Definition
General mixing
Assortativity by degree
Contagion
References

Scalar quantities

- Now consider nodes defined by a scalar integer quantity.
- Examples: age in years, height in inches, number of friends, ...
- e_{jk} = **Pr** a randomly chosen edge connects a node with value j to a node with value k.
- a_j and b_k are defined as before.
- Can now measure correlations between nodes based on this scalar quantity using standard Pearson correlation coefficient (⊞):

$$r = \frac{\sum_{j\,k} j\,k(e_{jk} - a_j b_k)}{\sigma_a \sigma_b} = \frac{\langle jk \rangle - \langle j \rangle_a \langle k \rangle_b}{\sqrt{\langle j^2 \rangle_a - \langle j \rangle_a^2}\sqrt{\langle k^2 \rangle_b - \langle k \rangle_b^2}}$$

- This is the observed normalized deviation from randomness in the product jk.

Scalar quantities

- Now consider nodes defined by a scalar integer quantity.
- Examples: age in years, height in inches, number of friends, ...
- e_{jk} = **Pr** a randomly chosen edge connects a node with value j to a node with value k.
- a_j and b_k are defined as before.
- Can now measure correlations between nodes based on this scalar quantity using standard Pearson correlation coefficient (⊞):

$$r = \frac{\sum_{j\,k} j\,k(e_{jk} - a_j b_k)}{\sigma_a\,\sigma_b} = \frac{\langle jk \rangle - \langle j \rangle_a \langle k \rangle_b}{\sqrt{\langle j^2 \rangle_a - \langle j \rangle_a^2}\sqrt{\langle k^2 \rangle_b - \langle k \rangle_b^2}}$$

- This is the observed normalized deviation from randomness in the product jk.

Scalar quantities

- Now consider nodes defined by a scalar integer quantity.
- Examples: age in years, height in inches, number of friends, ...
- e_{jk} = **Pr** a randomly chosen edge connects a node with value j to a node with value k.
- a_j and b_k are defined as before.
- Can now measure correlations between nodes based on this scalar quantity using standard Pearson correlation coefficient (⊞):

$$r = \frac{\sum_{j\,k} j\,k(e_{jk} - a_j b_k)}{\sigma_a\,\sigma_b} = \frac{\langle jk \rangle - \langle j \rangle_a \langle k \rangle_b}{\sqrt{\langle j^2 \rangle_a - \langle j \rangle_a^2}\sqrt{\langle k^2 \rangle_b - \langle k \rangle_b^2}}$$

- This is the observed normalized deviation from randomness in the product jk.

Degree-degree correlations

- Natural correlation is between the degrees of connected nodes.
- Now define e_{jk} with a slight twist:

$$e_{jk} = \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge connects a degree } j+1 \text{ node} \\ \text{to a degree } k+1 \text{ node}\end{array}\right)$$

$$= \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge runs between a node of in-degree } j \\ \text{and a node of out-degree } k\end{array}\right)$$

- Useful for calculations (as per R_k)
- Important: Must separately define P_0 as the $\{e_{jk}\}$ contain no information about isolated nodes.
- Directed networks still fine but we will assume from here on that $e_{jk} = e_{kj}$.

Definition
General mixing
Assortativity by degree
Contagion
References

Degree-degree correlations

- Natural correlation is between the degrees of connected nodes.
- Now define e_{jk} with a slight twist:

$$e_{jk} = \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge connects a degree } j+1 \text{ node} \\ \text{to a degree } k+1 \text{ node}\end{array}\right)$$

$$= \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge runs between a node of in-degree } j \\ \text{and a node of out-degree } k\end{array}\right)$$

- Useful for calculations (as per R_k)
- Important: Must separately define P_0 as the $\{e_{jk}\}$ contain no information about isolated nodes.
- Directed networks still fine but we will assume from here on that $e_{jk} = e_{kj}$.

Definition
General mixing
Assortativity by degree
Contagion
References

Degree-degree correlations

- Natural correlation is between the degrees of connected nodes.
- Now define e_{jk} with a slight twist:

$$e_{jk} = \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge connects a degree } j+1 \text{ node} \\ \text{to a degree } k+1 \text{ node}\end{array}\right)$$

$$= \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge runs between a node of in-degree } j \\ \text{and a node of out-degree } k\end{array}\right)$$

- Useful for calculations (as per R_k)
- Important: Must separately define P_0 as the $\{e_{jk}\}$ contain no information about isolated nodes.
- Directed networks still fine but we will assume from here on that $e_{jk} = e_{kj}$.

Degree-degree correlations

- Natural correlation is between the degrees of connected nodes.
- Now define e_{jk} with a slight twist:

$$e_{jk} = \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge connects a degree } j+1 \text{ node} \\ \text{to a degree } k+1 \text{ node}\end{array}\right)$$

$$= \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge runs between a node of in-degree } j \\ \text{and a node of out-degree } k\end{array}\right)$$

- Useful for calculations (as per R_k)
- Important: Must separately define P_0 as the $\{e_{jk}\}$ contain no information about isolated nodes.
- Directed networks still fine but we will assume from here on that $e_{jk} = e_{kj}$.

Degree-degree correlations

- Natural correlation is between the degrees of connected nodes.
- Now define e_{jk} with a slight twist:

$$e_{jk} = \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge connects a degree } j+1 \text{ node}\\ \text{to a degree } k+1 \text{ node}\end{array}\right)$$

$$= \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge runs between a node of in-degree } j\\ \text{and a node of out-degree } k\end{array}\right)$$

- Useful for calculations (as per R_k)
- Important: Must separately define P_0 as the $\{e_{jk}\}$ contain no information about isolated nodes.
- Directed networks still fine but we will assume from here on that $e_{jk} = e_{kj}$.

Degree-degree correlations

- Natural correlation is between the degrees of connected nodes.
- Now define e_{jk} with a slight twist:

$$e_{jk} = \textbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge connects a degree } j+1 \text{ node}\\ \text{to a degree } k+1 \text{ node}\end{array}\right)$$

$$= \textbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge runs between a node of in-degree } j\\ \text{and a node of out-degree } k\end{array}\right)$$

- Useful for calculations (as per R_k)
- Important: Must separately define P_0 as the $\{e_{jk}\}$ contain no information about isolated nodes.
- Directed networks still fine but we will assume from here on that $e_{jk} = e_{kj}$.

Degree-degree correlations

- Natural correlation is between the degrees of connected nodes.
- Now define e_{jk} with a slight twist:

$$e_{jk} = \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge connects a degree } j+1 \text{ node}\\ \text{to a degree } k+1 \text{ node}\end{array}\right)$$

$$= \mathbf{Pr}\left(\begin{array}{l}\text{an edge runs between a node of in-degree } j\\ \text{and a node of out-degree } k\end{array}\right)$$

- Useful for calculations (as per R_k)
- Important: Must separately define P_0 as the $\{e_{jk}\}$ contain no information about isolated nodes.
- Directed networks still fine but we will assume from here on that $e_{jk} = e_{kj}$.

Degree-degree correlations

- Notation reconciliation for undirected networks:

$$r = \frac{\sum_{j\,k} j\,k(e_{jk} - R_j R_k)}{\sigma_R^2}$$

where, as before, R_k is the probability that a randomly chosen edge leads to a node of degree $k+1$, and

$$\sigma_R^2 = \sum_j j^2 R_j - \left[\sum_j j R_j\right]^2 .$$

Definition
General mixing
Assortativity by degree
Contagion
References

Degree-degree correlations

Definition
General mixing
Assortativity by degree
Contagion
References

Error estimate for r:

- Remove edge i and recompute r to obtain r_i.
- Repeat for all edges and compute using the jackknife method (⊞) [1]

$$\sigma_r^2 = \sum_i (r_i - r)^2.$$

- Mildly sneaky as variables need to be independent for us to be truly happy and edges are correlated...

Degree-degree correlations

Error estimate for r:

- Remove edge i and recompute r to obtain r_i.
- Repeat for all edges and compute using the jackknife method (⊞) [1]

$$\sigma_r^2 = \sum_i (r_i - r)^2.$$

- Mildly sneaky as variables need to be independent for us to be truly happy and edges are correlated...

Degree-degree correlations

Error estimate for r:

- Remove edge i and recompute r to obtain r_i.
- Repeat for all edges and compute using the jackknife method (⊞) [1]

$$\sigma_r^2 = \sum_i (r_i - r)^2.$$

- Mildly sneaky as variables need to be independent for us to be truly happy and edges are correlated...

Measurements of degree-degree correlations

	Group	Network	Type	Size n	Assortativity r	Error σ_r
Social	a	Physics coauthorship	undirected	52 909	0.363	0.002
	a	Biology coauthorship	undirected	1 520 251	0.127	0.0004
	b	Mathematics coauthorship	undirected	253 339	0.120	0.002
	c	Film actor collaborations	undirected	449 913	0.208	0.0002
	d	Company directors	undirected	7 673	0.276	0.004
	e	Student relationships	undirected	573	−0.029	0.037
	f	Email address books	directed	16 881	0.092	0.004
Technological	g	Power grid	undirected	4 941	−0.003	0.013
	h	Internet	undirected	10 697	−0.189	0.002
	i	World Wide Web	directed	269 504	−0.067	0.0002
	j	Software dependencies	directed	3 162	−0.016	0.020
Biological	k	Protein interactions	undirected	2 115	−0.156	0.010
	l	Metabolic network	undirected	765	−0.240	0.007
	m	Neural network	directed	307	−0.226	0.016
	n	Marine food web	directed	134	−0.263	0.037
	o	Freshwater food web	directed	92	−0.326	0.031

- Social networks tend to be assortative (homophily)
- Technological and biological networks tend to be disassortative

Definition
General mixing
Assortativity by degree
Contagion
References

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Next: Generalize our work for random networks to degree-correlated networks.
- As before, by allowing that a node of degree k is activated by one neighbor with probability β_{k1}, we can handle various problems:
  1. find the giant component size.
  2. find the probability and extent of spread for simple disease models.
  3. find the probability of spreading for simple threshold models.

Definition
General mixing
Assortativity by degree
Contagion
References

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Next: Generalize our work for random networks to degree-correlated networks.
- As before, by allowing that a node of degree k is activated by one neighbor with probability β_{k1}, we can handle various problems:
  1. find the giant component size.
  2. find the probability and extent of spread for simple disease models.
  3. find the probability of spreading for simple threshold models.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Next: Generalize our work for random networks to degree-correlated networks.
- As before, by allowing that a node of degree k is activated by one neighbor with probability β_{k1}, we can handle various problems:
  1. find the giant component size.
  2. find the probability and extent of spread for simple disease models.
  3. find the probability of spreading for simple threshold models.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Next: Generalize our work for random networks to degree-correlated networks.
- As before, by allowing that a node of degree k is activated by one neighbor with probability β_{k1}, we can handle various problems:
  1. find the giant component size.
  2. find the probability and extent of spread for simple disease models.
  3. find the probability of spreading for simple threshold models.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Next: Generalize our work for random networks to degree-correlated networks.
- As before, by allowing that a node of degree k is activated by one neighbor with probability β_{k1}, we can handle various problems:
  1. find the giant component size.
  2. find the probability and extent of spread for simple disease models.
  3. find the probability of spreading for simple threshold models.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Goal: Find $f_{n,j}$ = **Pr** an edge emanating from a degree $j+1$ node leads to a finite active subcomponent of size n.
- Repeat: a node of degree k is in the game with probability β_{k1}.
- Define $\vec{\beta}_1 = [\beta_{k1}]$.
- Plan: Find the generating function $F_j(x; \vec{\beta}_1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n,j} x^n$.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Goal: Find $f_{n,j}$ = **Pr** an edge emanating from a degree $j+1$ node leads to a finite active subcomponent of size n.
- Repeat: a node of degree k is in the game with probability β_{k1}.
- Define $\vec{\beta}_1 = [\beta_{k1}]$.
- Plan: Find the generating function $F_j(x; \vec{\beta}_1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n,j} x^n$.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Goal: Find $f_{n,j}$ = **Pr** an edge emanating from a degree $j+1$ node leads to a finite active subcomponent of size n.
- Repeat: a node of degree k is in the game with probability β_{k1}.
- Define $\vec{\beta}_1 = [\beta_{k1}]$.
- Plan: Find the generating function $F_j(x; \vec{\beta}_1) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n,j} x^n$.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Recursive relationship:

$$F_j(x;\vec{\beta}_1) = x^0 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j}(1-\beta_{k+1,1}) + x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j}\beta_{k+1,1}\left[F_k(x;\vec{\beta}_1)\right]^k.$$

- First term = **Pr** that the first node we reach is not in the game.
- Second term involves **Pr** we hit an active node which has k outgoing edges.
- Next: find average size of active components reached by following a link from a degree $j+1$ node $= F_j'(1;\vec{\beta}_1)$.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Recursive relationship:

$$F_j(x;\vec{\beta}_1) = x^0 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j}(1-\beta_{k+1,1}) + x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j}\beta_{k+1,1}\left[F_k(x;\vec{\beta}_1)\right]^k .$$

- First term = **Pr** that the first node we reach is not in the game.
- Second term involves **Pr** we hit an active node which has k outgoing edges.
- Next: find average size of active components reached by following a link from a degree $j+1$ node $= F_j'(1;\vec{\beta}_1)$.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Recursive relationship:

$$F_j(x;\vec{\beta}_1) = x^0 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j}(1-\beta_{k+1,1}) + x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j}\beta_{k+1,1}\left[F_k(x;\vec{\beta}_1)\right]^k.$$

- First term = **Pr** that the first node we reach is not in the game.
- Second term involves **Pr** we hit an active node which has k outgoing edges.
- Next: find average size of active components reached by following a link from a degree $j+1$ node $= F_j'(1;\vec{\beta}_1)$.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Recursive relationship:

$$F_j(x;\vec{\beta}_1) = x^0 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j}(1-\beta_{k+1,1}) + x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j} \beta_{k+1,1} \left[F_k(x;\vec{\beta}_1)\right]^k .$$

- First term = **Pr** that the first node we reach is not in the game.
- Second term involves **Pr** we hit an active node which has k outgoing edges.
- Next: find average size of active components reached by following a link from a degree $j+1$ node = $F_j'(1;\vec{\beta}_1)$.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Differentiate $F_j(x;\vec{\beta}_1)$, set $x = 1$, and rearrange.
- We use $F_k(1;\vec{\beta}_1) = 1$ which is true when no giant component exists. We find:

$$R_j F_j'(1;\vec{\beta}_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_{jk}\beta_{k+1,1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k e_{jk}\beta_{k+1,1} F_k'(1;\vec{\beta}_1).$$

- Rearranging and introducing a sneaky δ_{jk}:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\delta_{jk} R_k - k\beta_{k+1,1} e_{jk}\right) F_k'(1;\vec{\beta}_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_{jk}\beta_{k+1,1}.$$

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Differentiate $F_j(x;\vec{\beta}_1)$, set $x=1$, and rearrange.
- We use $F_k(1;\vec{\beta}_1)=1$ which is true when no giant component exists. We find:

$$R_j F_j'(1;\vec{\beta}_1)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_{jk}\beta_{k+1,1}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k e_{jk}\beta_{k+1,1}F_k'(1;\vec{\beta}_1).$$

- Rearranging and introducing a sneaky δ_{jk}:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(\delta_{jk}R_k-k\beta_{k+1,1}e_{jk}\right)F_k'(1;\vec{\beta}_1)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_{jk}\beta_{k+1,1}.$$

Definition
General mixing
Assortativity by degree
Contagion
References

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Differentiate $F_j(x; \vec{\beta}_1)$, set $x = 1$, and rearrange.
- We use $F_k(1; \vec{\beta}_1) = 1$ which is true when no giant component exists. We find:

$$R_j F_j'(1; \vec{\beta}_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_{jk} \beta_{k+1,1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k e_{jk} \beta_{k+1,1} F_k'(1; \vec{\beta}_1).$$

- Rearranging and introducing a sneaky δ_{jk}:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\delta_{jk} R_k - k \beta_{k+1,1} e_{jk} \right) F_k'(1; \vec{\beta}_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_{jk} \beta_{k+1,1}.$$

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Differentiate $F_j(x;\vec{\beta}_1)$, set $x = 1$, and rearrange.
- We use $F_k(1;\vec{\beta}_1) = 1$ which is true when no giant component exists. We find:

$$R_j F_j'(1;\vec{\beta}_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_{jk}\beta_{k+1,1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k e_{jk}\beta_{k+1,1} F_k'(1;\vec{\beta}_1).$$

- Rearranging and introducing a sneaky δ_{jk}:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\delta_{jk} R_k - k\beta_{k+1,1} e_{jk}\right) F_k'(1;\vec{\beta}_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e_{jk}\beta_{k+1,1}.$$

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- In matrix form, we have

$$\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1}\vec{F}'(1;\vec{\beta}_1) = \mathbf{E}\vec{\beta}_1$$

where

$$\left[\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1}\right]_{j+1,k+1} = \delta_{jk}R_k - k\beta_{k+1,1}e_{jk},$$

$$\left[\vec{F}'(1;\vec{\beta}_1)\right]_{k+1} = F_k'(1;\vec{\beta}_1),$$

$$[\mathbf{E}]_{j+1,k+1} = e_{jk}, \text{ and } \left[\vec{\beta}_1\right]_{k+1} = \beta_{k+1,1}.$$

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- So, in principle at least:

$$\vec{F}'(1;\vec{\beta}_1) = \mathbf{A}^{-1}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1}\,\mathbf{E}\vec{\beta}_1.$$

- Now: as $\vec{F}'(1;\vec{\beta}_1)$, the average size of an active component reached along an edge, increases, we move towards a transition to a giant component.
- Right at the transition, the average component size explodes.
- Exploding inverses of matrices occur when their determinants are 0.
- The condition is therefore:

$$\det \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1} = 0$$

.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- So, in principle at least:

$$\vec{F}'(1;\vec{\beta}_1) = \mathbf{A}^{-1}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1}\,\mathbf{E}\vec{\beta}_1.$$

- Now: as $\vec{F}'(1;\vec{\beta}_1)$, the average size of an active component reached along an edge, increases, we move towards a transition to a giant component.
- Right at the transition, the average component size explodes.
- Exploding inverses of matrices occur when their determinants are 0.
- The condition is therefore:

$$\det \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1} = 0$$

.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- So, in principle at least:

$$\vec{F}'(1;\vec{\beta}_1) = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1}^{-1}\,\mathbf{E}\vec{\beta}_1.$$

- Now: as $\vec{F}'(1;\vec{\beta}_1)$, the average size of an active component reached along an edge, increases, we move towards a transition to a giant component.
- Right at the transition, the average component size explodes.
- Exploding inverses of matrices occur when their determinants are 0.
- The condition is therefore:

$$\det \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1} = 0$$

.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- So, in principle at least:

$$\vec{F}'(1;\vec{\beta}_1) = \mathbf{A}^{-1}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1}\,\mathbf{E}\vec{\beta}_1.$$

- Now: as $\vec{F}'(1;\vec{\beta}_1)$, the average size of an active component reached along an edge, increases, we move towards a transition to a giant component.
- Right at the transition, the average component size explodes.
- Exploding inverses of matrices occur when their determinants are 0.
- The condition is therefore:

$$\det \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1} = 0$$

.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- So, in principle at least:

$$\vec{F}'(1; \vec{\beta}_1) = \mathbf{A}^{-1}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1} \mathbf{E}\vec{\beta}_1.$$

- Now: as $\vec{F}'(1; \vec{\beta}_1)$, the average size of an active component reached along an edge, increases, we move towards a transition to a giant component.
- Right at the transition, the average component size explodes.
- Exploding inverses of matrices occur when their determinants are 0.
- The condition is therefore:

$$\det \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1} = 0$$

.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- General condition details:

$$\det \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1} = \det \left[\delta_{jk} R_{k-1} - (k-1)\beta_{k,1} e_{j-1,k-1} \right] = 0.$$

- The above collapses to our standard contagion condition when $e_{jk} = R_j R_k$.
- When $\vec{\beta}_1 = \beta\vec{1}$, we have the condition for a simple disease model's successful spread

$$\det \left[\delta_{jk} R_{k-1} - \beta(k-1) e_{j-1,k-1} \right] = 0.$$

- When $\vec{\beta}_1 = \vec{1}$, we have the condition for the existence of a giant component:

$$\det \left[\delta_{jk} R_{k-1} - (k-1) e_{j-1,k-1} \right] = 0.$$

- Bonusville: We'll find another (possibly better) version of this set of conditions later...

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- General condition details:

$$\det \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1} = \det\left[\delta_{jk} R_{k-1} - (k-1)\beta_{k,1} e_{j-1,k-1}\right] = 0.$$

- The above collapses to our standard contagion condition when $e_{jk} = R_j R_k$.
- When $\vec{\beta}_1 = \beta \vec{1}$, we have the condition for a simple disease model's successful spread

$$\det\left[\delta_{jk} R_{k-1} - \beta(k-1) e_{j-1,k-1}\right] = 0.$$

- When $\vec{\beta}_1 = \vec{1}$, we have the condition for the existence of a giant component:

$$\det\left[\delta_{jk} R_{k-1} - (k-1) e_{j-1,k-1}\right] = 0.$$

- Bonusville: We'll find another (possibly better) version of this set of conditions later...

Definition
General mixing
Assortativity by degree
Contagion
References

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- General condition details:

$$\det \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1} = \det \left[\delta_{jk} R_{k-1} - (k-1)\beta_{k,1} e_{j-1,k-1} \right] = 0.$$

- The above collapses to our standard contagion condition when $e_{jk} = R_j R_k$.
- When $\vec{\beta}_1 = \beta \vec{1}$, we have the condition for a simple disease model's successful spread

$$\det \left[\delta_{jk} R_{k-1} - \beta(k-1) e_{j-1,k-1} \right] = 0.$$

- When $\vec{\beta}_1 = \vec{1}$, we have the condition for the existence of a giant component:

$$\det \left[\delta_{jk} R_{k-1} - (k-1) e_{j-1,k-1} \right] = 0.$$

- Bonusville: We'll find another (possibly better) version of this set of conditions later...

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- General condition details:

$$\det \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1} = \det \left[\delta_{jk} R_{k-1} - (k-1)\beta_{k,1} e_{j-1,k-1} \right] = 0.$$

- The above collapses to our standard contagion condition when $e_{jk} = R_j R_k$.
- When $\vec{\beta}_1 = \beta\vec{1}$, we have the condition for a simple disease model's successful spread

$$\det \left[\delta_{jk} R_{k-1} - \beta(k-1) e_{j-1,k-1} \right] = 0.$$

- When $\vec{\beta}_1 = \vec{1}$, we have the condition for the existence of a giant component:

$$\det \left[\delta_{jk} R_{k-1} - (k-1) e_{j-1,k-1} \right] = 0.$$

- Bonusville: We'll find another (possibly better) version of this set of conditions later...

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- General condition details:

$$\det \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E},\vec{\beta}_1} = \det \left[\delta_{jk} R_{k-1} - (k-1)\beta_{k,1} e_{j-1,k-1} \right] = 0.$$

- The above collapses to our standard contagion condition when $e_{jk} = R_j R_k$.
- When $\vec{\beta}_1 = \beta\vec{1}$, we have the condition for a simple disease model's successful spread

$$\det \left[\delta_{jk} R_{k-1} - \beta(k-1) e_{j-1,k-1} \right] = 0.$$

- When $\vec{\beta}_1 = \vec{1}$, we have the condition for the existence of a giant component:

$$\det \left[\delta_{jk} R_{k-1} - (k-1) e_{j-1,k-1} \right] = 0.$$

- Bonusville: We'll find another (possibly better) version of this set of conditions later...

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

We'll next find two more pieces:

1. P_{trig}, the probability of starting a cascade
2. S, the expected extent of activation given a small seed.

Triggering probability:

- Generating function:

$$H(x;\vec{\beta}_1) = x\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \left[F_{k-1}(x;\vec{\beta}_1)\right]^k.$$

- Generating function for vulnerable component size is more complicated.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

We'll next find two more pieces:

1. P_{trig}, the probability of starting a cascade
2. S, the expected extent of activation given a small seed.

Triggering probability:

- Generating function:

$$H(x;\vec{\beta}_1) = x\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \left[F_{k-1}(x;\vec{\beta}_1)\right]^k.$$

- Generating function for vulnerable component size is more complicated.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

We'll next find two more pieces:

1. P_{trig}, the probability of starting a cascade
2. S, the expected extent of activation given a small seed.

Triggering probability:

- Generating function:

$$H(x;\vec{\beta}_1) = x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \left[F_{k-1}(x;\vec{\beta}_1) \right]^k .$$

- Generating function for vulnerable component size is more complicated.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

We'll next find two more pieces:

1. P_{trig}, the probability of starting a cascade
2. S, the expected extent of activation given a small seed.

Triggering probability:

- Generating function:

$$H(x;\vec{\beta}_1) = x\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \left[F_{k-1}(x;\vec{\beta}_1)\right]^k.$$

- Generating function for vulnerable component size is more complicated.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Want probability of not reaching a finite component.

$$P_{\text{trig}} = S_{\text{trig}} = 1 - H(1; \vec{\beta}_1)$$
$$= 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \left[F_{k-1}(1; \vec{\beta}_1) \right]^k .$$

- Last piece: we have to compute $F_{k-1}(1; \vec{\beta}_1)$.
- Nastier (nonlinear)—we have to solve the recursive expression we started with when $x = 1$:
$F_j(1; \vec{\beta}_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j}(1 - \beta_{k+1,1}) +$
$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j} \beta_{k+1,1} \left[F_k(1; \vec{\beta}_1) \right]^k .$
- Iterative methods should work here.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Want probability of not reaching a finite component.

$$P_{\text{trig}} = S_{\text{trig}} = 1 - H(1;\vec{\beta}_1)$$
$$= 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \left[F_{k-1}(1;\vec{\beta}_1)\right]^k.$$

- Last piece: we have to compute $F_{k-1}(1;\vec{\beta}_1)$.
- Nastier (nonlinear)—we have to solve the recursive expression we started with when $x = 1$:
$F_j(1;\vec{\beta}_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j}(1 - \beta_{k+1,1}) +$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j}\beta_{k+1,1}\left[F_k(1;\vec{\beta}_1)\right]^k.$$
- Iterative methods should work here.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Want probability of not reaching a finite component.

$$P_{\text{trig}} = S_{\text{trig}} = 1 - H(1; \vec{\beta}_1)$$
$$= 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \left[F_{k-1}(1; \vec{\beta}_1) \right]^k .$$

- Last piece: we have to compute $F_{k-1}(1; \vec{\beta}_1)$.
- Nastier (nonlinear)—we have to solve the recursive expression we started with when $x = 1$:
$F_j(1; \vec{\beta}_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j}(1 - \beta_{k+1,1}) +$
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j} \beta_{k+1,1} \left[F_k(1; \vec{\beta}_1) \right]^k .$$
- Iterative methods should work here.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Want probability of not reaching a finite component.

$$P_{\text{trig}} = S_{\text{trig}} = 1 - H(1;\vec{\beta}_1)$$
$$= 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \left[F_{k-1}(1;\vec{\beta}_1)\right]^k .$$

- Last piece: we have to compute $F_{k-1}(1;\vec{\beta}_1)$.
- Nastier (nonlinear)—we have to solve the recursive expression we started with when $x = 1$:
$F_j(1;\vec{\beta}_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j}(1 - \beta_{k+1,1}) +$
$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{jk}}{R_j}\beta_{k+1,1}\left[F_k(1;\vec{\beta}_1)\right]^k .$
- Iterative methods should work here.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Truly final piece: Find final size using approach of Gleeson [2], a generalization of that used for uncorrelated random networks.
- Need to compute $\theta_{j,t}$, the probability that an edge leading to a degree j node is infected at time t.
- Evolution of edge activity probability:

$$\theta_{j,t+1} = G_j(\vec{\theta}_t) = \phi_0 + (1-\phi_0)\times$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{j-1,k-1}}{R_{j-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} \theta_{k,t}^{i} (1-\theta_{k,t})^{k-1-i} \beta_{ki}.$$

- Overall active fraction's evolution:

$$\phi_{t+1} = \phi_0 + (1-\phi_0) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} \theta_{k,t}^{i} (1-\theta_{k,t})^{k-i} \beta_{ki}.$$

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Truly final piece: Find final size using approach of Gleeson [2], a generalization of that used for uncorrelated random networks.
- Need to compute $\theta_{j,t}$, the probability that an edge leading to a degree j node is infected at time t.
- Evolution of edge activity probability:

$$\theta_{j,t+1} = G_j(\vec{\theta}_t) = \phi_0 + (1-\phi_0)\times$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{j-1,k-1}}{R_{j-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} \theta_{k,t}^{i} (1-\theta_{k,t})^{k-1-i} \beta_{ki}.$$

- Overall active fraction's evolution:

$$\phi_{t+1} = \phi_0 + (1-\phi_0) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} \theta_{k,t}^{i} (1-\theta_{k,t})^{k-i} \beta_{ki}.$$

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Truly final piece: Find final size using approach of Gleeson [2], a generalization of that used for uncorrelated random networks.
- Need to compute $\theta_{j,t}$, the probability that an edge leading to a degree j node is infected at time t.
- Evolution of edge activity probability:

$$\theta_{j,t+1} = G_j(\vec{\theta}_t) = \phi_0 + (1 - \phi_0)\times$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{j-1,k-1}}{R_{j-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} \theta_{k,t}^{i} (1 - \theta_{k,t})^{k-1-i} \beta_{ki}.$$

- Overall active fraction's evolution:

$$\phi_{t+1} = \phi_0 + (1 - \phi_0) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} \theta_{k,t}^{i} (1 - \theta_{k,t})^{k-i} \beta_{ki}.$$

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- Truly final piece: Find final size using approach of Gleeson [2], a generalization of that used for uncorrelated random networks.
- Need to compute $\theta_{j,t}$, the probability that an edge leading to a degree j node is infected at time t.
- Evolution of edge activity probability:

$$\theta_{j,t+1} = G_j(\vec{\theta}_t) = \phi_0 + (1-\phi_0)\times$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{j-1,k-1}}{R_{j-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \binom{k-1}{i} \theta_{k,t}^{i} (1-\theta_{k,t})^{k-1-i} \beta_{ki}.$$

- Overall active fraction's evolution:

$$\phi_{t+1} = \phi_0 + (1-\phi_0) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{k}{i} \theta_{k,t}^{i} (1-\theta_{k,t})^{k-i} \beta_{ki}.$$

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- As before, these equations give the actual evolution of ϕ_t for synchronous updates.
- Contagion condition follows from $\vec{\theta}_{t+1} = \vec{G}(\vec{\theta}_t)$.
- Linearize $\vec{G}$ around $\vec{\theta}_0 = \vec{0}$.

$$\theta_{j,t+1} = G_j(\vec{0}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}} \theta_{k,t} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}^2} \theta_{k,t}^2 + \ldots$$

- If $G_j(\vec{0}) \neq 0$ for at least one j, always have some infection.
- If $G_j(\vec{0}) = 0 \; \forall j$, largest eigenvalue of $\left[\frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}}\right]$ must exceed 1.
- Condition for spreading is therefore dependent on eigenvalues of this matrix:

$$\frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}} = \frac{e_{j-1,k-1}}{R_{j-1}} (k-1) \beta_{k1}$$

Insert question from assignment 5 (⊞)

Definition
General mixing
Assortativity by degree
Contagion
References

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- As before, these equations give the actual evolution of ϕ_t for synchronous updates.
- Contagion condition follows from $\vec{\theta}_{t+1} = \vec{G}(\vec{\theta}_t)$.
- Linearize $\vec{G}$ around $\vec{\theta}_0 = \vec{0}$.

$$\theta_{j,t+1} = G_j(\vec{0}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}} \theta_{k,t} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}^2} \theta_{k,t}^2 + \ldots$$

- If $G_j(\vec{0}) \neq 0$ for at least one j, always have some infection.
- If $G_j(\vec{0}) = 0 \; \forall \, j$, largest eigenvalue of $\left[\frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}} \right]$ must exceed 1.
- Condition for spreading is therefore dependent on eigenvalues of this matrix:

$$\frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}} = \frac{e_{j-1,k-1}}{R_{j-1}} (k-1) \beta_{k1}$$

Insert question from assignment 5 (⊞)

Definition
General mixing
Assortativity by degree
Contagion
References

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- As before, these equations give the actual evolution of ϕ_t for synchronous updates.
- Contagion condition follows from $\vec{\theta}_{t+1} = \vec{G}(\vec{\theta}_t)$.
- Linearize $\vec{G}$ around $\vec{\theta}_0 = \vec{0}$.

$$\theta_{j,t+1} = G_j(\vec{0}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}} \theta_{k,t} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}^2} \theta_{k,t}^2 + \ldots$$

- If $G_j(\vec{0}) \neq 0$ for at least one j, always have some infection.
- If $G_j(\vec{0}) = 0 \, \forall \, j$, largest eigenvalue of $\left[\frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}} \right]$ must exceed 1.
- Condition for spreading is therefore dependent on eigenvalues of this matrix:

$$\frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}} = \frac{e_{j-1,k-1}}{R_{j-1}} (k-1) \beta_{k1}$$

Insert question from assignment 5 (⊞)

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- As before, these equations give the actual evolution of ϕ_t for synchronous updates.
- Contagion condition follows from $\vec{\theta}_{t+1} = \vec{G}(\vec{\theta}_t)$.
- Linearize $\vec{G}$ around $\vec{\theta}_0 = \vec{0}$.

$$\theta_{j,t+1} = G_j(\vec{0}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}} \theta_{k,t} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}^2} \theta_{k,t}^2 + \ldots$$

- If $G_j(\vec{0}) \neq 0$ for at least one j, always have some infection.
- If $G_j(\vec{0}) = 0 \, \forall \, j$, largest eigenvalue of $\left[\frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}}\right]$ must exceed 1.
- Condition for spreading is therefore dependent on eigenvalues of this matrix:

$$\frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}} = \frac{e_{j-1,k-1}}{R_{j-1}}(k-1)\beta_{k1}$$

Insert question from assignment 5 (⊞)

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- As before, these equations give the actual evolution of ϕ_t for synchronous updates.
- Contagion condition follows from $\vec{\theta}_{t+1} = \vec{G}(\vec{\theta}_t)$.
- Linearize $\vec{G}$ around $\vec{\theta}_0 = \vec{0}$.

$$\theta_{j,t+1} = G_j(\vec{0}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}} \theta_{k,t} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}^2} \theta_{k,t}^2 + \ldots$$

- If $G_j(\vec{0}) \neq 0$ for at least one j, always have some infection.
- If $G_j(\vec{0}) = 0 \, \forall \, j$, largest eigenvalue of $\left[\frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}}\right]$ must exceed 1.
- Condition for spreading is therefore dependent on eigenvalues of this matrix:

$$\frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}} = \frac{e_{j-1,k-1}}{R_{j-1}}(k-1)\beta_{k1}$$

Insert question from assignment 5 (⊞)

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

- As before, these equations give the actual evolution of ϕ_t for synchronous updates.
- Contagion condition follows from $\vec{\theta}_{t+1} = \vec{G}(\vec{\theta}_t)$.
- Linearize $\vec{G}$ around $\vec{\theta}_0 = \vec{0}$.

$$\theta_{j,t+1} = G_j(\vec{0}) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}} \theta_{k,t} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^2 G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}^2} \theta_{k,t}^2 + \dots$$

- If $G_j(\vec{0}) \neq 0$ for at least one j, always have some infection.
- If $G_j(\vec{0}) = 0 \, \forall \, j$, largest eigenvalue of $\left[\frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}} \right]$ must exceed 1.
- Condition for spreading is therefore dependent on eigenvalues of this matrix:

$$\frac{\partial G_j(\vec{0})}{\partial \theta_{k,t}} = \frac{e_{j-1,k-1}}{R_{j-1}} (k-1) \beta_{k1}$$

Insert question from assignment 5 (⊞)

How the giant component changes with assortativity



from Newman, 2002 [3]

- More assortative networks percolate for lower average degrees
- But disassortative networks end up with higher extents of spreading.

References I

[1] B. Efron and C. Stein.
The jackknife estimate of variance.
The Annals of Statistics, 9:586–596, 1981. pdf (⊞)

[2] J. P. Gleeson.
Cascades on correlated and modular random networks.
Phys. Rev. E, 77:046117, 2008. pdf (⊞)

[3] M. Newman.
Assortative mixing in networks.
Phys. Rev. Lett., 89:208701, 2002. pdf (⊞)

[4] M. E. J. Newman.
Mixing patterns in networks.
Phys. Rev. E, 67:026126, 2003. pdf (⊞)