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Basic idea:
R Random networks with arbitrary degree distributions cover much territory but do not represent all networks.
Moving away from pure random networks was a key first step.
\& We can extend in many other directions and a natural one is to introduce correlations between different kinds of nodes.
Node attributes may be anything, e.g.:

## 1. degree

2. demographics (age, gender, etc.)
3. group affiliation
. We speak of mixing patterns, correlations, biases...
R Networks are still random at base but now have more global structure.
Build on work by Newman ${ }^{[5,6]}$, and Boguñá and Serano. ${ }^{[1]}$.
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General mixing between node categories
Assume types of nodes are countable, and are assigned numbers 1, 2, 3, ...
Consider networks with directed edges.

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{\mu \nu}=\operatorname{Pr}\binom{\text { an edge connects a node of type } \mu}{\text { to a node of type } \nu} \\
a_{\mu}=\mathbf{P r}(\text { an edge comes from a node of type } \mu) \\
b_{\nu}=\mathbf{P r}(\text { an edge leads to a node of type } \nu)
\end{gathered}
$$

Write $\mathbf{E}=\left[e_{\mu \nu}\right], \vec{a}=\left[a_{\mu}\right]$, and $\vec{b}=\left[b_{\nu}\right]$.
Requirements:

$$
\sum_{\mu \nu} e_{\mu \nu}=1, \sum_{\nu} e_{\mu \nu}=a_{\mu}, \text { and } \sum_{\mu} e_{\mu \nu}=b_{\nu}
$$
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## Notes:

$r=-1$ is inaccessible if three or more types are present.
. Disassortative networks simply have nodes connected to unlike nodes-no measure of how unlike nodes are
. Minimum value of $r$ occurs when all links between non-like nodes: $\operatorname{Tr} e_{\mu \mu}=0$

8

$$
r_{\min }=\frac{-\left\|E^{2}\right\|_{1}}{1-\left\|E^{2}\right\|_{1}}
$$

where $-1 \leq r_{\text {min }}<0$.

## Scalar quantities

Now consider nodes defined by a scalar integer quantity.
Examples: age in years, height in inches, number of friends, ...
$e_{j k}=\operatorname{Pr}$ (a randomly chosen edge connects a node with value $j$ to a node with value $k$ ).
㿽 $a_{j}$ and $b_{k}$ are defined as before.
\& Can now measure correlations between nodes based on this scalar quantity using standard Pearson correlation coefficient $[$ :
$r=\frac{\sum_{j k} j k\left(e_{j k}-a_{j} b_{k}\right)}{\sigma_{a} \sigma_{b}}=\frac{\langle j k\rangle-\langle j\rangle_{a}\langle k\rangle_{b}}{\sqrt{\left\langle j^{2}\right\rangle_{a}-\langle j\rangle_{a}^{2}} \sqrt{\left\langle k^{2}\right\rangle_{b}-\langle k\rangle_{b}^{2}}}$
This is the observed normalized deviation from randomness in the product $j k$.
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where $\|\cdot\|_{1}$ is the 1 -norm = sum of a matrix's entries.

- $\operatorname{Tr} \mathbf{E}$ is the fraction of edges that are within groups

的 $\left\|E^{2}\right\|_{1}$ is the fraction of edges that would be within groups if connections were random.
$1-\left\|E^{2}\right\|_{1}$ is a normalization factor so $r_{\max }=1$.
When $\operatorname{Tr} e_{\mu \mu}=1$, we have $r=1$. $V$
When $e_{\mu \mu}=a_{\mu} b_{\mu}$, we have $r=0$.

## Degree-degree correlations

Natural correlation is between the degrees of connected nodes
\& Now define $e_{j k}$ with a slight twist:

$$
e_{j k}=\operatorname{Pr}\binom{\text { an edge connects a degree } j+1 \text { node }}{\text { to a degree } k+1 \text { node }}
$$
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$=\operatorname{Pr}\binom{$ an edge runs between a node of in-degree $j}{$ and a node of out-degree $k}$
B Useful for calculations (as per $R_{k}$ )
\& Important: Must separately define $P_{0}$ as the $\left\{e_{j k}\right\}$ contain no information about isolated nodes
Directed networks still fine but we will assume from here on that $e_{j k}=e_{k j}$.

Degree-degree correlations
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where, as before, $R_{k}$ is the probability that a randomly chosen edge leads to a node of degree $k+1$, and

$$
\sigma_{R}^{2}=\sum_{j} j^{2} R_{j}-\left[\sum_{j} j R_{j}\right]^{2}
$$

Degree-degree correlations

Error estimate for $r$ :
Remove edge $i$ and recompute $r$ to obtain $r_{i}$.
Repeat for all edges and compute using the jackknife method[* ${ }^{[3]}$

$$
\sigma_{r}^{2}=\sum_{i}\left(r_{i}-r\right)^{2} .
$$

Mildly sneaky as variables need to be independent for us to be truly happy and edges are correlated...

Measurements of degree-degree correlations

|  | Group | Network | Type | Size $n$ | Assorativity $r$ | Error $\sigma_{r}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Social | a | Physics coauthorship | undirected | 52909 | ${ }^{0.363}$ | 0.002 |
|  | a | Biology coauthorship | undirected | 1520251 | 0.127 | 0.0004 |
|  | b | Mattematics couthorship | undirected | 253339 | 0.120 | 0.002 |
|  | c | Film actor collaborations | undirected | 449913 | 0.208 | 0.0002 |
|  |  | Company directors | undirected | 7673 | 0.276 | 0.004 |
|  |  | Student reationships | undirected | 573 | -0.029 | 0.037 |
|  | $f$ | Email address books | directed | 16881 | 0.992 | 0.004 |
| Tecthological |  | Power grid | undirected |  | -0.003 |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {h }}$ | Internet | undirected | 10697 | -0.189 | 0.002 |
|  | $i$ | World Wide Web | directed | 269504 | -0.067 | 0.0002 |
|  | j | Software dependencies | directed | 3162 | -0.016 | 0.020 |
| Biological | k | Protein interactions | undirected | 2115 | -0.156 | 0.010 |
|  | 1 | Metabolic network | undirected | 765 | -0.240 | 0.007 |
|  | m | Neural network | directed | 307 | -0.226 | 0.016 |
|  | , | Marine food web | directed | 134 | -0.263 | 0.037 |
|  | 。 | Freshwater food web | directed | 92 | -0.326 | 0.031 |

Social networks tend to be assortative (homophily)
Rechnological and biological networks tend to be disassortative

Next: Generalize our work for random networks to degree-correlated networks
As before, by allowing that a node of degree $k$ is activated by one neighbor with probability $B_{k 1}$ we can handle various problems:

1. find the giant component size.
2. find the probability and extent of spread for simple disease models.
3. find the probability of spreading for simple threshold models.
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Spreading on degree-correlated networks

Goal: Find $f_{n, j}=\mathbf{P r}$ an edge emanating from a degree $j+1$ node leads to a finite active subcomponent of size $n$.
Repeat: a node of degree $k$ is in the game with probability $B_{k 1}$.
\& Define $\vec{B}_{1}=\left[B_{k 1}\right]$.
Plan: Find the generating function $F_{j}\left(x ; \vec{B}_{1}\right)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_{n, j} x^{n}$.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks
Recursive relationship:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{j}\left(x ; \vec{B}_{1}\right) & =x^{0} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{j k}}{R_{j}}\left(1-B_{k+1,1}\right) \\
& +x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{j k}}{R_{j}} B_{k+1,1}\left[F_{k}\left(x ; \vec{B}_{1}\right)\right]^{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

8irst term $=\mathbf{P r}$ (that the first node we reach is not in the game)
Second term involves $\operatorname{Pr}$ (we hit an active node which has $k$ outgoing edges).
Next: find average size of active components reached by following a link from a degree $j+1$ node $=F_{j}^{\prime}\left(1 ; \vec{B}_{1}\right)$.

$$
\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E}, \vec{B}_{1}} \vec{F}^{\prime}\left(1 ; \vec{B}_{1}\right)=\mathbf{E} \vec{B}_{1}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
{\left[\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E}, \vec{B}_{1}}\right]_{j+1, k+1}=\delta_{j k} R_{k}-k B_{k+1,1} e_{j k}} \\
{\left[\vec{F}^{\prime}\left(1 ; \vec{B}_{1}\right)\right]_{k+1}=F_{k}^{\prime}\left(1 ; \vec{B}_{1}\right)} \\
{[\mathbf{E}]_{j+1, k+1}=e_{j k}, \text { and }\left[\vec{B}_{1}\right]_{k+1}=B_{k+1,1} .}
\end{gathered}
$$
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Spreading on degree-correlated networks So, in principle at least:

$$
\vec{F}^{\prime}\left(1 ; \vec{B}_{1}\right)=\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E}, \vec{B}_{1}}^{-1} \mathbf{E} \vec{B}_{1}
$$

R Now: as $\vec{F}^{\prime}\left(1 ; \vec{B}_{1}\right)$, the average size of an active component reached along an edge, increases, we move towards a transition to a giant component.

Right at the transition, the average component size explodes.
Exploding inverses of matrices occur when their determinants are 0

The condition is therefore

$$
\operatorname{det} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{E}, \vec{B}_{1}}=0
$$

Spreading on degree-correlated networks
General condition details:
$\operatorname{det} \boldsymbol{A}_{\mathbf{E}, \vec{B}_{1}}=\operatorname{det}\left[\delta_{j k} R_{k-1}-(k-1) B_{k, 1} e_{j-1, k-1}\right]=0$.
The above collapses to our standard contagion condition when $e_{j k}=R_{j} R_{k}$ (see next slide). ${ }^{[2]}$
When $\vec{B}_{1}=B \overrightarrow{1}$, we have the condition for a simple disease model's successful spread

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\delta_{j k} R_{k-1}-B(k-1) e_{j-1, k-1}\right]=0 .
$$

When $\vec{B}_{1}=\overrightarrow{1}$, we have the condition for the existence of a giant component:

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\delta_{j k} R_{k-1}-(k-1) e_{j-1, k-1}\right]=0
$$

Bonusville: We'll find a much better version of this set of conditions later..

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

We'll next find two more pieces:

1. $P_{\text {trig, }}$, the probability of starting a cascade
2. $S$, the expected extent of activation given a small seed.

Triggering probability:
Generating function:

$$
H\left(x ; \vec{B}_{1}\right)=x \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k}\left[F_{k-1}\left(x ; \vec{B}_{1}\right)\right]^{k} .
$$

Generating function for vulnerable component size is more complicated.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks

Want probability of not reaching a finite component.

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\text {trig }}=S_{\text {trig }} & =1-H\left(1 ; \vec{B}_{1}\right) \\
& =1-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k}\left[F_{k-1}\left(1 ; \vec{B}_{1}\right)\right]^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Last piece: we have to compute $F_{k-1}\left(1 ; \vec{B}_{1}\right)$.
Nastier (nonlinear)-we have to solve the

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { recursive expression we started with when } x=1 \text { : } \\
& F_{j}\left(1 ; \vec{B}_{1}\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{k j}}{R_{j}}\left(1-B_{k+1,1}\right)+ \\
& \qquad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{e_{j k}}{R_{j}} B_{k+1,1}\left[F_{k}\left(1 ; \vec{B}_{1}\right)\right]^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

\& Iterative methods should work here.

Spreading on degree-correlated networks
Truly final piece: Find final size using approach of Gleeson ${ }^{[4]}$, a generalization of that used for uncorrelated random networks.
Need to compute $\theta_{j, t}$, the probability that an edge leading to a degree $j$ node is infected at time $t$.
Evolution of edge activity probability:

$$
\theta_{j, t+1}=G_{j}\left(\vec{\theta}_{t}\right)=\phi_{0}+\left(1-\phi_{0}\right) \times
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_{j-1, k-1}}{R_{j-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\binom{k-1}{i} \theta_{k, t}^{i}\left(1-\theta_{k, t}\right)^{k-1-i} B_{k i}
$$

Overall active fraction's evolution:

$$
\phi_{t+1}=\phi_{0}+\left(1-\phi_{0}\right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{k} \sum_{i=0}^{k}\binom{k}{i} \theta_{k, t}^{i}\left(1-\theta_{k, t}\right)^{k-i} B_{k i} .
$$
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Spreading on degree-correlated networks As before, these equations give the actual evolution of $\phi_{t}$ for synchronous updates.

- Contagion condition follows from $\vec{\theta}_{t+1}=\vec{G}\left(\vec{\theta}_{t}\right)$.

Expand $\vec{G}$ around $\vec{\theta}_{0}=\overrightarrow{0}$.

$$
\theta_{j, t+1}=G_{j}(\overrightarrow{0})+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial G_{j}(\overrightarrow{0})}{\partial \theta_{k, t}} \theta_{k, t}+\frac{1}{2!} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^{2} G_{j}(\overrightarrow{0})}{\partial \theta_{k, t}^{2}} \theta_{k, t}^{2}+\ldots
$$

If $G_{j}(\overrightarrow{0}) \neq 0$ for at least one $j$, always have some infection.
If If $G_{j}(\overrightarrow{0})=0 \forall j$, want largest eigenvalue $\left[\frac{\partial G_{j}(\overrightarrow{0})}{\partial \theta_{k, t}}\right]>1$.
\& Condition for spreading is therefore dependent on eigenvalues of this matrix:

$$
\frac{\partial G_{j}(\overrightarrow{0})}{\partial \theta_{k, t}}=\frac{e_{j-1, k-1}}{R_{j-1}}(k-1) B_{k 1}
$$

Insert assignment question [


How the giant component changes with assortativity:


$$
\text { exponential parameter } \mathrm{k}
$$

${ }^{2}{ }^{[5]}$

- More assortative networks percolate for lower average degrees
\& But
disassortative
networks end up
with higher
extents of
spreading.
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