## Mechanisms for Generating Power-Law Size Distributions, Part 4

Last updated: 2021/10/06. 23:35:55 EDT

Principles of Complex Systems, Vols. 1 & 2 CSYS/MATH 300 and 303, 2021-2022 | @pocsvox

#### Prof. Peter Sheridan Dodds | @peterdodds

Computational Story Lab | Vermont Complex Systems Center Vermont Advanced Computing Core | University of Vermont

000

Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License

#### Outline

#### Optimization

Minimal Cost Mandelbrot vs. Simon Assumptions Model Analysis And the winner is ...?

#### Nutshell

#### References

### Benoît Mandelbrot



- Mandelbrot = father of fractals
- 🙈 Mandelbrot = almond bread
- 🚳 Bonus Mandelbrot set action: here 🗹.

# Another approach:

#### Benoît Mandelbrot

- Derived Zipf's law through optimization<sup>[8]</sup>
- ldea: Language is efficient
- Communicate as much information as possible for as little cost
- $\aleph$  Need measures of information (*H*) and average cost (C)...
- & Language evolves to maximize H/C, the amount of information per average cost.
- $\bigotimes$  Equivalently: minimize C/H.
- Recurring theme: what role does optimization play in complex systems?

#### 

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Optimization

Mandelbrot vs. Simo

Minimal Cost

Model

Nutshell

References

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Optimization

Mandelbrotys Simo

And the winner is....

References

. Minimal Cost

Model

Mechanisms, Pt. 4

#### The Quickening C—Mandelbrot v. Simon: There Can Be Only One: Mechanisms, Pt. 4



Things there should be only one of: Theory, Highlander Films.

8 

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Ontimization

Minimal Cost

Nutshell

୬ < ୧୦ 6 of 47

Mechanisms, Pt. 4

A Feel free to play Queen's It's a Kind of Magic I in your head (funding remains tight).

#### We were born to be Princes of the Universe



#### Mandelbrot vs. Simon:

- A Mandelbrot (1953): "An Informational Theory of the Statistical Structure of Languages" [8]
- Simon (1955): "On a class of skew distribution functions"<sup>[14]</sup>
- 🗞 Mandelbrot (1959): "A note on a class of skew distribution functions: analysis and critique of a paper by H.A. Simon"<sup>[9]</sup>
- Simon (1960): "Some further notes on a class of skew distribution functions" [15]

#### PoCS @pocsvox Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt. 4





## Mandelbrot vs. Simon:

- Andelbrot (1961): "Final note on a class of skew distribution functions: analysis and critique of a model due to H.A. Simon"<sup>[10]</sup>
- Simon (1961): "Reply to 'final note' by Benoit Mandelbrot"<sup>[17]</sup>
- 🚳 Mandelbrot (1961): "Post scriptum to 'final note" [11]
- limon (1961): "Reply to Dr. Mandelbrot's post scriptum"<sup>[16]</sup>

#### I am immortal, I have inside me blood of kings Mandelbrot:

"We shall restate in detail our 1959 objections to Simon's 1955 model for the Pareto-Yule-Zipf distribution. Our objections are valid quite irrespectively of the sign of p-1, so that most of Simon's (1960) reply was irrelevant."<sup>[10]</sup>

#### Simon:

"Dr. Mandelbrot has proposed a new set of objections to my 1955 models of the Yule distribution. Like his earlier objections, these are invalid."<sup>[17]</sup>

#### PoCS @pocsvox Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt. 4 Ontimization Minimal Cost Mandelbrot vs. Simor Model Two theories enter, one theory leaves:

Nutshell References

Mandelbrot vs. Simor Model And the winner is Nutshell References

(III)

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Optimization

Mandelbrot vs. Simor

Minimal Cost

Model

Nutshell

References

୬ ବ (୦ 12 of 47

Mechanisms, Pt. 4

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Optimization

Mechanisms, Pt. 4

() () ୬ ବ ଦ 14 of 47

(III)

•ე < (∾ 7 of 47

PoCS @pocsvox Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt. 4

Optimization Minimal Cost Mandelbrot vs. Simon Model Nutshell

References

UN 8

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Ontimization

Mandelbrot vs. Simon

Minimal Cost

Model

Nutshell

Reference

Mechanisms, Pt. 4

∙⊃ < (~ 9 of 47

I have no rival, No man can be my equal

### Zipfarama via Optimization:

#### Mandelbrot's Assumptions:

- $\bigotimes$  Language contains *n* words:  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$ .
- ith word appears with probability  $p_i$
- Words appear randomly according to this distribution (obviously not true...)
- 🗞 Words = composition of letters is important
- $\clubsuit$  Alphabet contains *m* letters
- 🛞 Words are ordered by length (shortest first)

#### PoCS @pocsvox Zipfarama via Optimization: Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt. 4 Total Cost C

 $\mathfrak{S}$  Cost of the *i*th word:  $C_i \simeq 1 + \log_m i$ Cost of the *i*th word plus space:  $C_i \simeq 1 + \log_m(i+1)$ Subtract fixed cost:  $C'_i = C_i - 1 \simeq \log_m(i+1)$ Simplify base of logarithm:

$$C_i' \simeq \log_m(i+1) = \frac{\log_e(i+1)}{\log_e m} \propto \log_e(i+1)$$

🚳 Total Cost:

$$C \sim \sum_{i=1}^n p_i C_i' \propto \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log_e(i+1)$$

Mechanisms, Pt. 4

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Optimization

Mandelbrotys Simor

And the winner is...

Minimal Cost

Assumptions Model Analysis

Nutshell

References

Optimization

Mandelbrotys Simo

And the winner is...

References

Minimal Cost

Assumptions

Model Analysis

### Zipfarama via Optimization:

#### Information Measure

A Use Shannon's Entropy (or Uncertainty).

$$H=-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \mathsf{log}_2 p_i$$

- 8 · . . .
- to
- $\Im -\log_2 p_i = \log_2 1/p_i$  = minimum number of bits needed to distinguish event *i* from all others

 $\Re$  If  $p_i = 1/2$ , need only 1 bit (log<sub>2</sub>1/ $p_i = 1$ )

 $\Re$  If  $p_i = 1/64$ , need 6 bits (log<sub>2</sub>  $1/p_i = 6$ )

PoCS

@pocsvox

Ontimization

Minimal Cost

(in |

୬ ୦ ୦୦ 18 of 47

Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt. 4

$$H=-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \mathrm{log}_e p_i / \mathrm{log}_e 2 = -g \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \mathrm{log}_e p_i$$

where 
$$g = 1/\log_{2} 2$$

#### Zipfarama via Optimization: Mechanisms, Pt. 4

subject to constraint

(1) Shorter words are cheaper

Zipfarama via Optimization:

Time for Lagrange Multipliers:

🚳 Minimize

A Tension:

A Minimize

where

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Optimization

Mandelbrotys Simo

And the winner is....

Minimal Cost

Model Analysis

Nutshell

References

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Optimization

Mandelbrot vs. Simor

And the winner is....

Nutshell

References

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Ontimization

Mandelbrot vs. Simor

And the winner is

Minimal Cost

Model

Nutshell

References

(III)

• ୨ ୦ ୦ ଦ 22 of 47

Mechanisms, Pt. 4

Minimal Cost

Model

Mechanisms, Pt.

• ୨ < ເ∾ 20 of 47

PoCS @pocsvox Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt. 4

> Optimization Minimal Cost Mandelbrot vs. Simo Model Analysis And the winn Nutshell References

#### (III) • ର ଦ• 23 of 47

PoCS @pocsvox Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt. 4

```
Optimization
 Minimal Cost
 Mandelbrot vs. Simo
 Model
 Analysis
And the w
Nutshell
References
```

```
F(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = \frac{C}{H} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log_e(i+1)}{-g \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \log_e p_i}
```

 $\Psi(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) =$ 

 $F(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) + \lambda G(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$ 

 $F(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) = C/H$ 

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i = 1$ 

(2) Longer words are more informative (rarer)

#### and the constraint function is

Zipfarama via Optimization:

Some mild suffering leads to:

$$G(p_1,p_2,\ldots,p_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i - 1 (=0)$$

Insert question from assignment 5 🖸 • ୨ ୦ ୦ ୦ 21 of 47

#### PoCS @pocsvox Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt. 4

• ୨ ۹ (№ 25 of 47

() ()

Ontimization Minimal Cost Mandelbrot vs. Simo Model Analysis And the win Nutshell References

A power law appears [applause]:  $\alpha = H/gC$  $\aleph$  Next: sneakily deduce  $\lambda$  in terms of q, C, and H. 🚳 Find

 $p_j = (j+1)^{-H/gC}$ 

 $p_{j} = e^{-1 - \lambda H^{2}/gC} (j+1)^{-H/gC} \propto (j+1)^{-H/gC}$ 

Information Measure



$$H=-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i |{\rm og}_e p_i/|{\rm og}_e 2=-g\sum_{i=1}^n p_i |{\rm og}_e p_i|$$

# Zipfarama via Optimization:

#### Word Cost

- Length of word (plus a space)
- 🗞 Word length was irrelevant for Simon's method

#### Objection

Real words don't use all letter sequences

#### Objections to Objection

- A Maybe real words roughly follow this pattern (?)
- 🗞 Words can be encoded this way
- 🚳 Na na na-na naaaaa...

# Zipfarama via Optimization:

#### Binary alphabet plus a space symbol

									Assumptions
i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Model
word	1	10	11	100	101	110	111	1000	And the winne
length	1	2	2	3	3	3	3	4	Nutshell
$1 + \log_2 i$	1	2	2.58	3	3.32	3.58	3.81	4	Reference

 $\bigotimes$  Word length of  $2^k$ th word:  $= k + 1 = 1 + \log_2 2^k$ 

 $\otimes$  Word length of *i*th word  $\simeq 1 + \log_2 i$ 

 $\clubsuit$  For an alphabet with *m* letters, word length of *i*th word  $\simeq 1 + \log_m i$ . Zipfaram

$$H = -\sum_{n=1}^{n} n \log n$$

$$H = -\sum_{i=1} p_i \log_2 p_i$$

$$rcg = 1/108e^2$$

### Zipfarama via Optimization:

#### Finding the exponent

Now use the normalization constraint:

$$1 = \sum_{j=1}^n p_j = \sum_{j=1}^n (j+1)^{-H/gC} = \sum_{j=1}^n (j+1)^{-\alpha}$$

- As  $n \to \infty$ , we end up with  $\zeta(H/qC) = 2$ where  $\zeta$  is the Riemann Zeta Function
- Sives  $\alpha \simeq 1.73$  (> 1, too high) or  $\gamma = 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha} \simeq 1.58$ (very wild)
- $\bigotimes$  If cost function changes  $(j + 1 \rightarrow j + a)$  then exponent is tunable
- $\mathfrak{k}$  Increase *a*, decrease  $\alpha$

# Zipfarama via Optimization:

#### All told:

- 🗞 Reasonable approach: Optimization is at work in evolutionary processes
- But optimization can involve many incommensurate elephants: monetary cost, robustness, happiness,...
- A Mandelbrot's argument is not super convincing
- Exponent depends too much on a loose definition of cost



#### From the discussion at the end of Mandelbrot's Mechanisms, Pt. 4 paper:

- A. S. C. Ross: "M. Mandelbrot states that 'the actual direction of evolution (sc. of language) is, in fact, towards fuller and fuller utilization of places'. We are, in fact, completely without evidence as to the existence of any 'direction of evolution' in language, and it is axiomatic that we shall remain so. Many philologists would deny that a 'direction of evolution' could be theoretically possible; thus I myself take the view that a language develops in what is essentially a purely random manner."
- As to the 'fundamental linguistic units being the least possible differences between pairs of utterances' this is a logical consequence of the fact that two is the least integer greater than one."

୬ ୦ ୦ ଦି 27 of 47

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Optimization

Model

Analysis And the wi

(m) [8]

PoCS

Optimization

Model

Analysis

Nutshell

References

Mandelbrot vs. Simor

Mandelbrot vs. Simor

#### More: @pocsvox Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt. 4

#### **Reconciling Mandelbrot and Simon**

- Mixture of local optimization and randomness 🙈 Numerous efforts...
- 1. Carlson and Doyle, 1999: Highly Optimized Tolerance (HOT)—Evolved/Engineered Robustness [2, 3]
- 2. Ferrer i Cancho and Solé, 2002: Zipf's Principle of Least Effort<sup>[6]</sup>
- 3. D'Souza et al., 2007: Scale-free networks<sup>[4]</sup>

(in 18 ∙୨ ୦. ୦. 28 of 47

#### More

#### Other mechanisms:

- Much argument about whether or not monkeys typing could produce Zipf's law... (Miller, 1957)<sup>[12]</sup>
- Miller gets to slap Zipf rather rudely in an introduction to a 1965 reprint of Zipf's "Psycho-biology of Language"<sup>[13, 18]</sup>
- let us now slap Miller around by simply reading his words out (see next slides):



- Side note: Miller mentions "Genes of Language."
- Still fighting: "Random Texts Do Not Exhibit the Real Zipf's Law-Like Rank Distribution"<sup>[5]</sup> by Ferrer-i-Cancho and Elvevåg, 2010.

#### @pocsvox Power-Law

PoCS

Nutshell

(m) [8]

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Optimization

Mandelbrotys Simo

Minimal Cost

Model

Analysis And the r

Nutshell

Reference

(in 18

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Ontimization

Mandelbrot vs. Sim

Analysis And the winner is...i

Minimal Cost

Model

Nutshell

References

୍ଲା 👸

• n q (२ 32 of 47

•⊃ < (~ 31 of 47

Mechanisms, Pt. 4

୬ ବ 🗠 30 of 47

Mechanisms, Pt. 4

Mechanisms, Pt. 4 Optimization Minimal Cost Analysis And the winner is.

#### INTRODUCTION

The Psycho-Biology of Language is not calculated to please every taste. Zipf was the kind of man who would take roses apart to count their petals; if it violates your sense of values to tabulate the different words in a Shakespearean sonnet, this is not a book for you. Zipf took a scientist's view of language - and for him that meant the statistical analysis of language as a biological, psychological, social process. If such analysis repels you, then leave your language alone and avoid George Kingsley Zipf like the plague. You will be much happier reading Mark Twain: "There are liars, damned liars, and statisticians." Or W. H. Auden: "Thou shalt not sit with statisticians nor commit a social science."

as the law of gravitation?

or not at all.

So who's right?

However, for those who do not flinch to see beauty mur-

dered in a good cause, Zipf's scientific exertions yielded some wonderfully unexpected results to boggle the mind and

tease the imagination. Language is - among other things

- a biological, psychological, social process; to apply sta-

tistics to it merely acknowledges its essential unpredictabil-

ity, without which it would be useless. But who would have

thought that in the very heart of all the freedom language

allows us Zipf would find an invariant as solid and reliable

Put it this way. Suppose that we acquired a dozen mon-

keys and chained them to typewriters until they had pro-duced some very long and random sequence of characters.

Suppose further that we defined a "word" in this monkey-

text as any sequence of letters occurring between successive spaces. And suppose finally that we counted the occurrences of these "words" in just the way Zipf and others counted

the occurrences of real words in meaningful texts. When

we plot our results in the same manner, we will find exactly the same "Zipf curves" for the monkeys as for the human

authors. Since we are not likely to argue that the poor

monkeys were searching for some equilibrium between uni-

formity and diversity in expressing their ideas, such explana-tions seem equally inappropriate for human authors. A mathematical rationalization for this result has been

provided by Benoit Mandelbrot. The crux of it is that if

we assume that word-boundary markers (spaces) are scat-

tered randomly through a text, then there will necessarily be more occurrences of short than long words. Add to this fact the further observation that the variety of different Mechanisms, Pt. 4 Optimization Minimal Cost Mandelbrotys Simo Model Analysis And the s Nutshell References

PoCS

@pocsvo>

Power-Law

### (I) (S • n a ( ~ 33 of 4)

PoCS @pocsvox Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt. 4

Optimization Minimal Cost Mandelbrotys Sim Model Analysis And the r Nutshell References

words available increases exponentially with their length and the phenomenon Zipf reported becomes inescapable: a few short words will be used an enormous number of times while a vast number of longer words will occur infrequently So Zipf was wrong. His facts were right enough, but not his explanations. In a broader sense he was right, however, for he called attention to a stochastic process that is frequently seen in the social sciences, and by accumulating sta-tistical data that cried out for some better explanation he challenged his colleagues and his successors to explore an important new type of probability distribution. Zipf be-longs among those rare but stimulating men whose failures

• n q (r → 34 of 47

> PoCS @pocsvox Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt. 4

Bornholdt and Ebel (PRE), 2001: "World Wide Web scaling exponent from Simon's 1955 model"<sup>[1]</sup>.

are more profitable than most men's successes.

- A Show Simon's model fares well.
  - Recall  $\rho$  = probability new flavor appears.
  - Alta Vista C crawls in approximately 6 month period in 1999 give  $\rho \simeq 0.10$
  - $\bigotimes$  Leads to  $\gamma = 1 + \frac{1}{1-\rho} \simeq 2.1$  for in-link distribution.
  - $\clubsuit$  Cite direct measurement of  $\gamma$  at the time:  $2.1 \pm 0.1$ and 2.09 in two studies.

Ontimization Minimal Cost Mandelbrot vs. Sim Assumptions Model Analysis And the w Nutshell References



() (N



Maillart et al., PRL, 2008: "Empirical Tests of Zipf's Law Mechanism in Open Source Linux Distribution"<sup>[7]</sup>



Maillart et al., PRL, 2008: "Empirical Tests of Zipf's Law Mechanism in Open Source Linux Distribution"<sup>[7]</sup>

#### So who's right?



FIG. 2. Left panel: Plots of  $\Delta C$  versus C from the Etch release (15.08.2007) to the latest Lenny version (05.05.2008) in double logarithmic scale. Only positive values are displayed. The linear regression  $\Delta C = R \times C + C_0$  is significant at the 95% confidence level, with a small value  $C_0 = 0.3$  at the origin and R =0.09. Right panel: same as left panel for the standard deviation of  $\Delta C$ .

Rough, approximately linear relationship between C number of in-links and  $\Delta C$ .

# So who's right?

Mechanisms, Pt. 4

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Optimization

Mandelbrotys Simo

And the winner is ...?

Minimal Cost

Model

#### Nutshell:

- Simonish random 'rich-get-richer' models agree in detail with empirical observations.
- Power-lawfulness: Mandelbrot's optimality is still apparent.
- Optimality arises for free in Random Competitive Replication models.

# ୬ ୦ ୦୦ 37 of 47

References I

- [1] S. Bornholdt and H. Ebel. World Wide Web scaling exponent from Simon's 1955 model. Phys. Rev. E, 64:035104(R), 2001. pdf
- [2] J. M. Carlson and J. Doyle. Highly optimized tolerance: A mechanism for power laws in designed systems. Phys. Rev. E, 60(2):1412–1427, 1999. pdf
- [3] J. M. Carlson and J. Doyle. Complexity and robustness. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 99:2538–2545, 2002. pdf

0 

PoCS

Ш

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Ontimization

Mandelbrot vs. Simo

Minimal Cost

Nutshell

UM 8

• 𝔍 𝔄 39 of 47

#### References II

1 Mechanisms, Pt. 4

- [4] R. M. D'Souza, C. Borgs, J. T. Chayes, N. Berger, and R. D. Kleinberg. Emergence of tempered preferential attachment from optimization. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 104:6112–6117, 2007. pdf
- R. Ferrer-i-Cancho and B. Elvevåg. [5] Random texts do not exhibit the real Zipf's law-like rank distribution. PLoS ONE, 5:e9411, 03 2010.
- [6] R. Ferrer-i-Cancho and R. V. Solé. Zipf's law and random texts. Advances in Complex Systems, 5(1):1-6, 2002.

### References III

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Optimization

Mandelbrotys Simo

And the winner is...

Nutshell

References

PoCS

@pocsvox

Power-Law

Optimization

Mandelbrotys Simo

Minimal Cost

Model

୬ ର. ເ∿ 40 of 47

Mechanisms, Pt. 4

Minimal Cost

Model

Mechanisms, Pt. 4

[7] T. Maillart, D. Sornette, S. Spaeth, and G. von Krogh. Empirical tests of Zipf's law mechanism in open source Linux distribution.

Phys. Rev. Lett., 101(21):218701, 2008. pdf

- B. B. Mandelbrot. [8] An informational theory of the statistical structure of languages. In W. Jackson, editor, Communication Theory, pages 486–502. Butterworth, Woburn, MA, 1953. pdf 🖸
- [9] B. B. Mandelbrot. A note on a class of skew distribution function. Analysis and critique of a paper by H. A. Simon. Information and Control, 2:90–99, 1959.

00 ୬ ବ (୦ 44 of 47

PoCS @pocsvox Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt.

Optimization

Minimal Cost

Model

Nutshell

PoCS

わへへ 45 of 47

References

[10] B. B. Mandelbrot.

**References IV** 

Final note on a class of skew distribution functions: analysis and critique of a model due to H. A. Simon. Information and Control, 4:198–216, 1961.

And the winner is... Nutshell References

[11] B. B. Mandelbrot. Post scriptum to 'final note'. Information and Control, 4:300-304, 1961.

[12] G. A. Miller. Some effects of intermittent silence. American Journal of Psychology, 70:311–314, 1957. pdf 🗹

(III) ∙⊃ < (२ 42 of 47

PoCS References V @pocsvox

Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt. 4

Ontimization

Minimal Cost

Model

Nutshell

References

୍ଲା 👸

わへへ 43 of 47

[13] G. A. Miller. Introduction to reprint of G. K. Zipf's "The Psycho-Biology of Language." MIT Press, Cambridge MA, 1965. pdf

[14] H. A. Simon. On a class of skew distribution functions. Biometrika, 42(3-4):425–440, 12 1955. pdf

- [15] H. A. Simon. Some further notes on a class of skew distribution functions. Information and Control, 3:80-88, 1960.
- [16] H. A. Simon. Reply to Dr. Mandelbrot's post scriptum. Information and Control, 4:305–308, 1961.

PoCS @pocsvox Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt. 4

> Optimization Minimal Cost Mandelbrotys Simo Model And the winner i Nutshell References

#### (in |S ୬ < ୧୦ 46 of 47

@pocsvox Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt. 4

> Ontimization Minimal Cost Mandelbrotys Sin Model Nutshell References

References VI

PoCS @pocsvox Power-Law Mechanisms, Pt. 4

Optimization Minimal Cost Mandelbrot vs. Simon Assumptions Model Analysis And the winner is...?

Nutshell References

[17] H. A. Simon.

Reply to 'final note' by Benoît Mandelbrot. Information and Control, 4:217–223, 1961.

[18] G. K. Zipf. <u>The Psycho-Biology of Language</u>. Houghton-Mifflin, New York, NY, 1935.

(m) |8 •ე զ. ເ⊶ 47 of 47