Scaling—a Plenitude of Power Laws

Last updated: 2024/09/02, 19:52:12 EDT

Principles of Complex Systems, Vols. 1, 2, & 3D CSYS/MATH 6701, 6713, & a pretend number, 2024–2025| @pocsvox

Prof. Peter Sheridan Dodds | @peterdodds

Computational Story Lab | Vermont Complex Systems Center Santa Fe Institute | University of Vermont



Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International

Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse Scaling 1 of 117

Scaling-at-large Allometry



These slides are brought to you by:

Sealie & Lambie Productions



These slides are also brought to you by:

Special Guest Executive Producer



On Instagram at pratchett_the_cat



Outline Scaling-at-large Allometry **Biology Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References



The Boggoracle Speaks: 🖽 🕻







Archival object:



General observation:

Systems (complex or not) that cross many spatial and temporal scales often exhibit some form of scaling.



General observation:

Systems (complex or not) that cross many spatial and temporal scales often exhibit some form of scaling.

Outline—All about scaling:



General observation:

Systems (complex or not) that cross many spatial and temporal scales often exhibit some form of scaling.

Outline—All about scaling:

🚳 Basic definitions.



General observation:

Systems (complex or not) that cross many spatial and temporal scales often exhibit some form of scaling.

Outline—All about scaling:



- 🙈 Basic definitions.
- 🚳 Examples.



General observation:

Systems (complex or not) that cross many spatial and temporal scales often exhibit some form of scaling.

Outline—All about scaling:



🙈 Basic definitions.

🚳 Examples.

Possibly later:



General observation:

Systems (complex or not) that cross many spatial and temporal scales often exhibit some form of scaling.

Outline—All about scaling:



- 🙈 Basic definitions.
- 🚳 Examples.

Possibly later:

🚳 Advances in measuring your power-law relationships.



General observation:

Systems (complex or not) that cross many spatial and temporal scales often exhibit some form of scaling.

Outline—All about scaling:



- Basic definitions.
- 🗞 Examples.

Possibly later:

- Advances in measuring your power-law relationships.
- Scaling in blood and river networks.



General observation:

Systems (complex or not) that cross many spatial and temporal scales often exhibit some form of scaling.

Outline—All about scaling:



- Basic definitions.
- Examples.

Possibly later:

- Advances in measuring your power-law relationships.
- Scaling in blood and river networks.
- 🗞 The Unsolved Allometry Theoricides.



A power law relates two variables x and y as follows:

$$y = cx^{\alpha}$$

α is the scaling exponent (or just exponent)
 α can be any number in principle but we will find
 various restrictions.

 $rac{2}{3} c$ is the prefactor (which can be important!)





\clubsuit The prefactor *c* must balance dimensions.



The prefactor *c* must balance dimensions.
 Imagine the height *l* and volume *v* of a family of shapes are related as:

$$\ell = cv^{1/4}$$



The prefactor *c* must balance dimensions.
 Imagine the height *l* and volume *v* of a family of shapes are related as:

$$\ell = cv^{1/4}$$

🗞 Using [·] to indicate dimension, then

$$[c] = [\ell]/[v^{1/4}] = L/L^{3/4} = L^{1/4}.$$



The prefactor c must balance dimensions. \mathfrak{R} Imagine the height ℓ and volume v of a family of shapes are related as:

$$\ell = cv^{1/4}$$

🚯 Using [·] to indicate dimension, then

 $[c] = [\ell] / [v^{1/4}] = L/L^{3/4} = L^{1/4}.$



 \Im More on this later with the Buckingham π theorem.



Power-law relationships are linear in log-log space:

 $y = cx^{\alpha}$

 $\Rightarrow \log_b y = \alpha \log_b x + \log_b c$

with slope equal to α , the scaling exponent.



Power-law relationships are linear in log-log space:

 $y = cx^{\alpha}$

 $\Rightarrow \log_b y = \alpha \log_b x + \log_b c$

with slope equal to *α*, the scaling exponent.
 Much searching for straight lines on log-log or double-logarithmic plots.



Power-law relationships are linear in log-log space:

 $y = cx^{\alpha}$

 $\Rightarrow \log_b y = \alpha \log_b x + \log_b c$

with slope equal to α, the scaling exponent.
 Much searching for straight lines on log-log or double-logarithmic plots.

Good practice: Always, always, always use base 10.



Power-law relationships are linear in log-log space:

 $y = cx^{\alpha}$

 $\Rightarrow \log_b y = \alpha \log_b x + \log_b c$

with slope equal to α , the scaling exponent.

- Much searching for straight lines on log-log or double-logarithmic plots.
- 🗞 Good practice: Always, always, always use base 10.
- Sector Yes, the Dozenalists are right, 12 would be better.



Power-law relationships are linear in log-log space:

 $y = cx^{\alpha}$

 $\Rightarrow \log_b y = \alpha \log_b x + \log_b c$

with slope equal to α , the scaling exponent.

- Much searching for straight lines on log-log or double-logarithmic plots.
- 🚳 Good practice: Always, always, always use base 10.
- Yes, the Dozenalists are right, 12 would be better.
- 🗞 But: hands.¹And social pressure.



Power-law relationships are linear in log-log space:

 $y = cx^{\alpha}$

 $\Rightarrow \log_b y = \alpha \log_b x + \log_b c$

with slope equal to α , the scaling exponent.

- Much searching for straight lines on log-log or double-logarithmic plots.
- 🗞 Good practice: Always, always, always use base 10.
- Sector Yes, the Dozenalists are right, 12 would be better.
- 🗞 But: hands.¹And social pressure.

¹Probably an accident of evolution—debated.



Power-law relationships are linear in log-log space:

 $y = cx^{\alpha}$

 $\Rightarrow \log_b y = \alpha \log_b x + \log_b c$

with slope equal to α , the scaling exponent.

- Much searching for straight lines on log-log or double-logarithmic plots.
- 🗞 Good practice: Always, always, always use base 10.
- Yes, the Dozenalists I are right, 12 would be better.
- 🗞 But: hands.¹And social pressure.
 - Talk only about orders of magnitude (powers of 10).

¹Probably an accident of evolution—debated.



A beautiful, heart-warming example:





Quantities (following Zhang and Sejnowski):

- $\Im G =$ Volume of gray matter (cortex/processors)
- & W =Volume of white matter (wiring)
- $rac{1}{3}$ T = Cortical thickness (wiring)
- S = Cortical surface area
- & L = Average length of white matter fibers
- p = density of axons on white matter/cortex interface





Quantities (following Zhang and Sejnowski):

- $\Im G =$ Volume of gray matter (cortex/processors)
- & W =Volume of white matter (wiring)
- $rac{1}{3}$ T = Cortical thickness (wiring)
- S = Cortical surface area
- & L = Average length of white matter fibers
- p = density of axons on white matter/cortex interface

A rough understanding:



Quantities (following Zhang and Sejnowski):

- $\Im G =$ Volume of gray matter (cortex/processors)
- & W =Volume of white matter (wiring)
- $rac{1}{3}$ T = Cortical thickness (wiring)
- S = Cortical surface area
- & L = Average length of white matter fibers
- p = density of axons on white matter/cortex interface

A rough understanding:

 $\mathfrak{S} G \sim ST$ (convolutions are okay)



Quantities (following Zhang and Sejnowski):

- $\Im G =$ Volume of gray matter (cortex/processors)
- & W =Volume of white matter (wiring)
- $rac{1}{3}$ T = Cortical thickness (wiring)
- S = Cortical surface area
- & L = Average length of white matter fibers
- p = density of axons on white matter/cortex interface

A rough understanding:



Quantities (following Zhang and Sejnowski):

- $\Im G =$ Volume of gray matter (cortex/processors)
- & W =Volume of white matter (wiring)
- $rac{1}{3}$ T = Cortical thickness (wiring)
- S = Cortical surface area
- & L = Average length of white matter fibers
- p = density of axons on white matter/cortex interface

A rough understanding:



Quantities (following Zhang and Sejnowski):

- $\Im G =$ Volume of gray matter (cortex/processors)
- $\gg W =$ Volume of white matter (wiring)
- $rac{1}{3}$ T = Cortical thickness (wiring)
- S = Cortical surface area
- & L =Average length of white matter fibers
- p = density of axons on white matter/cortex interface

A rough understanding:




Why is $\alpha \simeq 1.23$?

A rough understanding:

 \ref{We} We are here: $W \propto G^{4/3}/T$

 $\ref{eq: constraint}$ Observe weak scaling $T \propto G^{0.10 \pm 0.02}$.



Why is $\alpha \simeq 1.23$?

A rough understanding:

♦ We are here: $W \propto G^{4/3}/T$ ♦ Observe weak scaling $T \propto G^{0.10\pm0.02}$.
♦ Implies $S \propto G^{0.9} \rightarrow$ convolutions fill space.



Why is $\alpha \simeq 1.23$?

A rough understanding:

 $\begin{cases} & \& \\ \& \\ \& \\ & \& \\ \end{bmatrix} \end{cases}$ We are here: $W \propto G^{4/3}/T$ $& \& \\ & Observe weak scaling <math>T \propto G^{0.10\pm0.02}.$ $& \& \\ & Implies S \propto G^{0.9} \rightarrow \text{convolutions fill space.} \\ & \& \\ & \Rightarrow W \propto G^{4/3}/T \propto G^{1.23\pm0.02} \end{cases}$



Tricksiness:



The PoCSverse Scaling 15 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

With V = G + W, some power laws must be approximations.



Tricksiness:



The PoCSverse Scaling 15 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References

With V = G + W, some power laws must be approximations.

Measuring exponents is a hairy business... 3







The PoCSverse Scaling 16 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



Image from here



Per George Carlin C

Yes, should be the median. #painful

Image from here





Per George Carlin C

Yes, should be the median. #painful

Image from here

The koala , a few roos short in the top paddock:





Per George Carlin C

Yes, should be the median. #painful

Image from here

The koala , a few roos short in the top paddock:

Very small brains C relative to body size.





A Per George Carlin

Yes, should be the median. #painful

Image from here

The koala , a few roos short in the top paddock:

Very small brains C relative to body size.

🚳 Wrinkle-free, smooth.





Per George Carlin C

Yes, should be the median. #painful

Image from here

The koala , a few roos short in the top paddock:

- Solution Strain Solution Strain Solution Strain Str
- 🚳 Wrinkle-free, smooth.
- Not many algorithms needed:





Ser George Carlin

Yes, should be the median. #painful

Image from here

The koala , a few roos short in the top paddock:

- Solution Strain Solution Strain Solution Strain Str
- 🚳 Wrinkle-free, smooth.
- Not many algorithms needed:
 - Only eat eucalyptus leaves (no water)





A Per George Carlin

Yes, should be the median. #painful

Image from here

The koala , a few roos short in the top paddock:

- Very small brains C relative to body size.
- 🚳 Wrinkle-free, smooth.
- Not many algorithms needed:
 - Only eat eucalyptus leaves (no water)
 (Will not eat leaves picked and presented to them)





Rer George Carlin

Yes, should be the median. #painful

Image from here

The koala , a few roos short in the top paddock:

- Very small brains C relative to body size.
- 🚳 Wrinkle-free, smooth.
- Not many algorithms needed:
 - Only eat eucalyptus leaves (no water)
 (Will not eat leaves picked and presented to them)
 Move to the next tree.





A Per George Carlin

Yes, should be the median. #painful

Image from here

The koala , a few roos short in the top paddock:

- Solution Strain Solution Strain Solution Strain Str
- 🚳 Wrinkle-free, smooth.
- Not many algorithms needed:
 - Only eat eucalyptus leaves (no water)
 (Will not eat leaves picked and presented to them)
 - Move to the next tree.
 - 3 Sleep.







Ser George Carlin

Yes, should be the median. #painful

Image from here

The koala , a few roos short in the top paddock:

- Very small brains C relative to body size.
- 🚳 Wrinkle-free, smooth.
- Not many algorithms needed:
 - Only eat eucalyptus leaves (no water)
 - (Will not eat leaves picked and presented to them)
 - Move to the next tree.
 - Sleep.
 - Defend themselves if needed (tree-climbing crocodiles, humans).





A Per George Carlin

Yes, should be the median. #painful
Image from here ^C The koala , a few roos short in the top paddock:

- Very small brains C relative to body size.
- 🚳 Wrinkle-free, smooth.
- Not many algorithms needed:
 - Only eat eucalyptus leaves (no water)
 - (Will not eat leaves picked and presented to them)
 - Move to the next tree.
 - Sleep.
 - Defend themselves if needed (tree-climbing crocodiles, humans).
 Occasionally make more koalas.



Good scaling:

General rules of thumb:

High quality: scaling persists over three or more orders of magnitude for each variable.



Good scaling:

General rules of thumb:

- High quality: scaling persists over three or more orders of magnitude for each variable.
- Medium quality: scaling persists over three or more orders of magnitude for only one variable and at least one for the other.



Good scaling:

General rules of thumb:

- High quality: scaling persists over three or more orders of magnitude for each variable.
- Medium quality: scaling persists over three or more orders of magnitude for only one variable and at least one for the other.
- Very dubious: scaling 'persists' over less than an order of magnitude for both variables.



Unconvincing scaling:

Average walking speed as a function of city population:



Two problems:1. use of natural log, and2. minute varation in dependent variable.

The PoCSverse Scaling 18 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References

THE SCALES

from Bettencourt et al. (2007)^[4]; otherwise totally great—more later.

Power laws are the signature of scale invariance:

Scale invariant 'objects' look the 'same' when they are appropriately rescaled.



Power laws are the signature of scale invariance:

Scale invariant 'objects' look the 'same' when they are appropriately rescaled.

The PoCSverse Scaling 19 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

Objects = geometric shapes, time series, functions, relationships, distributions,...



Power laws are the signature of scale invariance:

Scale invariant 'objects' look the 'same' when they are appropriately rescaled.

The PoCSverse Scaling 19 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References

Objects = geometric shapes, time series, functions, relationships, distributions,...
 'Same' might be 'statistically the same'



Power laws are the signature of scale invariance:

Scale invariant 'objects' look the 'same' when they are appropriately rescaled.

Scaling 19 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse

Objects = geometric shapes, time series, functions, relationships, distributions,...
 'Same' might be 'statistically the same'
 To rescale means to change the units of measurement for the relevant variables



Our friend $y = cx^{\alpha}$:

rightarrow If we rescale x as x = rx' and y as $y = r^{\alpha}y'$,



Our friend $y = cx^{\alpha}$:

$$r^\alpha y' = c (rx')^\alpha$$



Our friend $y = cx^{\alpha}$:

2

$$r^{\alpha}y' = c(rx')^{\alpha}$$

$$\Rightarrow y' = cr^{\alpha} {x'}^{\alpha} r^{-\alpha}$$



Our friend $y = cx^{\alpha}$:

2

2

$$r^{\alpha}y' = c(rx')^{\alpha}$$

$$\Rightarrow y' = cr^{\alpha} x'^{\alpha} r^{-\alpha}$$

$$\Rightarrow y' = cx'^{\alpha}$$





Compare with $y = ce^{-\lambda x}$:

 \Im If we rescale x as x = rx', then

$$y = ce^{-\lambda rx}$$



Compare with $y = ce^{-\lambda x}$:

rightarrow If we rescale x as x = rx', then

$$y = ce^{-\lambda rx'}$$

line and the second sec



Compare with $y = ce^{-\lambda x}$:

rightarrow If we rescale x as x = rx', then

$$y = ce^{-\lambda rx^2}$$

Original form cannot be recovered.
 Scale matters for the exponential.



Compare with $y = ce^{-\lambda x}$:

rightarrow If we rescale x as x = rx', then

$$y = ce^{-\lambda rx'}$$

Original form cannot be recovered.
 Scale matters for the exponential.

More on $y = ce^{-\lambda x}$:



Compare with $y = ce^{-\lambda x}$:

rightarrow If we rescale x as x = rx', then

$$y = ce^{-\lambda rx'}$$

Original form cannot be recovered.
 Scale matters for the exponential.

More on $y = ce^{-\lambda x}$:

Say $x_0 = 1/\lambda$ is the characteristic scale.



Compare with $y = ce^{-\lambda x}$:

rightarrow If we rescale x as x = rx', then

$$y = ce^{-\lambda rx'}$$

Original form cannot be recovered.
 Scale matters for the exponential.

More on $y = ce^{-\lambda x}$:

Say $x_0 = 1/\lambda$ is the characteristic scale. For $x \gg x_0$, y is small, while for $x \ll x_0$, y is large.



Isometry:



Allometry:



Allometry:



Dimensions scale linearly with each other.

Dimensions scale nonlinearly.

The PoCSverse Scaling 22 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References






Allometry:



Dimensions scale linearly with each other.

Dimensions scale nonlinearly.

Allometry:

Refers to differential growth rates of the parts of a living organism's body part or process. **F**



The PoCSverse Scaling 22 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization





Allometry:



Dimensions scale linearly with each other.



Allometry:

- Refers to differential growth rates of the parts of a living organism's body part or process.
- First proposed by Huxley and Teissier, Nature, 1936 "Terminology of relative growth" ^[15, 34]



The PoCSverse Scaling 22 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization

Isometry versus Allometry:

Iso-metry = 'same measure'
 Allo-metry = 'other measure'

The PoCSverse Scaling 23 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization



Isometry versus Allometry:

Iso-metry = 'same measure'
 Allo-metry = 'other measure'

We use allometric scaling to refer to both:

The PoCSverse Scaling 23 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



Isometry versus Allometry:

Iso-metry = 'same measure'
 Allo-metry = 'other measure'

We use allometric scaling to refer to both:

1. Nonlinear scaling of a dependent variable on an independent one (e.g., $y \propto x^{1/3}$)

The PoCSverse Scaling 23 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



Isometry versus Allometry:

Iso-metry = 'same measure'
 Allo-metry = 'other measure'

We use allometric scaling to refer to both:

- 1. Nonlinear scaling of a dependent variable on an independent one (e.g., $y \propto x^{1/3}$)
- 2. The relative scaling of correlated measures (e.g., white and gray matter).

The PoCSverse Scaling 23 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization



An interesting, earlier treatise on scaling:

ON SIZE AND LIFE

THOMAS A. MCMAHON AND JOHN TYLER BONNER



The PoCSverse Scaling 24 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



McMahon and Bonner, 1983^[26]

The many scales of life:

The biggest living things (left). All the organisms are drawn to the same scale. 1, The largest flying bird (albatross); 2, the largest known animal (the blue whale), 3, the largest extinct land mammal (Baluchitherium) with a human figure shown for scale; 4, the tallest living land animal (giraffe); 5. Tyrannosaurus; 6, Diplodocus; 7, one of the largest flying reptiles (Pteranodon): 8, the largest extinct snake: 9, the length of the largest tapeworm found in man; 10, the largest living reptile (West African crocodile); 11, the largest extinct lizard; 12, the largest extinct bird (Aepyornis); 13, the largest jellyfish (Cyanea); 14, the largest living lizard (Komodo dragon); 15, sheep; 16, the largest bivalve mollusc (Tridacna); 17; the largest fish (whale shark); 18, horse; 19, the largest crustacean (Japanese spider crab); 20, the largest sea scorpion (Eurypterid); 21, large tarpon; 22, the largest lobster; 23, the largest mollusc (deep-water squid, Architeuthis); 24, ostrich; 25, the lower 105 feet of the largest organism (giant sequoia), with a 100-foot larch superposed.

p. 2, McMahon and Bonner^[26]



The many scales of life:

Medium-sized creatures (above). 1, Dog; 2, common herring; 3, the largest egg (Aepvornis); 4, song thrush with egg; 5, the smallest bird (hummingbird) with egg; 6, queen bee; 7, common cockroach; 8, the largest stick insect; 9, the largest polyp (Branchiocerianthus); 10, the smallest mammal (flying shrew); 11, the smallest vertebrate (a tropical frog); 12, the largest frog (goliath frog); 13, common grass frog; 14, house mouse; 15, the largest land snail (Achatina) with egg; 16, common snail; 17, the largest beetle (goliath beetle); 18, human hand; 19, the largest starfish (Luidia); 20, the largest free-moving protozoan (an extinct nummulite).

p. 3, McMahon and Bonner^[26] More on the Elephant Bird here C.



The many scales of life:

Small, "naked-eye" creatures (lower left). 7, One of the smallest fishes (Trimmatom pandus); 2, common brown hydra, expanded; 3, housefly; 4, medium-sized ant; 5, the smallest vertebrate (a tropical frog; the same as the one numbered 17 in the figure above); 6, flea (Xenopsyll a cheopis); 7, the smallest land snail; 8, common water flea (Daphnia).

The smallest "naked-eye" creatures and some large microscopic animals and cells (below right), 1, Vorticella, a ciliate; 2, the smallest thing notocoan (Bursaria); 3, the smallest thing nese (Liaphis); 5, another ciliate (Varameclum); 6, cheese nite; 7, hours an open, 7, hoursan liver cell; 71, the forelag of the flag (numbered 6 in the figure to the left).

p. 3, McMahon and Bonner^[26]





Size range (in grams) and cell differentiation:



Scaling 28 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse



Titanothere horns: $L_{\rm horn} \sim L_{\rm skull^4}$



The PoCSverse Scaling 29 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization

References



p. 36, McMahon and Bonner^[26]; a bit dubious.

Non-uniform growth:



The PoCSverse Scaling 30 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



p. 32, McMahon and Bonner^[26]

Non-uniform growth—arm length versus height:

Good example of a break in scaling:



A crossover in scaling occurs around a height of 1 metre.

p. 32, McMahon and Bonner^[26]

Scaling 31 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse



Weightlifting: $M_{
m world\ record} \propto M_{
m lifter}^{2/3}$



The PoCSverse Scaling 32 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

Idea: Power \sim cross-sectional area of isometric lifters.

p. 53, McMahon and Bonner^[26]



Weightlifting: $M_{
m world\ record} \propto M_{
m lifter}^{2/3}$



The PoCSverse Scaling 32 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

Idea: Power \sim cross-sectional area of isometric lifters. But modern data suggests an exponent of 1/2.

p. 53, McMahon and Bonner^[26]

Evidence for a 1/2 scaling exponent for weightlifting:





Stories—The Fraction Assassin:²



The PoCSverse Scaling 35 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry

Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



1*bonk bonk*

Animal power

Fundamental biological and ecological constraint:

 $P = c M^{\alpha}$

P = basal metabolic rate M = organismal body mass





The PoCSverse Scaling 36 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



Animal power

Fundamental biological and ecological constraint:

 $P = c M^{\alpha}$

P = basal metabolic rate M = organismal body mass







The PoCSverse Scaling 36 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



 $P = c M^{\alpha}$

Prefactor *c* depends on body plan and body temperature:

The PoCSverse Scaling 37 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization



 $P = c M^{\alpha}$

Prefactor *c* depends on body plan and body temperature:

Birds	39– $41^{\circ}C$
Eutherian Mammals	36– 38°C
Marsupials	34- 36° <i>C</i>
Monotremes	30-31°C

The PoCSverse Scaling 37 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry

Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References







 $\alpha = 2/3$

The PoCSverse Scaling 38 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization



$\alpha = 2/3$ because ...

Dimensional analysis suggests an energy balance surface law:

 $P\propto S\propto V^{2/3}\propto M^{2/3}$

The PoCSverse Scaling 38 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization



$\alpha = 2/3$ because ...

Dimensional analysis suggests an energy balance surface law:

 $P\propto S\propto V^{2/3}\propto M^{2/3}$

Assumes isometric scaling (not quite the spherical cow).

The PoCSverse Scaling 38 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization



$\alpha = 2/3$ because ...

Dimensional analysis suggests an energy balance surface law:

 $P\propto S\propto V^{2/3}\propto M^{2/3}$

Assumes isometric scaling (not quite the spherical cow).

Lognormal fluctuations:

Gaussian fluctuations in log *P* around log cM^{α} .

The PoCSverse Scaling 38 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry Biology

Physics People Money Language Technology

Specialization References



$\alpha = 2/3$ because ...

Dimensional analysis suggests an energy balance surface law:

 $P \propto S \propto V^{2/3} \propto M^{2/3}$

Assumes isometric scaling (not quite the spherical cow).

Lognormal fluctuations:

Gaussian fluctuations in log *P* around log cM^{α} .

🗞 Stefan-Boltzmann law 🗹 for radiated energy:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sigma\varepsilon ST^4 \propto S$$

The PoCSverse Scaling 38 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology Physics People

Money

Language Technology Specialization



$$\alpha = 3/4$$

 $P \propto M^{3/4}$

The PoCSverse Scaling 39 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization



$$\alpha = 3/4$$

 $P \propto M^{3/4}$

Huh?

The PoCSverse Scaling 39 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization



Most obvious concern:

$$3/4 - 2/3 = 1/12$$

An exponent higher than 2/3 points suggests a fundamental inefficiency in biology. The PoCSverse Scaling 40 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology

Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



Most obvious concern:

$$3/4 - 2/3 = 1/12$$

An exponent higher than 2/3 points suggests a fundamental inefficiency in biology.

Organisms must somehow be running 'hotter' than they need to balance heat loss. The PoCSverse Scaling 40 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People

People Money Language Technology Specialization References



Related putative scalings:

Wait! There's more!:

- \gtrless number of capillaries $\propto M^{3/4}$
- $\ref{eq:main_star}$ time to reproductive maturity $\propto M^{1/4}$
- \clubsuit heart rate $\propto M^{-1/4}$
- $\ref{solution}$ cross-sectional area of aorta $\propto M^{3/4}$
- \clubsuit population density $\propto M^{-3/4}$

The PoCSverse Scaling 41 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization



The great 'law' of heartbeats:

Assuming:

Average lifespan $\propto M^{\beta}$ Average heart rate $\propto M^{-\beta}$ Irrelevant but perhaps $\beta = 1/4$.

The PoCSverse Scaling 42 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization



The great 'law' of heartbeats:

Assuming:

Then:

The PoCSverse Scaling 42 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization



The great 'law' of heartbeats:

Assuming:

- \clubsuit Average lifespan $\propto M^{\beta}$
- \clubsuit Average heart rate $\propto M^{-\beta}$
- \Im Irrelevant but perhaps $\beta = 1/4$.

Then:



🗞 Average number of heart beats in a lifespan

The PoCSverse Scaling 42 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization


Assuming:

 \clubsuit Average lifespan $\propto M^{\beta}$

- $\ref{eq: starting}$ Average heart rate $\propto M^{-eta}$
- \mathfrak{R} Irrelevant but perhaps $\beta = 1/4$.

Then:

Average number of heart beats in a lifespan \simeq (Average lifespan) \times (Average heart rate) The PoCSverse Scaling 42 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry

Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



Assuming:

 \clubsuit Average lifespan $\propto M^{\beta}$

 $\ref{eq: starting}$ Average heart rate $\propto M^{-eta}$

 \mathfrak{R} Irrelevant but perhaps $\beta = 1/4$.

Then:

Average number of heart beats in a lifespan \simeq (Average lifespan) \times (Average heart rate) $\propto M^{\beta-\beta}$

The PoCSverse Scaling 42 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry

Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



Assuming:

Average lifespan $\propto M^{\beta}$ Average heart rate $\propto M^{-\beta}$

 $\stackrel{-}{\otimes}$ Irrelevant but perhaps $\beta = 1/4$.

Then:

The PoCSverse Scaling 42 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization



Assuming:

 \Im Average lifespan $\propto M^{\beta}$

 \clubsuit Average heart rate $\propto M^{-\beta}$

 \mathfrak{S} Irrelevant but perhaps $\beta = 1/4$.

Then:

Average number of heart beats in a lifespan \simeq (Average lifespan) × (Average heart rate) $\propto M^{\beta-\beta}$ $\propto M^0$

Number of heartbeats per life time is independent of organism size! The PoCSverse Scaling 42 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry Biology

Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization



Assuming:

- \Im Average lifespan $\propto M^{\beta}$
- $\ref{eq: starting}$ Average heart rate $\propto M^{-eta}$
- \mathfrak{R} Irrelevant but perhaps $\beta = 1/4$.

Then:

- Average number of heart beats in a lifespan \simeq (Average lifespan) × (Average heart rate) $\propto M^{\beta-\beta}$ $\propto M^0$
- Number of heartbeats per life time is independent of organism size!
- $\mathfrak{s} \approx 1.5$ billion....

The PoCSverse Scaling 42 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry Biology

Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References







The PoCSverse Scaling 43 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry

Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



Ecology—Species-area law:

Allegedly (data is messy): [21, 19]

<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header>

2

"An equilibrium theory of insular zoogeography" MacArthur and Wilson, Evolution, **17**, 373–387, 1963.^[21]

 $N_{\rm species} \propto A^{\,\beta}$

According to physicists—on islands: $\beta \approx 1/4$. Also—on continuous land: $\beta \approx 1/8$. The PoCSverse Scaling 44 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology Physics People Money

Language Technology Specialization References



Cancer:



"Variation in cancer risk among tissues can be explained by the number of stem cell divisions" C Tomasetti and Vogelstein, Science, **347**, 78–81, 2015. ^[36]



The PoCSverse Scaling 45 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry

> Biology Physics People Money

Language

Technology

Specialization

References

P – Familial Adenomatous Polyposis + HCV – Hepatitis C virus + HPV – Human papillomavirus + CLL – Chronic lymphocytic leukernia + AML – Acute myeloid leukernia

Fig. 1. The relationship between the number of stem cell divisions in the lifetime of a given tissue and the lifetime risk of cancer in that tissu Values are from table S1, the derivation of which is discussed in the supplementary materials.

Roughly: $p \sim r^{2/3}$ where p = life time probability and r = rate of stem cell replication.



"How fast do living organisms move: Maximum speeds from bacteria to elephants and whales" Meyer-Vernet and Rospars, American Journal of Physics, **83**, 719–722, 2015. ^[28]



Fig. 1. Maximum relative speed versus body mass for 202 running species (157 mammals plotted in magenta and 45 non-mammals plotted in green), 127 swimming species and 91 micro-organisms (plotted in blue). The sources of the data are given in Ref. 16. The solid line is the maximum relative speed [Eq. (13)] estimated in Sec. III. The human world records are plotted as a sterisks (upper for nunning and lower for swimming). Some examples of organisms of various masses are sketched in black (drawings by François Meyer).

Insert assignment question

Scaling 46 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology People Money Language Technology Specialization

The PoCSverse



"A general scaling law reveals why the largest animals are not the fastest" C Hirt et al., Nature Ecology & Evolution, **1**, 1116, 2017. ^[12]



Figure 21 Empirical data and time-dependent model file for the allometrix scaling of maximum speed, a Comparison of scaling for the different to locoronison modes (mixing). b-4. Taxonomic differences are illustrated separately for (hings) (for ==50), unning (en = 458) and swimming (d, m=109) animats. Overall model file. B²=0.893. The residual variation does not exhibit a signature of taxonomy (only a weak effect of thermoregulations are Methods). The PoCSverse Scaling 47 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization







Figure 11 Concept of time-dependent and mass-dependent realized maximum speed of animals, a. Acceleration of animals follows a saturation curve (solid lines) approaching the theoretical maximum speed (dotted lines) depending on body mass (colour code). b, The time available for acceleration increases with body mass following a power law, c.d. This critical time determines the realized maximum speed (c), vielding a hump-shaped increase of maximum speed with body mass (d).



Figure 4 | Predicting the maximum speed of extinct species with the timedependent model. The model prediction (grey line) is fitted to data of extant species (grey circles) and extended to higher body masses. Speed data for dinosaurs (green triangles) come from detailed morphological model calculations (values in Table) and were not used to obtain model parameters. Solution Maximum speed increases with size: $v_{max} = aM^b$

The PoCSverse Scaling 49 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization

References



Figure 4 | Predicting the maximum speed of extinct species with the timedependent model. The model prediction (grey line) is fitted to data of extant species (grey circles) and extended to higher body masses. Speed data for dinosaurs (green triangles) come from detailed morphological model calculations (values in Table) and were not used to obtain model parameters. $\begin{array}{ll} & \mbox{Maximum speed increases with} \\ & \mbox{size: } v_{\max} = a M^b \\ & \mbox{Takes a while to get going:} \\ & v(t) = v_{\max}(1 - e^{-kt}) \end{array} \end{array}$

The PoCSverse Scaling 49 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References

for almosaurs (green thanges) come from detailed morphological model calculations (values in Table I) and were not used to obtain model paramet



Figure 4 | Predicting the maximum speed of extinct species with the timedependent model. The model prediction (grey line) is fitted to data of extant species (grey circles) and extended to higher body masses. Speed data for dinosaurs (green triangles) come from detailed morphological model calculations (values in Table) and were not used to obtain model parameters. $\begin{array}{ll} & \mbox{Maximum speed increases with} \\ & \mbox{size: } v_{\max} = a M^b \\ & \mbox{Takes a while to get going:} \\ & v(t) = v_{\max}(1 - e^{-kt}) \\ & \mbox{\& } k \sim F_{\max}/M \sim c M^{d-1} \\ & \mbox{Literature: } 0.75 \lesssim d \lesssim 0.94 \end{array}$

The PoCSverse Scaling 49 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References





Figure 4 | Predicting the maximum speed of extinct species with the timedependent model. The model prediction (grey line) is fitted to data of extant species (grey circles) and extended to higher body masses. Speed data for dinosaurs (green triangles) come from detailed morphological model calculations (values in Table) and were not used to obtain model parameters. The PoCSverse Scaling 49 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology People Money Language Technology Specialization References





Figure 4 | Predicting the maximum speed of extinct species with the timedependent model. The model prediction (grey line) is fitted to data of extant species (grey circles) and extended to higher body masses. Speed data for dinosaurs (green triangles) come from detailed morphological model calculations (values in Table 1) and were not used to obtain model parameters. Maximum speed increases with size: $v_{max} = aM^b$ Takes a while to get going: $v(t) = v_{\max}(1 - e^{-kt})$ $k \sim F_{\max}/M \sim cM^{d-1}$ Literature: $0.75 \leq d \leq 0.94$ Acceleration time = depletion time for anaerobic energy: $\tau \sim f M^g$ Literature: $0.76 \leq g \leq 1.27$ 8

$$v_{\max} = a M^b \left(1 - e^{-h M^i} \right)$$

The PoCSverse Scaling 49 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology People Money Language Technology Specialization References





Figure 4 | Predicting the maximum speed of extinct species with the timedependent model. The model prediction (grey line) is fitted to data of extant species (grey circles) and extended to higher body masses. Speed data for dinosaurs (green triangles) come from detailed morphological model calculations (values in Table) and were not used to obtain model parameters. Maximum speed increases with size: $v_{max} = aM^b$ Takes a while to get going: $v(t) = v_{\max}(1 - e^{-kt})$ $k \sim F_{\max}/M \sim cM^{d-1}$ Literature: $0.75 \leq d \leq 0.94$ Acceleration time = depletion time for anaerobic energy: $\tau \sim f M^g$ Literature: $0.76 \leq g \leq 1.27$ $\bigotimes v_{\max} = a M^b \left(1 - e^{-h M^i} \right)$ i = d - 1 + g and h = cf

The PoCSverse Scaling 49 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology People Money Language Technology Specialization References







-Maximum speed increases with size: $v_{max} = aM^b$ Takes a while to get going: $v(t) = v_{\max}(1 - e^{-kt})$ $k \sim F_{\max}/M \sim cM^{d-1}$ Literature: $0.75 \leq d \leq 0.94$ Acceleration time = depletion time for anaerobic energy: $\tau \sim f M^g$ Literature: $0.76 \leq g \leq 1.27$ $\bigotimes v_{\mathsf{max}} = a M^b \left(1 - e^{-h M^i} \right)$ i = d - 1 + g and h = cf

The PoCSverse Scaling 49 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology People Language Technology Specialization References

Literature search for for maximum speeds of running, flying and swimming animals.

Search terms: "maximum speed", "escape speed", and "sprint speed".





"Scaling in athletic world records" Savaglio and Carbone, Nature, **404**, 244, 2000. ^[33]



Figure 1 for a short encoder man passed agent the need the particulated 1005, a_{A} Anonig, and c_{A} anoning process the max a_{A} or exceeding the race (200 m, a_{A} or a_{A}



Solution $\langle s \rangle$ Mean speed $\langle s \rangle$ decays with race time τ :

 $\langle s \rangle \sim \tau^{-\beta}$

The PoCSverse Scaling 50 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization





"Scaling in athletic world records" Savaglio and Carbone, Nature, **404**, 244, 2000. ^[33]



Figure 1 for a short encoder man passed agent the need the particulated 1005, a_{A} Anonig, and c_{A} anoning process the max a_{A} or exceeding the race (200 m, a_{A} or a_{A}



Solution $\langle s \rangle$ Mean speed $\langle s \rangle$ decays with race time τ :

 $\langle s \rangle \sim \tau^{-\beta}$

The PoCSverse Scaling 50 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization





"Scaling in athletic world records" C Savaglio and Carbone, Nature, **404**, 244, 2000.^[33]



Figure 1 Res of and work contains again the reaching information (2011), a), hinting and c), animing month is in a dip as contained in the reaction (3) and (



Solution $\langle s \rangle$ Mean speed $\langle s \rangle$ decays with race time τ :

 $\langle s \rangle \sim \tau^{-\beta}$

Break in scaling at around $\tau \simeq 150\text{--}170~\mathrm{seconds}$

Anaerobic–aerobic transition

The PoCSverse Scaling 50 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization





"Scaling in athletic world records" C Savaglio and Carbone, Nature, **404**, 244, 2000.^[33]



Figure 1 Res of and work contains again the reaching information (2011), a), hinting and c), animing month is in a dip as contained in the reaction (3) and (



Solution $\langle s \rangle$ Mean speed $\langle s \rangle$ decays with race time τ :

 $\langle s \rangle \sim \tau^{-\beta}$

Break in scaling at around $\tau \simeq 150\text{--}170 \text{ seconds}$

Anaerobic–aerobic transition

Roughly 1 km running race The PoCSverse Scaling 50 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology Physics People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization



"Scaling in athletic world records" C Savaglio and Carbone, Nature, **404**, 244, 2000. ^[33]



Figure 1 Res of and work contains again the reaching information (2011), a), hinting and c), animing month is in a dip as contained in the reaction (3) and (



Solution $\langle s \rangle$ Mean speed $\langle s \rangle$ decays with race time τ :

 $\langle s \rangle \sim \tau^{-\beta}$

Break in scaling at around $\tau \simeq 150\text{--}170~\mathrm{seconds}$

Anaerobic–aerobic transition

 Roughly 1 km running race

Running decays faster than swimming The PoCSverse Scaling 50 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology Physics People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization



"Athletics: Momentous sprint at the 2156 Olympics?" ^[2] Tatem et al., Nature, **431**, 525–525, 2004. ^[35]

Linear extrapolation for the 100 metres:



Figure 1 The winning Olympic 100-metre spirit times for men (blue points) and women (ed points), with superimposed best-fit linear regression lines (solid black lines) and coefficients of determination. The regression lines are extrapolated protein blue and red lines for men and women, respectively) and 95%, confidence intervals (öddatd black lines) based on the available points are superimposed. The projections intersed just block her be 2156 Olympics, when her writing women's 100-mete spirit time 48.80% so lib faster fram the men's at 8.08% s.

Tatem: C^a "If I'm wrong anyone is welcome to come and question me about the result after the 2156 Olympics."

The PoCSverse Scaling 51 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language

Technology Specialization



32 mammals at Zoo Atlanta

Figs. 1 and 2 are NSFTCR³



Table 1. Measured a system of animals	llometric re	lationsh	ips for the u	rinary	
	Variable	Unit	Best fit	R ²	N
Duration of urination	т	s	8.2 M ^{0.13}	0.2	32
Urethral length	L	mm	35 M ^{0.43}	0.9	47
Urethral diameter	D	mm	2.0 M ^{0.39}	0.9	22
Shape factor	a		0.2 M ^{-0.05}	0.5	5
Bladder capacity	V	mL	4.6 M0.97	0.9	9
Bladder pressure	Phladder	kPa	5.2 M-0.01	0.02	8
Flow rate for females	Or	mL/s	1.8 M ^{0.66}	0.9	16

Body mass M given in kilograms. Duration of urination corresponds to animals heavier than 3 kg. Urethral length and diameter, shape factor, bladder capacity, bladder pressure, and flow rates correspond to animals heavier than 0.02 kg. The PoCSverse Scaling 52 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology

Specialization References



- 32 mammals at Zoo Atlanta
- Figs. 1 and 2 are NSFTCR³ $M = 3 \times 10^1$ g to 8×10^6 g



		Mass ((g)	
Table 1.	Measured allo	metric relatio	nships for the	urinary
system of	f animals			

	Variable	Unit	Best fit	R ²	N
Duration of urination	т	s	8.2 M ^{0.13}	0.2	32
Urethral length	L	mm	35 M ^{0.43}	0.9	47
Urethral diameter	D	mm	2.0 M ^{0.39}	0.9	22
Shape factor	a		0.2 M ^{-0.05}	0.5	5
Bladder capacity	V	mL	4.6 M0.97	0.9	9
Bladder pressure	Phladder	kPa	5.2 M-0.01	0.02	8
Flow rate for females	Qr	mL/s	1.8 M ^{0.66}	0.9	16
Flow rate for males	QM	mL/s	0.3 M ^{0.92}	0.9	15

Body mass M given in kilograms. Duration of urination corresponds to animals heavier than 3 kg. Urethral length and diameter, shape factor, bladder capacity, bladder pressure, and flow rates correspond to animals heavier than 0.02 kg. The PoCSverse Scaling 52 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology

Specialization

References



32 mammals at Zoo Atlanta

Figs. 1 and 2 are NSFTCR³ $M = 3 \times 10^1$ g to 8×10^6 g For $\geq 3 \times 10^3$ g, $T \sim M^{1/6}$



Table 1.	Measured	allometric	relationships	for	the	urinary
system of	animals					

	Variable	Unit	Best fit	R ²	N
Duration of urination	т	s	8.2 M ^{0.13}	0.2	32
Urethral length	L	mm	35 M ^{0.43}	0.9	47
Urethral diameter	D	mm	2.0 M ^{0.39}	0.9	22
Shape factor	a		0.2 M ^{-0.05}	0.5	5
Bladder capacity	v	mL	4.6 M ^{0.97}	0.9	9
Bladder pressure	Phladder	kPa	5.2 M-0.01	0.02	8
Flow rate for females	Qr	mL/s	1.8 M ^{0.66}	0.9	16
Flow rate for males	QM	mL/s	0.3 M ^{0.92}	0.9	15
Urethral diameter Shape factor Bladder capacity Bladder pressure Flow rate for females Flow rate for males	D a V P _{bladder} Qr Qm	mm — mL kPa mL/s mL/s	2.0 M ^{0.39} 0.2 M ^{-0.05} 4.6 M ^{0.97} 5.2 M ^{-0.01} 1.8 M ^{0.66} 0.3 M ^{0.92}	0.9 0.5 0.9 0.02 0.9 0.9 0.9	

Body mass M given in kilograms. Duration of urination corresponds to animals heavier than 3 kg. Urethral length and diameter, shape factor, bladder capacity, bladder pressure, and flow rates correspond to animals heavier than 0.02 kg.



Specialization

References

32 mammals at Zoo Atlanta

Solution Figs. 1 and 2 are NSFTCR³ $M = 3 \times 10^1$ g to 8×10^6 g $For \ge 3 \times 10^3$ g, $T \sim M^{1/6}$ $Duration \sim 21 \pm 13$ seconds



Table 1.	Measured	allometric i	relationshi	ps for the u	rinary
system o	f animals				
		Variable	Unit	Rost fit	p2

					1000
Duration of urination	т	s	8.2 M ^{0.13}	0.2	32
Urethral length	L	mm	35 M ^{0.43}	0.9	47
Urethral diameter	D	mm	2.0 M ^{0.39}	0.9	22
Shape factor	a	-	0.2 M ^{-0.05}	0.5	5
Bladder capacity	v	mL	4.6 M ^{0.97}	0.9	9
Bladder pressure	Pbladder	kPa	5.2 M ^{-0.01}	0.02	8
Flow rate for females	Qr	mL/s	1.8 M ^{0.66}	0.9	16
Flow rate for males	QM	mL/s	0.3 M ^{0.92}	0.9	15
					_

Body mass M given in kilograms. Duration of urination corresponds to animals heavier than 3 kg. Urethral length and diameter, shape factor, bladder capacity, bladder pressure, and flow rates correspond to animals heavier than 0.02 kg. The PoCSverse Scaling 52 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization

References

100000



32 mammals at Zoo Atlanta

Figs. 1 and 2 are NSFTCR³ $M = 3 \times 10^1$ g to 8×10^6 g For $\geq 3 \times 10^3$ g, $T \sim M^{1/6}$

- Records Duration \sim 21 \pm 13 seconds
- Smaller mammals: $T \sim M^0$



system of animals	nometric re	auonsn	ips for the u	inary	
	Variable	Unit	Best fit	R ²	N
Duration of urination	т	s	8.2 M ^{0.13}	0.2	32
Urethral length	L	mm	35 M ^{0.43}	0.9	47
Urethral diameter	D	mm	2.0 M ^{0.39}	0.9	22
Shape factor	a		0.2 M ^{-0.05}	0.5	5
Bladder capacity	v	mL	4.6 M ^{0.97}	0.9	9
Bladder pressure	Pbladder	kPa	5.2 M-0.01	0.02	8
Flow rate for females	Q,	mL/s	1.8 M ^{0.66}	0.9	16
Flow rate for males	0	mL/s	03 M ^{0.92}	09	15

Body mass M given in kilograms. Duration of urination corresponds to animals heavier than 3 kg. Urethral length and diameter, shape factor, bladder capacity, bladder pressure, and flow rates correspond to animals heavier than 0.02 kg. The PoCSverse Scaling 52 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology

Specialization References



32 mammals at Zoo Atlanta

Solution Figs. 1 and 2 are NSFTCR³ $M = 3 \times 10^1$ g to 8×10^6 g For $\geq 3 \times 10^3$ g, $T \sim M^{1/6}$

- $rac{1}{
 m S}$ Duration $\sim 21 \pm 13$ seconds
- Smaller mammals: $T \sim M^0$
- 3 Duration \sim 0.02 to 2 seconds



Table 1.	Measured	allometric	relationships	for	the	urinary
system of	animals					

	Variable	Unit	Best fit	R ²	N
Duration of urination	Т	s	8.2 M ^{0.13}	0.2	32
Urethral length	L	mm	35 M ^{0.43}	0.9	47
Urethral diameter	D	mm	2.0 M ^{0.39}	0.9	22
Shape factor	a	-	0.2 M ^{-0.05}	0.5	5
Bladder capacity	v	mL	4.6 M ^{0.97}	0.9	9
Bladder pressure	Pbladder	kPa	5.2 M-0.01	0.02	8
Flow rate for females	Q,	mL/s	1.8 M ^{0.66}	0.9	16
Flow rate for males	QM	mL/s	0.3 M ^{0.92}	0.9	15

Body mass M given in kilograms. Duration of urination corresponds to animals heavier than 3 kg. Urethral length and diameter, shape factor, bladder capacity, bladder pressure, and flow rates correspond to animals heavier than 0.02 kg.

The PoCSverse

Scaling-at-large

Scaling 52 of 117



Where this was always going:⁴

The PoCSverse Scaling 53 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization

References



⁴David Hu's papers on the fluid mechanics of interesting things $\ensuremath{\mathcal{C}}$

The PoCSverse Scaling 53 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization

References



⁴David Hu's papers on the fluid mechanics of interesting things

Where this was always going:⁴



🚳 Ig Nobel in Physics in 2015 🗹 And again in 2019 for a paper on a peculiarity of wombats ^[?] The PoCSverse Scaling 53 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization

References



⁴David Hu's papers on the fluid mechanics of interesting things

Where this was always going:⁴

Ig Nobel in Physics in 2015 C
 And again in 2019 for a paper on a peculiarity of wombats ^[?] C



⁴David Hu's papers on the fluid mechanics of interesting things

Scaling 53 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse



From How do wombats poop cubes? Scientists get to the bottom of the mystery **C**, Science, 2021/01/27:

That just leaves one mystery: why wombats evolved cubic poop in the first place.

The PoCSverse Scaling 54 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization



From How do wombats poop cubes? Scientists get to the bottom of the mystery **C**, Science, 2021/01/27:

That just leaves one mystery: why wombats evolved cubic poop in the first place.

Hu speculates that because the animals climb up on rocks and logs to mark their territory, the flat-sided feces aren't as likely to roll off from these high perches.

...

The PoCSverse Scaling 54 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry

Biology Physics People

Money

Language Technology Specialization References


From How do wombats poop cubes? Scientists get to the bottom of the mystery **C**, Science, 2021/01/27:

That just leaves one mystery: why wombats evolved cubic poop in the first place.

Hu speculates that because the animals climb up on rocks and logs to mark their territory, the flat-sided feces aren't as likely to roll off from these high perches.

In the meantime, Hu also thinks this knowledge could help researchers raising wombats in captivity.

The PoCSverse Scaling 54 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology

Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References From How do wombats poop cubes? Scientists get to the bottom of the mystery **C**, Science, 2021/01/27:

That just leaves one mystery: why wombats evolved cubic poop in the first place.

Hu speculates that because the animals climb up on rocks and logs to mark their territory, the flat-sided feces aren't as likely to roll off from these high perches. ...

In the meantime, Hu also thinks this knowledge could help researchers raising wombats in captivity.

"Sometimes their feces aren't as cubic as the [wild] ones," he says.

The PoCSverse Scaling 54 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry

Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References From How do wombats poop cubes? Scientists get to the bottom of the mystery **C**, Science, 2021/01/27:

That just leaves one mystery: why wombats evolved cubic poop in the first place.

Hu speculates that because the animals climb up on rocks and logs to mark their territory, the flat-sided feces aren't as likely to roll off from these high perches. ...

In the meantime, Hu also thinks this knowledge could help researchers raising wombats in captivity.

"Sometimes their feces aren't as cubic as the [wild] ones," he says.

The squarer the poop, the healthier the wombat.'

The PoCSverse Scaling 54 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology

Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References **Engines:**



The PoCSverse Scaling 55 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



BHP = brake horse power

Observed: Diameter \propto Length^{2/3} or $d \propto \ell^{2/3}$.





onstandard long spikes

Since $\ell d^2 \propto$ Volume *v*:



Observed: Diameter \propto Length^{2/3} or $d \propto \ell^{2/3}$.





The PoCSverse Scaling 56 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

Since $\ell d^2 \propto$ Volume v: Solution \mathcal{S} Diameter \propto



Observed: Diameter \propto Length^{2/3} or $d \propto \ell^{2/3}$.



The PoCSverse Scaling 56 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

Since $\ell d^2 \propto$ Volume *v*: Solution $\otimes d \propto v^{2/7}$ or $d \propto v^{2/7}$.



Observed: Diameter \propto Length^{2/3} or $d \propto \ell^{2/3}$.



The PoCSverse Scaling 56 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

Since $\ell d^2 \propto$ Volume *v*: Solution Diameter \propto Mass^{2/7} or $d \propto v^{2/7}$. Solution Length \propto



Observed: Diameter \propto Length^{2/3} or $d \propto \ell^{2/3}$.



The PoCSverse Scaling 56 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

Since $\ell d^2 \propto$ Volume v:

 $\begin{aligned} & \bigotimes \quad \text{Diameter} \propto \text{Mass}^{2/7} \text{ or } d \propto v^{2/7}. \\ & \bigotimes \quad \text{Length} \propto \text{Mass}^{3/7} \text{ or } \ell \propto v^{3/7}. \end{aligned}$



Observed: Diameter \propto Length^{2/3} or $d \propto \ell^{2/3}$.



The PoCSverse Scaling 56 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

Since $\ell d^2 \propto$ Volume v:

- \ref{black} Diameter \propto Mass $^{2/7}$ or $d \propto v^{2/7}$.
- \clubsuit Length \propto Mass^{3/7} or $\ell \propto v^{3/7}$.
- Nails lengthen faster than they broaden (c.f. trees).
- p. 58–59, McMahon and Bonner^[26]



A buckling instability?:



A buckling instability?:

Physics/Engineering result \mathbb{C} : Columns buckle under a load which depends on d^4/ℓ^2 .

The PoCSverse Scaling 57 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology

Specialization References



A buckling instability?:

- Physics/Engineering result \mathbb{C} : Columns buckle under a load which depends on d^4/ℓ^2 .
- To drive nails in, posit resistive force \propto nail circumference = πd .



A buckling instability?:

- Physics/Engineering result \mathbb{C} : Columns buckle under a load which depends on d^4/ℓ^2 .
- To drive nails in, posit resistive force \propto nail circumference = πd .
- rightarrow Match forces independent of nail size: $d^4/\ell^2 \propto d$.



A buckling instability?:

- Physics/Engineering result \mathbb{C} : Columns buckle under a load which depends on d^4/ℓ^2 .
- To drive nails in, posit resistive force \propto nail circumference = πd .
- Match forces independent of nail size: $d^4/\ell^2 \propto d$.
 Leads to $d \propto \ell^{2/3}$.



A buckling instability?:

- Physics/Engineering result \mathbb{C} : Columns buckle under a load which depends on d^4/ℓ^2 .
- Solution To drive nails in, posit resistive force \propto nail circumference = πd .
- Solution Match forces independent of nail size: $d^4/\ell^2 \propto d$. Solution Leads to $d \propto \ell^{2/3}$.
- Argument made by Galileo^[11] in 1638 in "Discourses on Two New Sciences." Also, see here.



A buckling instability?:

- Physics/Engineering result \mathbb{C} : Columns buckle under a load which depends on d^4/ℓ^2 .
- To drive nails in, posit resistive force \propto nail circumference = πd .
- Match forces independent of nail size: $d^4/\ell^2 \propto d$. Leads to $d \propto \ell^{2/3}$.
- Argument made by Galileo^[11] in 1638 in "Discourses on Two New Sciences." Also, see here.

Another smart person's contribution: Euler, 1757



A buckling instability?:

- Physics/Engineering result \mathbb{C} : Columns buckle under a load which depends on d^4/ℓ^2 .
- To drive nails in, posit resistive force \propto nail circumference = πd .
- Solution Match forces independent of nail size: $d^4/\ell^2 \propto d$. Solution Leads to $d \propto \ell^{2/3}$.
- Argument made by Galileo^[11] in 1638 in "Discourses on Two New Sciences." Also, see here.
- Another smart person's contribution: Euler, 1757
- Also see McMahon, "Size and Shape in Biology," Science, 1973.^[25]



Rowing: Speed \propto (number of rowers)^{1/9}

Shell dimensions and performances.



The PoCSverse Scaling 58 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



Very weak scaling and size variation but it's theoretically explainable ...

Physics:

Scaling in elementary laws of physics:

🚳 Inverse-square law of gravity and Coulomb's law:

$$F\propto rac{m_1m_2}{r^2} \quad ext{and} \quad F\propto rac{q_1q_2}{r^2}.$$

Force is diminished by expansion of space away from source.



Physics:

Scaling in elementary laws of physics:

Inverse-square law of gravity and Coulomb's law:

$$F\propto rac{m_1m_2}{r^2} \quad {\rm and} \quad F\propto rac{q_1q_2}{r^2}.$$

Force is diminished by expansion of space away from source.

The square is d - 1 = 3 - 1 = 2, the dimension of a sphere's surface.



Physics:

Scaling in elementary laws of physics:

Inverse-square law of gravity and Coulomb's law:

$$F\propto rac{m_1m_2}{r^2} \quad {
m and} \quad F\propto rac{q_1q_2}{r^2}.$$

The square is d - 1 = 3 - 1 = 2, the dimension of a sphere's surface.

We'll see a gravity law applies for a range of human phenomena.

The Buckingham π theorem \square :5



"On Physically Similar Systems: Illustrations of the Use of Dimensional Equations" E. Buckingham, Phys. Rev., **4**, 345–376, 1914.^[7]

As captured in the 1990s in the MIT physics library:



Print of SCA

⁵Stigler's Law of Eponymy applies yet again. See here . More later. The PoCSverse Scaling 60 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language Technology

Specialization

References

Fundamental equations cannot depend on units:

The PoCSverse Scaling 61 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization

References



⁶Length is a dimension, furlongs and smoots ⁷ are units

Fundamental equations cannot depend on units:

System involves *n* related quantities with some unknown equation $f(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n) = 0$.

Scaling 61 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse



⁶Length is a dimension, furlongs and smoots ^C are units

Fundamental equations cannot depend on units:

- System involves n related quantities with some unknown equation $f(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n) = 0$.
- Seometric ex.: area of a square, side length ℓ : $A = \ell^2$ where $[A] = L^2$ and $[\ell] = L$.

The PoCSverse Scaling 61 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



⁶Length is a dimension, furlongs and smoots ^C are units

Fundamental equations cannot depend on units:

- System involves *n* related quantities with some unknown equation $f(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n) = 0$.
- Seometric ex.: area of a square, side length ℓ : $A = \ell^2$ where $[A] = L^2$ and $[\ell] = L$.
- Rewrite as a relation of $p \le n$ independent dimensionless parameters \square where p is the number of independent dimensions (mass, length, time, luminous intensity ...):

$$F(\pi_1,\pi_2,\ldots,\pi_p)=0$$

The PoCSverse Scaling 61 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



⁶Length is a dimension, furlongs and smoots ⁷ are units

Fundamental equations cannot depend on units:

- System involves *n* related quantities with some unknown equation $f(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n) = 0$.
- Seometric ex.: area of a square, side length ℓ : $A = \ell^2$ where $[A] = L^2$ and $[\ell] = L$.
- Rewrite as a relation of $p \le n$ independent dimensionless parameters \square where p is the number of independent dimensions (mass, length, time, luminous intensity ...):

$$F(\pi_1,\pi_2,\ldots,\pi_p)=0$$

e.g.,
$$A/\ell^2 - 1 = 0$$
 where $\pi_1 = A/\ell^2$.

⁶Length is a dimension, furlongs and smoots ^C are units





Fundamental equations cannot depend on units:

- System involves *n* related quantities with some unknown equation $f(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n) = 0$.
- Seometric ex.: area of a square, side length ℓ : $A = \ell^2$ where $[A] = L^2$ and $[\ell] = L$.
- Rewrite as a relation of $p \le n$ independent dimensionless parameters \square where p is the number of independent dimensions (mass, length, time, luminous intensity ...):

$$F(\pi_1,\pi_2,\ldots,\pi_p)=0$$

Another example: $F = ma \Rightarrow F/ma - 1 = 0$.

⁶Length is a dimension, furlongs and smoots C are units



Fundamental equations cannot depend on units:

- System involves *n* related quantities with some unknown equation $f(q_1, q_2, ..., q_n) = 0$.
- Geometric ex.: area of a square, side length ℓ : $A = \ell^2$ where $[A] = L^2$ and $[\ell] = L$.
- Rewrite as a relation of $p \le n$ independent dimensionless parameters \square where p is the number of independent dimensions (mass, length, time, luminous intensity ...):

$$F(\pi_1,\pi_2,\ldots,\pi_p)=0$$

$$\ref{e.g.}$$
 e.g., $A/\ell^2 - 1 = 0$ where $\pi_1 = A/\ell^2$.

Ś

- Another example: $F = ma \Rightarrow F/ma 1 = 0$.
- Plan: solve problems using only backs of envelopes.

⁶Length is a dimension, furlongs and smoots ^C are units





Simple pendulum:

19

Idealized mass/platypus swinging forever.

Scaling 62 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse



Simple pendulum:

Ja

 Idealized mass/platypus swinging forever.
 Four quantities:



Simple pendulum:

Ja

 Idealized mass/platypus swinging forever.
 Four quantities:

 Length l,



Simple pendulum:

19

 Idealized mass/platypus swinging forever.
 Four quantities:

 Length l,
 mass m,



Simple pendulum:

19

Idealized mass/platypus swinging forever.

- 🗞 Four quantities:
 - 1. Length ℓ ,
 - 2. mass *m*,
 - 3. gravitational acceleration *g*, and



Simple pendulum:

19



- 🗞 Four quantities:
 - 1. Length ℓ ,
 - 2. mass *m*,
 - 3. gravitational acceleration *g*, and
 - 4. pendulum's period τ .


Example:

Simple pendulum:

19



- 🗞 Four quantities:
 - 1. Length ℓ ,
 - 2. mass *m*,
 - 3. gravitational acceleration *g*, and
 - 4. pendulum's period τ .



Example:

Simple pendulum:

Idealized mass/platypus swinging forever.

- left Four quantities:
 - 1. Length ℓ ,
 - 2. mass *m*,
 - 3. gravitational acceleration g, and
 - 4. pendulum's period τ .

The PoCSverse Scaling 62 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

Solution Variable dimensions: $[\ell] = L$, [m] = M, $[g] = LT^{-2}$, and $[\tau] = T$.

Ja



Example:

Simple pendulum:

Idealized mass/platypus swinging forever.

- left Four quantities:
 - 1. Length ℓ ,
 - 2. mass *m*,
 - 3. gravitational acceleration *g*, and
 - 4. pendulum's period τ .

The PoCSverse Scaling 62 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

Solution Variable dimensions: $[\ell] = L$, [m] = M, $[g] = LT^{-2}$, and $[\tau] = T$.

 \mathfrak{F} Turn over your envelopes and find some π 's.

Ja



Game: find all possible independent combinations of the $\{q_1, q_2, ..., q_n\}$, that form dimensionless quantities $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_p\}$, where we need to figure out p (which must be < n). Scaling 63 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse

Game: find all possible independent combinations of the $\{q_1, q_2, ..., q_n\}$, that form dimensionless quantities $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_p\}$, where we need to figure out p (which must be < n).

$$\ref{alpha}$$
 Consider $\pi_i = q_1^{x_1} q_2^{x_2} \cdots q_n^{x_n}$

Game: find all possible independent combinations of the $\{q_1, q_2, ..., q_n\}$, that form dimensionless quantities $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_p\}$, where we need to figure out p (which must be $\leq n$).

$$\red{alpha}$$
 Consider $\pi_i = q_1^{x_1} q_2^{x_2} \cdots q_n^{x_n}$

Solution We (desperately) want to find all sets of powers x_j that create dimensionless quantities.

5

Game: find all possible independent combinations of the $\{q_1, q_2, ..., q_n\}$, that form dimensionless quantities $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_p\}$, where we need to figure out p (which must be $\leq n$).

$$\ref{eq: consider } \pi_i = q_1^{x_1} q_2^{x_2} \cdots q_n^{x_n}$$

We (desperately) want to find all sets of powers x_j that create dimensionless quantities.

Dimensions: want
$$[\pi_i] = [q_1]^{x_1} [q_2]^{x_2} \cdots [q_n]^{x_n} = 1.$$

Game: find all possible independent combinations of the $\{q_1, q_2, ..., q_n\}$, that form dimensionless quantities $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_p\}$, where we need to figure out p (which must be $\leq n$).

$$\red{alpha}$$
 Consider $\pi_i = q_1^{x_1} q_2^{x_2} \cdots q_n^{x_n}$

- Solution We (desperately) want to find all sets of powers x_j that create dimensionless quantities.
- \clubsuit Dimensions: want $[\pi_i]=[q_1]^{x_1}[q_2]^{x_2}\cdots [q_n]^{x_n}=1.$

So For the platypus pendulum we have $[q_1] = L$, $[q_2] = M$, $[q_3] = LT^{-2}$, and $[q_4] = T$,

Scaling 63 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse

Game: find all possible independent combinations of the $\{q_1, q_2, ..., q_n\}$, that form dimensionless quantities $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_p\}$, where we need to figure out p (which must be $\leq n$).

$$\red{alpha}$$
 Consider $\pi_i = q_1^{x_1} q_2^{x_2} \cdots q_n^{x_n}$

- Solution We (desperately) want to find all sets of powers x_j that create dimensionless quantities.
- $\ref{eq: Dimensions: want } [\pi_i] = [q_1]^{x_1} [q_2]^{x_2} \cdots [q_n]^{x_n} = 1.$

with dimensions $d_1 = L$, $d_2 = M$, and $d_3 = T$.

Game: find all possible independent combinations of the $\{q_1, q_2, ..., q_n\}$, that form dimensionless quantities $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_p\}$, where we need to figure out p (which must be $\leq n$).

$$\red{alpha}$$
 Consider $\pi_i = q_1^{x_1} q_2^{x_2} \cdots q_n^{x_n}$

- Solution We (desperately) want to find all sets of powers x_j that create dimensionless quantities.
- $\ref{eq: starting of the star$
- Sor the platypus pendulum we have $[q_1] = L, [q_2] = M, [q_3] = LT^{-2}$, and $[q_4] = T$,

with dimensions $d_1 = L$, $d_2 = M$, and $d_3 = T$.

$$\textup{So:} \ [\pi_i] = L^{x_1} M^{x_2} (LT^{-2})^{x_3} T^{x_4}.$$

Game: find all possible independent combinations of the $\{q_1, q_2, ..., q_n\}$, that form dimensionless quantities $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_p\}$, where we need to figure out p (which must be $\leq n$).

$$\ref{eq: consider } \pi_i = q_1^{x_1} q_2^{x_2} \cdots q_n^{x_n}.$$

- Solution We (desperately) want to find all sets of powers x_j that create dimensionless quantities.
- $\ref{eq: Dimensions: want } [\pi_i] = [q_1]^{x_1} [q_2]^{x_2} \cdots [q_n]^{x_n} = 1.$
- So For the platypus pendulum we have $[q_1] = L$, $[q_2] = M$, $[q_3] = LT^{-2}$, and $[q_4] = T$,

with dimensions $d_1 = L$, $d_2 = M$, and $d_3 = T$.

$$\&$$
 So: $[\pi_i] = L^{x_1} M^{x_2} (LT^{-2})^{x_3} T^{x_4}.$

$$\ref{We}$$
 We regroup: $[\pi_i] = L^{x_1+x_3}M^{x_2}T^{-2x_3+x_4}$

Game: find all possible independent combinations of the $\{q_1, q_2, ..., q_n\}$, that form dimensionless quantities $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_p\}$, where we need to figure out p (which must be $\leq n$).

$$\ref{eq: consider } \pi_i = q_1^{x_1} q_2^{x_2} \cdots q_n^{x_n}.$$

- Solution We (desperately) want to find all sets of powers x_j that create dimensionless quantities.
- $\ref{eq: Dimensions: want } [\pi_i] = [q_1]^{x_1} [q_2]^{x_2} \cdots [q_n]^{x_n} = 1.$
- So For the platypus pendulum we have $[q_1] = L$, $[q_2] = M$, $[q_3] = LT^{-2}$, and $[q_4] = T$,

with dimensions $d_1 = L$, $d_2 = M$, and $d_3 = T$.

$$\&$$
 So: $[\pi_i] = L^{x_1} M^{x_2} (LT^{-2})^{x_3} T^{x_4}.$

$$\ref{We}$$
 We regroup: $[\pi_i] = L^{x_1+x_3} M^{x_2} T^{-2x_3+x_4}$

Game: find all possible independent combinations of the $\{q_1, q_2, ..., q_n\}$, that form dimensionless quantities $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_p\}$, where we need to figure out p (which must be $\leq n$).

$$\ref{eq: consider } \pi_i = q_1^{x_1} q_2^{x_2} \cdots q_n^{x_n}.$$

- Solution We (desperately) want to find all sets of powers x_j that create dimensionless quantities.
- $\ref{eq: Dimensions: want } [\pi_i] = [q_1]^{x_1} [q_2]^{x_2} \cdots [q_n]^{x_n} = 1.$
- So For the platypus pendulum we have $[q_1] = L$, $[q_2] = M$, $[q_3] = LT^{-2}$, and $[q_4] = T$,

with dimensions $d_1 = L$, $d_2 = M$, and $d_3 = T$.

$${
m So:} \,\, [\pi_i] = L^{x_1} M^{x_2} (LT^{-2})^{x_3} T^{x_4}.$$

- & We now need: $x_1 + x_3 = 0$, $x_2 = 0$, and $-2x_3 + x_4 = 0$. Time for

Game: find all possible independent combinations of the $\{q_1, q_2, ..., q_n\}$, that form dimensionless quantities $\{\pi_1, \pi_2, ..., \pi_p\}$, where we need to figure out p (which must be $\leq n$).

$$\ref{eq: consider } \pi_i = q_1^{x_1} q_2^{x_2} \cdots q_n^{x_n}.$$

- Solution We (desperately) want to find all sets of powers x_j that create dimensionless quantities.
- $\ref{eq: Dimensions: want } [\pi_i] = [q_1]^{x_1} [q_2]^{x_2} \cdots [q_n]^{x_n} = 1.$
- So For the platypus pendulum we have $[q_1] = L$, $[q_2] = M$, $[q_3] = LT^{-2}$, and $[q_4] = T$,

with dimensions $d_1 = L$, $d_2 = M$, and $d_3 = T$.

$${
m So:} \,\, [\pi_i] = L^{x_1} M^{x_2} (LT^{-2})^{x_3} T^{x_4}.$$

$$\ref{eq: the regroup: } [\pi_i] = L^{x_1+x_3} M^{x_2} T^{-2x_3+x_4}.$$

- 🚳 Time for matrixology ...

🚳 Thrillingly, we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

🚳 Thrillingly, we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

A nullspace equation: $\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

🚳 Thrillingly, we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



Solution Number of dimensionless parameters = Dimension of null space = n - r where n is the number of columns of **A** and r is the rank of **A**.

Scaling 64 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse

🚳 Thrillingly, we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



Solution Number of dimensionless parameters = Dimension of null space = n - r where n is the number of columns of **A** and r is the rank of **A**.

$$3$$
 Here: $n = 4$ and $r = 3$

🚳 Thrillingly, we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



Solution Number of dimensionless parameters = Dimension of null space = n - r where n is the number of columns of **A** and r is the rank of **A**.

$$\mathfrak{R}$$
 Here: $n = 4$ and $r = 3 \rightarrow F(\pi_1) = 0$

The De CC

🚳 Thrillingly, we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



Solution Number of dimensionless parameters = Dimension of null space = n - r where n is the number of columns of **A** and r is the rank of **A**.

 \clubsuit Here: n = 4 and $r = 3 \rightarrow F(\pi_1) = 0 \rightarrow \pi_1$ = const.

🚳 Thrillingly, we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



Number of dimensionless parameters = Dimension of null space = n - r where n is the number of columns of A and r is the rank of A.

 \clubsuit Here: n = 4 and $r = 3 \rightarrow F(\pi_1) = 0 \rightarrow \pi_1$ = const.

In general: Create a matrix A where *ij*th entry is the power of dimension *i* in the *j*th variable, and solve by row reduction to find basis null vectors.

🚳 Thrillingly, we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



- Number of dimensionless parameters = Dimension of null space = n - r where n is the number of columns of A and r is the rank of A.
- \mathfrak{R} Here: n = 4 and $r = 3 \rightarrow F(\pi_1) = 0 \rightarrow \pi_1$ = const.
- In general: Create a matrix **A** where ijth entry is the power of dimension i in the jth variable, and solve by row reduction to find basis null vectors.
- \circledast We (you) find: $\pi_1 = \ell/g\tau^2 = \text{const.}$

🚳 Thrillingly, we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



- Number of dimensionless parameters = Dimension of null space = n - r where n is the number of columns of A and r is the rank of A.
- \mathfrak{R} Here: n = 4 and $r = 3 \rightarrow F(\pi_1) = 0 \rightarrow \pi_1$ = const.
- In general: Create a matrix A where *ij*th entry is the power of dimension *i* in the *j*th variable, and solve by row reduction to find basis null vectors.

 \mathfrak{R} We (you) find: $\pi_1 = \ell/g\tau^2 = \text{const.}$ Upshot: $\tau \propto \sqrt{\ell}$.

🚳 Thrillingly, we have:

$$\mathbf{A}\vec{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$



- Number of dimensionless parameters = Dimension of null space = n - r where n is the number of columns of A and r is the rank of A.
- \mathfrak{R} Here: n = 4 and $r = 3 \rightarrow F(\pi_1) = 0 \rightarrow \pi_1$ = const.
- In general: Create a matrix **A** where ijth entry is the power of dimension i in the jth variable, and solve by row reduction to find basis null vectors.

 \mathfrak{R} We (you) find: $\pi_1 = \ell/g\tau^2 = \text{const.}$ Upshot: $\tau \propto \sqrt{\ell}$.

Insert assignment question



G. I. BARENBLATT

"Scaling, self-similarity, and intermediate asymptotics" **a C** by G. I. Barenblatt (1996). ^[2]

Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization

The PoCSverse Scaling

65 of 117

References





"Scaling, self-similarity, and intermediate asymptotics" **a C** by G. I. Barenblatt (1996). ^[2]

G. I. Taylor, magazines, and classified secrets:



"Scaling, self-similarity, and intermediate asymptotics" **3** C by G. I. Barenblatt (1996). ^[2]

Self-similar blast wave:

G. I. Taylor, magazines, and classified secrets:

1945 New Mexico Trinity test:



Radius: [R] = L, Time: [t] = T, Density of air: $[\rho] = M/L^3$, Energy: $[E] = ML^2/T^2$.





"Scaling, self-similarity, and intermediate asymptotics" **3** C by G. I. Barenblatt (1996). ^[2]

Self-similar blast wave:

G. I. Taylor, magazines, and classified secrets:

1945 New Mexico Trinity test:



Radius: [R] = L, Time: [t] = T, Density of air: $[\rho] = M/L^3$, Energy: $[E] = ML^2/T^2$.

🗞 Four variables, three dimensions.

Solution One dimensionless variable: $E = \text{constant} \times \rho R^5/t^2.$



"Scaling, self-similarity, and intermediate asymptotics" **3** C by G. I. Barenblatt (1996). ^[2]

Self-similar blast wave:

G. I. Taylor, magazines, and classified secrets:

1945 New Mexico Trinity test:



Radius: [R] = L, Time: [t] = T, Density of air: $[\rho] = M/L^3$, Energy: $[E] = ML^2/T^2$.

\delta Four variables, three dimensions.





"Scaling, self-similarity, and intermediate asymptotics" **3** C by G. I. Barenblatt (1996). ^[2]

G. I. Taylor, magazines, and classified secrets:

1945 New Mexico Trinity test:



- Self-similar blast wave:
 - Radius: [R] = L, Time: [t] = T, Density of air: $[\rho] = M/L^3$, Energy: $[E] = ML^2/T^2$.



 $rac{3}{8}$ Scaling: Speed decays as $1/R^{3/2}$.

Related: Radiolab's Elements 🕝 on the Cold War, the Bomb Pulse, and the dating of cell age (33:30).

SI base units were redefined in 2019:



by Dono/Wikipedia





SI base units were redefined in 2019:



by Dono/Wikipedia



Now: kilogram is an artifact in Sèvres, France. Scaling 66 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse



SI base units were redefined in 2019:



by Dono/Wikipedia



Now: kilogram is an artifact in Sèvres, France.
 Defined by fixing Planck's constant as 6.62607015 × 10⁻³⁴ s⁻¹·m²·kg.³



SI base units were redefined in 2019:





oy Wikipetzi/Wikipedia

³Not without some arguing ...

Now: kilogram is an artifact in Sèvres, France.
 Defined by fixing Planck's constant as 6.62607015 × 10⁻³⁴ s⁻¹·m²·kg.³



SI base units were redefined in 2019:





by Wikipetzi/Wikipedia

³Not without some arguing ...

Now: kilogram is an artifact in Sèvres, France.
 Defined by fixing Planck's constant as 6.62607015 × 10⁻³⁴ s⁻¹·m²·kg.³
 Matra chasan ta fix anagad af

Metre chosen to fix speed of light at 299,792,458 m·s⁻¹.


Sorting out base units of fundamental measurement:

SI base units were redefined in 2019:







by Wikipetzi/Wikipedia

³Not without some arguing ...

- Now: kilogram is an artifact in Sèvres, France.
 Defined by fixing Planck's constant as 6.62607015 × 10⁻³⁴ s⁻¹·m²·kg.³
- Metre chosen to fix speed of light at 299,792,458 m⋅s⁻¹.
 Radiolab piece: ≤ kg

Scaling 66 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse



Turbulence:



Big whirls have little whirls That heed on their velocity, And little whirls have littler whirls And so on to viscosity. — Lewis Fry Richardson

The PoCSverse Scaling 67 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

Image from here 🗹.

Jonathan Swift (1733): "Big fleas have little fleas upon their backs to bite 'em, And little fleas have lesser fleas, and so, ad infinitum." The Siphonaptera. I





"Turbulent luminance in impassioned van Gogh paintings" C Aragón et al., J. Math. Imaging Vis., **30**, 275–283, 2008.^[1]

- Examined the probability pixels a distance R apart share the same luminance.
- "Van Gogh painted perfect turbulence" by Phillip Ball, July 2006.
- Apparently not observed in other famous painter's works or when van Gogh was stable.
 - Sops: Small ranges and natural log used.



In 1941, Kolmogorov, armed only with dimensional analysis and an envelope figures this out: [18]

$$E(k) = C\epsilon^{2/3}k^{-5/3}$$

E(*k*) = energy spectrum function. *ϵ* = rate of energy dissipation. *k* = $2\pi/\lambda$ = wavenumber.

Scaling 69 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse



In 1941, Kolmogorov, armed only with dimensional analysis and an envelope figures this out: [18]

$$E(k) = C\epsilon^{2/3}k^{-5/3}$$

E(*k*) = energy spectrum function. *ϵ* = rate of energy dissipation. *k* = $2\pi/\lambda$ = wavenumber.



In 1941, Kolmogorov, armed only with dimensional analysis and an envelope figures this out: [18]

$$E(k) = C \epsilon^{2/3} k^{-5/3}$$

E(*k*) = energy spectrum function. *ϵ* = rate of energy dissipation. *k* = $2\pi/\lambda$ = wavenumber.

Energy is distributed across all modes, decaying with wave number.



In 1941, Kolmogorov, armed only with dimensional analysis and an envelope figures this out: [18]

$$E(k) = C \epsilon^{2/3} k^{-5/3}$$

E(*k*) = energy spectrum function. *e* = rate of energy dissipation. *k* = 2π/λ = wavenumber.

- Energy is distributed across all modes, decaying with wave number.
- 🚳 No internal characteristic scale to turbulence.



In 1941, Kolmogorov, armed only with dimensional analysis and an envelope figures this out: [18]

$$E(k) = C\epsilon^{2/3}k^{-5/3}$$

E(*k*) = energy spectrum function. *e* = rate of energy dissipation. *k* = 2π/λ = wavenumber.

- Energy is distributed across all modes, decaying with wave number.
- 🚳 No internal characteristic scale to turbulence.
- Stands up well experimentally and there has been no other advance of similar magnitude.





"Anomalous" scaling of lengths, areas, volumes relative to each other.





"Anomalous" scaling of lengths, areas, volumes relative to each other.

The enduring question: how do self-similar geometries form?





"Anomalous" scaling of lengths, areas, volumes relative to each other.

The enduring question: how do self-similar geometries form?

Robert E. Horton C: Self-similarity of river (branching) networks (1945). [13] Scaling 70 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse





- "Anomalous" scaling of lengths, areas, volumes relative to each other.
- The enduring question: how do self-similar geometries form?

Robert E. Horton C: Self-similarity of river (branching) networks (1945).^[13]

♣ Harold Hurst I — Roughness of time series (1951). [14]







- "Anomalous" scaling of lengths, areas, volumes relative to each other.
- The enduring question: how do self-similar geometries form?
- Robert E. Horton C: Self-similarity of river (branching) networks (1945).^[13]
- ♣ Harold Hurst 🖉—Roughness of time series (1951). [14]
- 🗞 Lewis Fry Richardson 🖉—Coastlines (1961).





"Anomalous" scaling of lengths, areas, volumes relative to each other.

The enduring question: how do self-similar geometries form?

Robert E. Horton C: Self-similarity of river (branching) networks (1945). ^[13]

- 🗞 Harold Hurst 🗹—Roughness of time series (1951). [14]
- 🗞 Lewis Fry Richardson 🖓—Coastlines (1961).

Benoît B. Mandelbrot C — Introduced the term "Fractals" and explored them everywhere, 1960s on. [22, 23, 24]





"Anomalous" scaling of lengths, areas, volumes relative to each other.

The enduring question: how do self-similar geometries form?

Robert E. Horton C: Self-similarity of river (branching) networks (1945).^[13]

- 🗞 Harold Hurst 🗹—Roughness of time series (1951). [14]
- 🗞 Lewis Fry Richardson 🖉—Coastlines (1961).
- Benoît B. Mandelbrot C Introduced the term "Fractals" and explored them everywhere, 1960s on. [22, 23, 24]

^dNote to self: Make millions with the "Fractal Diet"



"Growth, innovation, scaling, and the pace of life in cities" Bettencourt et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., **104**, 7301–7306, 2007. ^[4]

- Quantified levels of
 - 🗊 Infrastructure
 - 💙 Wealth
 - Crime levels
 - ア Disease
 - Energy consumption

as a function of city size N (population).









Fig. 2. The pace of urban life increases with city size in contrast to the pace of biological life, which decreases with organism size. (a) Scaling of walking speed vs. population for cities around the world. (b) Heart rate vs. the size (mass) of organisms.





Table 1. Scaling exponents for urban indicators vs. city size

Y	β	95% CI	Adj-R ²	Observations	Country-year
New patents	1.27	[1.25, 1.29]	0.72	331	U.S. 2001
Inventors	1.25	[1.22, 1.27]	0.76	331	U.S. 2001
Private R&D employment	1.34	[1.29, 1.39]	0.92	266	U.S. 2002
"Supercreative" employment	1.15	[1.11,1.18]	0.89	287	U.S. 2003
R&D establishments	1.19	[1.14, 1.22]	0.77	287	U.S. 1997
R&D employment	1.26	[1.18, 1.43]	0.93	295	China 2002
Total wages	1.12	[1.09, 1.13]	0.96	361	U.S. 2002
Total bank deposits	1.08	[1.03,1.11]	0.91	267	U.S. 1996
GDP	1.15	[1.06, 1.23]	0.96	295	China 2002
GDP	1.26	[1.09, 1.46]	0.64	196	EU 1999-2003
GDP	1.13	[1.03, 1.23]	0.94	37	Germany 2003
Total electrical consumption	1.07	[1.03, 1.11]	0.88	392	Germany 2002
New AIDS cases	1.23	[1.18,1.29]	0.76	93	U.S. 2002-2003
Serious crimes	1.16	[1.11, 1.18]	0.89	287	U.S. 2003
Total housing	1.00	[0.99,1.01]	0.99	316	U.S. 1990
Total employment	1.01	[0.99,1.02]	0.98	331	U.S. 2001
Household electrical consumption	1.00	[0.94,1.06]	0.88	377	Germany 2002
Household electrical consumption	1.05	[0.89,1.22]	0.91	295	China 2002
Household water consumption	1.01	[0.89,1.11]	0.96	295	China 2002
Gasoline stations	0.77	[0.74,0.81]	0.93	318	U.S. 2001
Gasoline sales	0.79	[0.73,0.80]	0.94	318	U.S. 2001
Length of electrical cables	0.87	[0.82,0.92]	0.75	380	Germany 2002
Road surface	0.83	[0.74,0.92]	0.87	29	Germany 2002

The PoCSverse Scaling 73 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization

References



Data sources are shown in SI Text. CI, confidence interval; Adj-R², adjusted R²; GDP, gross domestic product.

Intriguing findings:

Solution Global supply costs scale sublinearly with N ($\beta < 1$).

Returns to scale for infrastructure.



Intriguing findings:

- Solution Global supply costs scale sublinearly with N ($\beta < 1$).
 - Returns to scale for infrastructure.
- Total individual costs scale linearly with $N (\beta = 1)$
 - Individuals consume similar amounts independent of city size.



Intriguing findings:

- Solution Global supply costs scale sublinearly with N ($\beta < 1$).
 - Returns to scale for infrastructure.
- \clubsuit Total individual costs scale linearly with $N(\beta = 1)$
 - Individuals consume similar amounts independent of city size.
- $\ref{eq:social}$ Social quantities scale superlinearly with N (eta > 1)
 - Creativity (# patents), wealth, disease, crime, ...



Intriguing findings:

- Solution Global supply costs scale sublinearly with N ($\beta < 1$).
 - Returns to scale for infrastructure.
- \clubsuit Total individual costs scale linearly with $N(\beta = 1)$
 - Individuals consume similar amounts independent of city size.
- \bigotimes Social quantities scale superlinearly with N ($\beta > 1$)
 - Creativity (# patents), wealth, disease, crime, ...

Density doesn't seem to matter...

Surprising given that across the world, we observe two orders of magnitude variation in area covered by agglomerations of fixed populations.





Comparing city features across populations: Gities = Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs)





Comparing city features across populations:
 Cities = Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs)
 Story: Fit scaling law and examine residuals





Comparing city features across populations:

- 🚳 Cities = Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs)
- 🚳 Story: Fit scaling law and examine residuals
- Does a city have more or less crime than expected when normalized for population?





Comparing city features across populations:

- 🗞 Cities = Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs)
- 🗞 Story: Fit scaling law and examine residuals
- Does a city have more or less crime than expected when normalized for population?
- 🗞 Same idea as Encephalization Quotient (EQ).





Figure 1. Urban Agglomeration effects result in per capita nonlinear scaling of urban metrics. Subtracting these effects produces a truly local measure of urban dynamics and a reference scale for ranking cities. a) A typical superlinear scaling law (solid line): Gross Metropolitan Product of US MSAs in 2006 (red dots) vs. population; the slope of the solid line has exponent. *J*=1.126 (95% CI [1.101,1.149]). b) Histogram showing frequency of residuals, (SMMs) see Eq. (2): the statistics of residuals is well described by a taphate distribution (red line). Scale independent ranking (SMMs) for US MSAs by (2) personal income and d) patenting (red denotes above average performance, blue below). For more details see Text 51, Table 51 and Figure 51.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0013541.g001

A possible theoretical explanation?



"The origins of scaling in cities" C Luís M. A. Bettencourt, Science, **340**, 1438–1441, 2013.^[3] Scaling 77 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse

#sixthology





"Statistical signs of social influence on suicides" Melo et al., Scientific Reports, **4**, 6239, 2014.^[27]

Bettencourt *et al.*'s initial work suggested social phenomena would follow superlinear scaling (wealth, crime, disease)





"Statistical signs of social influence on suicides" Melo et al., Scientific Reports, **4**, 6239, 2014.^[27]

- Bettencourt *et al.*'s initial work suggested social phenomena would follow superlinear scaling (wealth, crime, disease)
- Homicide, traffic, and suicide^[10] all tied to social context in complex, different ways.





"Statistical signs of social influence on suicides" Melo et al., Scientific Reports, **4**, 6239, 2014.^[27]

- Bettencourt *et al.*'s initial work suggested social phenomena would follow superlinear scaling (wealth, crime, disease)
- Homicide, traffic, and suicide^[10] all tied to social context in complex, different ways.
- line and the set of th





"Statistical signs of social influence on suicides" Melo et al., Scientific Reports, 4, 6239, 2014. [27]

- Bettencourt et al.'s initial work suggested social phenomena would follow superlinear scaling (wealth, crime, disease)
- A Homicide, traffic, and suicide ^[10] all tied to social context in complex, different ways.



- For cities in Brazil, Melo et al. show:
 - Homicide appears to follow superlinear scaling $(\beta = 1.24 + 0.01)$





"Statistical signs of social influence on suicides" Melo et al., Scientific Reports, **4**, 6239, 2014.^[27]

- Bettencourt *et al.*'s initial work suggested social phenomena would follow superlinear scaling (wealth, crime, disease)
- Homicide, traffic, and suicide^[10] all tied to social context in complex, different ways.



- Homicide appears to follow superlinear scaling $(\beta = 1.24 \pm 0.01)$
- Traffic accident deaths appear to follow linear scaling ($\beta = 0.99 \pm 0.02$)





"Statistical signs of social influence on suicides" Melo et al., Scientific Reports, **4**, 6239, 2014.^[27]

- Bettencourt *et al.*'s initial work suggested social phenomena would follow superlinear scaling (wealth, crime, disease)
- Homicide, traffic, and suicide^[10] all tied to social context in complex, different ways.



- Homicide appears to follow superlinear scaling $(\beta = 1.24 \pm 0.01)$
- Traffic accident deaths appear to follow linear scaling ($\beta = 0.99 \pm 0.02$)
- Suicide appears to follow sublinear scaling. ($\beta = 0.84 \pm 0.02$)





Figure 1 | Scaling relations for homicides, traffic accidents, and suicides for the year of 2009 in Brazil. The small circles show the total number of deaths by (a) homicides (red), (b) traffic accidents (blue), and (c) suicides (green) vs the population of each city. Each graph represents only one urban indicator, and the solid gray line indicate the best fit for a power-law relation, using OLS regression, between the average total number of deaths and the city size (population). To reduce the fluctuations we also performed a Nadaraya-Watson kernel regression, "https://withintec.edu/total.com/the.edu/total.com/total.co



10

non-linear behavior for homicides and suicides are robust for this 19 yea period, and for the traffic accidents the exponent remain close to 1.0.

THE SCALES

0.88

10

ρ=0.99

MSA Population

10
Density of public and private facilities:



 $\rho_{\rm fac} \propto \rho_{\rm pop}^{\alpha}$

Left plot: ambulatory hospitals in the U.S.
Right plot: public schools in the U.S.



"Pattern in escalations in insurgent and terrorist activity" Johnson et al., Science, **333**, 81–84, 2011.^[16]



Fig. 1. 10 Schematic limelike of successive field days shown as vertical bass, τ_{ij} is the time interval between the first to local day, bulked 0 and 100 Successive intervals τ_{ij} , between the days with EO Successive intervals τ_{ij} , such as τ_{ij} , and τ_{ij} and τ_{ij} . On this log-top (b), the best-fit power days are the Algobranistan province of Karabhar fequence). On this log-top (b), the best-fit power days are the analysis of the successive intervals τ_{ij} and σ_{ij} of the Magnetian province days are days of the Magnetian province and σ_{ij} and σ_{ij} of the Magnetian province days are days of the Magnetian province mixed province days are days of the Magnetian province many field that the Magnetian province days are days of the Magnetian province many field that the Magnetian province days are days of the Magnetian province mixed that the Magnetian province days are days of the Magnetian province days are days are days are days are days of the Magnetian province days are da

 \clubsuit Escalation: $\tau_n \sim \tau_1 n^{-b}$

- b = scaling exponent (escalation rate)
- Learning curves for organizations ^[37]
 - More later on size distributions ^[9, 17, 6]





Scaling 83 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse

Explore the original zoomable and interactive version here: http://xkcd.com/980/ C.



Cleaning up the code that is English:



"Quantifying the evolutionary dynamics of language" Lieberman et al., Nature, **449**, 713–716, 2007. ^[20]



Exploration of how verbs with irregular conjugation gradually become regular over time.

Comparison of verb behavior in Old, Middle, and Modern English. The PoCSverse Scaling 84 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization

References





The PoCSverse Scaling 85 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References

Universal tendency towards regular conjugation
Rare verbs tend to be regular in the first place





🚳 Rates are relative.



The PoCSverse

Scaling 86 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry



Rates are relative.

The more common a verb is, the more resilient it is to change.

It was the best of finnes i to a of the best of finnes to best of the second second of structures

The PoCSverse

Scaling 86 of 117 Scaling-at-large

Table 1 | The 177 irregular verbs studied

Frequency	Verbs	Regularization (%)	Half-life (yr)
10-1-1	be, have	0	38,800
10-2-10-1	come, do, find, get, give, go, know, say, see, take, think	0	14,400
10-3-10-2	begin, break, bring, buy, choose, draw, drink, drive, eat, fall, fight, forget, grow, hang, help, hold, leave, let, lie, lose, reach rise, run seek, set shake, sit sleep, sneak stand	10	5,400
	teach, throw, understand, walk, win, work, write		
10 ⁻⁴ -10 ⁻³	arise, bake, bear, beat, bind, bite, blow, bow, burn, burst, carve, chew, climb, cling, creep, dare, dig, drag, flee, float,	43	2,000
	flow, fly, fold, freeze, grind, leap, lend, lock, melt, reckon, ride, rush, shape, shine, shoot, shrink, sigh, sing, sink, slide,		
	slip, smoke, spin, spring, starve, steal, step, stretch, strike, stroke, suck, swallow, swear, sweep, swim, swing, tear,		
	wake, wash, weave, weep, weigh, wind, yell, yield		
10-5-10-4	bark, bellow, bid, blend, braid, brew, cleave, cringe, crow,	72	700
	dive, drip, fare, fret, glide, gnaw, grip, heave, knead, low, milk, mourn, mow, prescribe, redden, reek, row, scrape,		
	seethe, shear, shed, shove, slay, slit, smite, sow, span, spurn, sting, stink, strew, stride, swell, tread, uproot, wade,		
	warp, wax, wield, wring, writhe		
10 ⁻⁶ -10 ⁻⁵	bide, chide, delve, flay, hew, rue, shrive, slink, snip, spew, sup, wreak	91	300

177 Old English irregular versb swere compiled for this study. These are arranged according to frequency bin, and in alphabetical order within each bin. Also shown is the percentage of verbs in each bin that have regularized. The half-life is shown in years. Verbs that have regularized are indicated in red. As we move down the list, an increasingly large fraction of the verbs are red; the frequency-dependent regularization of irregular verbs becomes immediately aparent.

🚳 Red = regularized

 \clubsuit Estimates of half-life for regularization ($\propto f^{1/2}$)









Projecting back in time to proto-Zipf story of many tools.



Moore's Law:

Microprocessor Transistor Counts 1971-2011 & Moore's Law



The PoCSverse Scaling 90 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language

Technology Specialization References



"Factors affecting the costs of airplanes" T. P. Wright, Journal of Aeronautical Sciences, **10**, 302–328, 1936. ^[37]





Scaling 91 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse

Power law decay of cost with number of planes produced.

"The present writer started his studies of the variation of cost with quantity in 1922."



"Statistical Basis for Predicting Technological Progress" Nagy et al., PLoS ONE, 2013. [31]



"Statistical Basis for Predicting Technological Progress" Nagy et al., PLoS ONE, 2013. [31]

 $\Re y_t$ = stuff unit cost; x_t = total amount of stuff made.



- "Statistical Basis for Predicting Technological Progress" Nagy et al., PLoS ONE, 2013. [31]
- $\Re y_t$ = stuff unit cost; x_t = total amount of stuff made.
- Wright's Law, cost decreases as a power of total stuff made: [37]

 $y_t \propto x_t^{-w}.$



- "Statistical Basis for Predicting Technological Progress" Nagy et al., PLoS ONE, 2013.^[31]
- $\Re y_t$ = stuff unit cost; x_t = total amount of stuff made.
- Wright's Law, cost decreases as a power of total stuff made: [37]

$$y_t \propto x_t^{-w}.$$

Moore's Law C, framed as cost decrease connected with doubling of transistor density every two years: [30]

$$y_t \propto e^{-mt}$$



- "Statistical Basis for Predicting Technological Progress" Nagy et al., PLoS ONE, 2013.^[31]
- $\Re y_t$ = stuff unit cost; x_t = total amount of stuff made.
- Wright's Law, cost decreases as a power of total stuff made: [37]

$$y_t \propto x_t^{-w}.$$

Moore's Law C, framed as cost decrease connected with doubling of transistor density every two years: [30]

$$y_t \propto e^{-mt}$$

Sahal's observation that Moore's law gives rise to Wright's law if stuff production grows exponentially: ^[32]

$$x_t \propto e^{gt}.$$

- "Statistical Basis for Predicting Technological Progress" Nagy et al., PLoS ONE, 2013. [31]
- $\Re y_t$ = stuff unit cost; x_t = total amount of stuff made.
- Wright's Law, cost decreases as a power of total stuff made: [37]

$$y_t \propto x_t^{-w}.$$

Moore's Law C, framed as cost decrease connected with doubling of transistor density every two years: [30]

$$y_t \propto e^{-mt}$$

Sahal's observation that Moore's law gives rise to Wright's law if stuff production grows exponentially: ^[32]

$$x_t \propto e^{gt}.$$

Sahal + Moore gives Wright with w = m/g.









Figure 3. Three examples showing the logarithm of price as a function of time in the left column and the logarithm of production as a function of time in the right column, based on industry-wide data. We have chosen these examples to be representative: The top row contains an example with one of the worst fits, the second row an example with an intermediate goodness of fit, and the third row one of the best examples. The fourth row of the figure shows histograms of R^2 values for fitting g and m for the 62 datasets. doi:10.371/iournal.one0052669003



Figure 4. An illustration that the combination of exponentially increasing production and exponentially decreasing cost are equivalent to Wright's law. The value of the Wright parameter w is plotted against the prediction m/g based on the Sahal formula, where m is the exponent of cost reduction and g the exponent of the increase in cumulative production. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0052669.g004



https://compstorylab.org/archetypometrics/cards/Toy-Story-dimensions-1-vs-2-2000-464-341.pdf

'When the group moved to California to become part of Lucasfilm, we got close to making a computer-animated movie again in the mid-1980s – this time about a monkey with godlike powers but a missing prefrontal cortex. We had a sponsor, a story treatment, and a marketing survey. We were prepared to make a screen test: Our hot young animator John Lasseter had sketched numerous studies of the hero monkey and had the sponsor salivating over a glass-dragon protagonist.'

⁶"How Pixar Used Moore's Law to Predict the Future," Wired, 2013/04/17 https://www.wired.com/2013/04/ how-pixar-used-moores-law-to-predict-the-future/



"But when it came time to harden the deal and run the numbers for the contracts, I discovered to my dismay that computers were still too slow: The projected production cost was too high and the computation time way too long. We had to back out of the deal. This time, we did know enough detail to correctly apply Moore's Law – and it told us that we had to wait another five years to start making the first movie. And sure enough, five years later Disney approached us to make Toy Story."

⁶"How Pixar Used Moore's Law to Predict the Future," Wired, 2013/04/17 https://www.wired.com/2013/04/ how-pixar-used-moores-law-to-predict-the-future/



'We implement each step to see if it actually works, then gain the courage, the insight, and the engineering mastery to proceed to the next step. Moore's Law told us that the new company we were starting, Pixar, had to bide its time—building hardware instead of making movies.'

The PoCSverse Scaling 98 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology People Money Language Technology Specialization References

⁶"How Pixar Used Moore's Law to Predict the Future," Wired, 2013/04/17 https://www.wired.com/2013/04/ how-pixar-used-moores-law-to-predict-the-future/



Rhetoric of maybeness with hook to "More is different"

'That's the reason for expressing Moore's Law in orders of magnitude rather than factors of 10. The latter form is merely arithmetic, but the former implies an intellectual challenge. We use "order of magnitude" to imply a change so great that it requires new thought processes, new conceptualizations: It's not simply more, it's different.'

⁶"How Pixar Used Moore's Law to Predict the Future," Wired, 2013/04/17 https://www.wired.com/2013/04/ how-pixar-used-moores-law-to-predict-the-future/

Scaling 99 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References

The PoCSverse



Size range (in grams) and cell differentiation:





Scaling of Specialization:

"Scaling of Differentiation in Networks: Nervous Systems, Organisms, Ant Colonies, Ecosystems, Businesses, Universities, Cities, Electronic Circuits, and Legos" C Changizi, McDannald, and Widders, J. Theor. Biol, **218**, 215–237, 2002. ^[8]



The PoCSverse Scaling 101 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology People Money Language Technology Specialization References

Fig. 3. Log-log (base 10) (left) and semi-log (right) plots of the number of Lego piece types vs. the total number of parts in Lego structures (n = 391). To help to distinguish the data points, logarithmic values were perturbed by adding a random number in the interval [-0.05, 0.05], and non-logarithmic values were perturbed by adding a random number in the interval [-1, 1].



🚳 2012 wired.com write-up 🗹

$C \sim N^{1/d}, d \ge 1$: C = network differentiation = # node types. N = network size = # nodes. d = combinatorial degree.

The PoCSverse Scaling 102 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



- rightarrow C = network differentiation = # node types.
- $\gg N$ = network size = # nodes.
- d = combinatorial degree.
- Low d: strongly specialized parts.

The PoCSverse Scaling 102 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References



- rightarrow C = network differentiation = # node types.
- $\gg N$ = network size = # nodes.
- d = combinatorial degree.
- Low d: strongly specialized parts.
- High d: strongly combinatorial in nature, parts are reused.

The PoCSverse Scaling 102 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References



- & *C* = network differentiation = # node types.
- $\gg N$ = network size = # nodes.
- d = combinatorial degree.
- Low d: strongly specialized parts.
- High d: strongly combinatorial in nature, parts are reused.
- \clubsuit Claim: Natural selection produces high d systems.

The PoCSverse Scaling 102 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References



- & *C* = network differentiation = # node types.
- $\gg N$ = network size = # nodes.
- d = combinatorial degree.
- Low d: strongly specialized parts.
- High d: strongly combinatorial in nature, parts are reused.
- \clubsuit Claim: Natural selection produces high d systems.
- Claim: Engineering/brains produces low d systems.

The PoCSverse Scaling 102 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization

References



- & *C* = network differentiation = # node types.
- $\gg N$ = network size = # nodes.
- d =combinatorial degree.
- Low d: strongly specialized parts.
- High d: strongly combinatorial in nature, parts are reused.
- \clubsuit Claim: Natural selection produces high d systems.
- Claim: Engineering/brains produces low d systems.
- For language: See the naturally-incorrectly-attributed⁷ Heaps' Law C

⁷Plus one for Stigler's Law of Eponymy. More later.



The PoCSverse Scaling 103 of 117 Scaling-at-large

- 61					
A				e	
1.1					v

Biology

Physics

People

Money

Language

Technology

Specialization

References

		points	log N				and N	degree	for type-net scaling	in text
Selected networks								2.0.2.		
electronic circuits	Component	3/3	2.12	0.747	0.602	0.05/4e-5	Power law	2.29	0.92	2
.egos™	Piece	391	2.65	0.903	0.732	0.09/1e-7	Power law	1.41	-	3
Businesses										
military vessels	Employee	13	1.88	0.971	0.832	0.05/3e-3	Power law	1.60		4
military offices	Employee	8	1.59	0.964	0.789	0.16/0.16	Increasing	1.13	-	4
universities	Employee	9	1.55	0.786	0.749	0.27/0.27	Increasing	1.37		4
insurance co.	Employee	52	2.30	0.748	0.685	0.11/0.10	Increasing	3.04		4
Universities										
across schools	Faculty	112	2.72	0.695	0.549	0.09/0.01	Power law	1.81	-	5
history of Duke	Faculty	46	0.94	0.921	0.892	0.09/0.05	Increasing	2.07	=	5
Ant colonies										
caste = type	Ant	46	6.00	0.481	0.454	0.11/0.04	Power law	8.16		6
size range = type	Ant	22	5.24	0.658	0.548	0.17/0.04	Power law	8.00	-	6
Organisms	Cell	134	12.40	0.249	0.165	0.08/0.02	Power law	17.73	-	7
Neocortex	Neuron	10	0.85	0.520	0.584	0.16/0.16	Increasing	4.56	-	9
Competitive networks	12.1							No.		
Biotas	Organism	-	-			-	Power law	≈3	0.3 to 1.0	-
Tities	Business	82	2.44	0.985	0.832	0.08/80-8	Power law	1.56		10

TABLE 1 Summary of results*

Log-log R² Semi-log R² prover/plan

Relationship Comb.

Exponent v Figure

Network

Node

No. data

Range of

(1) The kind of network, (2) what the nodes are within that kind of network, (3) the number of data points, (4) the logarithmic range of network sizes N (4: log(N_{max}), N_{max}), (5) the log-logorerelation, (6) the semi-log correlation, (7) the semi-log-network expression (3) the semi-log correlation, (4) the semi-log details (4) the log logback size of the semi-log correlation, (7) the semi-log-network expression (3) the log-log details (4) the log-log details (4) the log log details (4) the log l



Shell of the nut:

Scaling is a fundamental feature of complex systems.

⁸It's not your great-great-great-grandparents' normal distribution

⁹To be understood: The scaling story of scaling-making mechanisms

THE SCAPE

The PoCSverse Scaling 104 of 117

Scaling-at-large

Allometry

Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References

Shell of the nut:

- Scaling is a fundamental feature of complex systems.
- Basic distinction between isometric and allometric scaling.

⁸It's not your great-great-great-grandparents' normal distribution

⁹To be understood: The scaling story of scaling-making mechanisms


- Scaling is a fundamental feature of complex systems.
- Basic distinction between isometric and allometric scaling.
- Powerful envelope-based approach: Dimensional analysis.

⁸It's not your great-great-great-grandparents' normal distribution

⁹To be understood: The scaling story of scaling-making mechanisms

The PoCSverse Scaling 104 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References



- Scaling is a fundamental feature of complex systems.
- Basic distinction between isometric and allometric scaling.
- Powerful envelope-based approach: Dimensional analysis.
- "Oh yeah, well that's just dimensional analysis" said the [insert your own adjective] physicist.

⁸It's not your great-great-great-grandparents' normal distribution

⁹To be understood: The scaling story of scaling-making mechanisms

The PoCSverse Scaling 104 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References



- Scaling is a fundamental feature of complex systems.
- Basic distinction between isometric and allometric scaling.
- Powerful envelope-based approach: Dimensional analysis.
- "Oh yeah, well that's just dimensional analysis" said the [insert your own adjective] physicist.
- Tricksiness: A wide variety of mechanisms give rise to scalings.⁸

⁸It's not your great-great-great-grandparents' normal distribution

⁹To be understood: The scaling story of scaling-making mechanisms

The PoCSverse Scaling 104 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References



- Scaling is a fundamental feature of complex systems.
- Basic distinction between isometric and allometric scaling.
- Powerful envelope-based approach: Dimensional analysis.
- "Oh yeah, well that's just dimensional analysis" said the [insert your own adjective] physicist.
- Tricksiness: A wide variety of mechanisms give rise to scalings.⁸
- langle for the second s

⁸It's not your great-great-great-grandparents' normal distribution

⁹To be understood: The scaling story of scaling-making mechanisms

The PoCSverse Scaling 104 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization



References I

 J. L. Aragón, G. G. Naumis, M. Bai, M. Torres, and P. K. Maini.
 Turbulent luminance in impassioned van Gogh paintings.
 J. Math. Imaging Vis., 30:275–283, 2008. pdf 2

- G. I. Barenblatt.
 Scaling, self-similarity, and intermediate asymptotics, volume 14 of Cambridge Texts in Applied Mathematics.
 Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [3] L. M. A. Bettencourt. The origins of scaling in cities. Science, 340:1438–1441, 2013. pdf C

The PoCSverse Scaling 105 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization



References II

- [4] L. M. A. Bettencourt, J. Lobo, D. Helbing, Kühnhert, and G. B. West. Growth, innovation, scaling, and the pace of life in cities.
 <u>Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.</u>, 104(17):7301–7306, 2007. pdf C
- [5] L. M. A. Bettencourt, J. Lobo, D. Strumsky, and G. B. West.
 Urban scaling and its deviations: Revealing the structure of wealth, innovation and crime across cities.
 <u>PLOS ONE</u>, 5:e13541, 2010. pdf^C
- [6] J. C. Bohorquez, S. Gourley, A. R. Dixon, M. Spagat, and N. F. Johnson.
 Common ecology quantifies human insurgency. Nature, 462:911–914, 2009. pdf 7

The PoCSverse Scaling 106 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization



References III

- [7] E. Buckingham.
 On physically similar systems: Illustrations of the use of dimensional equations.
 Phys. Rev., 4:345–376, 1914. pdf
- [8] M. A. Changizi, M. A. McDannald, and D. Widders. Scaling of differentiation in networks: Nervous systems, organisms, ant colonies, ecosystems, businesses, universities, cities, electronic circuits, and Legos.

J. Theor. Biol, 218:215–237, 2002. pdf 🖸

[9] A. Clauset, M. Young, and K. S. Gleditsch. On the Frequency of Severe Terrorist Events. Journal of Conflict Resolution, 51(1):58–87, 2007. pdf C

The PoCSverse Scaling 107 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology People Money Language Technology Specialization References



References IV

[10] E. Durkheim. Suicide: A study in sociology. Free Press, 2005. Reissue edition (February 1, 1997). [11] G. Galilei. **Dialogues Concerning Two New Sciences.** Kessinger Publishing, 2010. Translated by Henry Crew and Alfonso De Salvio. [12] M. R. Hirt, W. Jetz, B. C. Rall, and U. Brose. A general scaling law reveals why the largest animals are not the fastest. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 1:1116, 2017. pdf

The PoCSverse Scaling 108 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization



References V

[13] R. E. Horton.

Erosional development of streams and their drainage basins; hydrophysical approach to quatitative morphology. Bulletin of the Geological Society of America, 56(3):275–370, 1945. pdf

[14] H. E. Hurst.

Long term storage capacity of reservoirs. Transactions of the American Society of Civil Engineers, 116:770–808, 1951.

[15] J. S. Huxley and G. Teissier. Terminology of relative growth. Nature, 137:780–781, 1936. pdf C The PoCSverse Scaling 109 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization



References VI

[16] N. Johnson, S. Carran, J. Botner, K. Fontaine, N. Laxague, P. Nuetzel, J. Turnley, and B. Tivnan. Pattern in escalations in insurgent and terrorist activity. Science, 333:81–84, 2011. pdf 7

[17] N. F. Johnson, M. Spagat, J. A. Restrepo, O. Becerra, J. C. Bohorquez, N. Suarez, E. M. Restrepo, and R. Zarama. Universal patterns underlying ongoing wars and terrorism, 2006. pdf 2

[18] A. N. Kolmogorov.

The local structure of turbulence in incompressible viscous fluid for very large reynolds numbers. Proceedings of the USSR Academy of Sciences, 30:299–303, 1941. The PoCSverse Scaling 110 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization



References VII

[19] S. Levin. The problem of pattern and scale in ecology. <u>Ecology</u>, 73(6):1943–1967, 1992. . pdf C

[20] E. Lieberman, J.-B. Michel, J. Jackson, T. Tang, and M. A. Nowak. Quantifying the evolutionary dynamics of language. Nature, 449:713–716, 2007. pdf 2

[21] R. H. MacArthur and E. O. Wilson. An equilibrium theory of insular zoogeography. Evolution, 17:373–387, 1963. pdf The PoCSverse Scaling 111 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization



References VIII

[22] B. B. Mandelbrot.

How long is the coast of britain? statistical self-similarity and fractional dimension. Science, 156(3775):636–638, 1967. pdf

[23] B. B. Mandelbrot. Fractals: Form, Chance, and Dimension. Freeman, San Francisco, 1977.

[24] B. B. Mandelbrot. <u>The Fractal Geometry of Nature</u>. Freeman, San Francisco, 1983.

[25] T. McMahon. Size and shape in biology. Science, 179:1201–1204, 1973. pdf C The PoCSverse Scaling 112 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization



References IX

[26] T. A. McMahon and J. T. Bonner. On Size and Life. Scientific American Library, New York, 1983.

[27] H. P. M. Melo, A. A. Moreira, É. Batista, H. A. Makse, and J. S. Andrade. Statistical signs of social influence on suicides. <u>Scientific Reports</u>, 4:6239, 2014. pdf

[28] N. Meyer-Vernet and J.-P. Rospars. How fast do living organisms move: Maximum speeds from bacteria to elephants and whales. <u>American Journal of Physics</u>, pages 719–722, 2015. pdf C The PoCSverse Scaling 113 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization



References X

[29] J.-B. Michel, Y. K. Shen, A. P. Aiden, A. Veres, M. K. Gray, T. G. B. Team, J. P. Pickett, D. Hoiberg, D. Clancy, P. Norvig, J. Orwant, S. Pinker, M. A. Nowak, and E. A. Lieberman. Quantitative analysis of culture using millions of digitized books. Science, 2010. pdf

[30] G. E. Moore.

Cramming more components onto integrated circuits. Electronics Magazine, 38:114–117, 1965.

[31] B. Nagy, J. D. Farmer, Q. M. Bui, and J. E. Trancik. Statistical basis for predicting technological progress. PloS one, 8(2):e52669, 2013. pdf

The PoCSverse Scaling 114 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization References



References XI

[32] D. Sahal. A theory of progress functions. AllE Transactions, 11:23–29, 1979.

[33] S. Savaglio and V. Carbone. Scaling in athletic world records. Nature, 404:244, 2000. pdf

[34] A. Shingleton. Allometry: The study of biological scaling. Nature Education Knowledge, 1:2, 2010.

[35] A. J. Tatem, C. A. Guerra, P. M. Atkinson, and S. I. Hay. Athletics: Momentous sprint at the 2156 Olympics? Nature, 431(7008):525–525, 2004. pdf^C The PoCSverse Scaling 115 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization



References XII

[36] C. Tomasetti and B. Vogelstein. Variation in cancer risk among tissues can be explained by the number of stem cell divisions. Science, 347:78–81, 2015. pdf

[37] T. P. Wright. Factors affecting the costs of airplanes. Journal of Aeronautical Sciences, 10:302–328, 1936. pdf 2 The PoCSverse Scaling 116 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology Physics People Money Language Technology Specialization

References

[38] P. J. Yang, J. Pham, J. Choo, and D. L. Hu. Duration of urination does not change with body size. <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</u>, 111:11932–11937, 2014. pdf 7



References XIII

[39] K. Zhang and T. J. Sejnowski. A universal scaling law between gray matter and white matter of cerebral cortex. <u>Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences</u>, 97:5621–5626, 2000. pdf 7

The PoCSverse Scaling 117 of 117 Scaling-at-large Allometry Biology **Physics** People Money Language Technology Specialization References

