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## Chaotic Contagion on Networks:

> "Limited Imitation Contagion on random networks: Chaos, universality, and unpredictability" [̄]
> Dodds, Harris, and Danforth, Phys. Rev. Lett., 110, 158701, 2013. ${ }^{[1]}$
"Dynamical influence processes on networks: General theory and applications to social contagion"
Harris, Danforth, and Dodds, Phys. Rev. E, 88, 022816, 2013. ${ }^{[2]}$
A. Mandel, conference at Urbana-Champaign, 2007:
"If I was a younger man, I would have stolen this from you."

## Chaotic contagion:

## What if individual response functions are not monotonic?

Consider a simple deterministic version:
( Node $i$ has an 'activation threshold' $\phi_{i, 1}$
...and a 'de-activation threshold' $\phi_{i, 2}$

- Nodes like to imitate but only up to a limit-they don't want to be like everyone else.
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## Chaotic contagion

## Definition of the tent map:

$$
F(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
r x \text { for } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2} \\
r(1-x) \text { for } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

The usual business: look at how $F$ iteratively maps the unit interval $[0,1]$.

## The tent map

Effect of increasing $r$ from 1 to 2.





## Orbit diagram:

Chaotic behavior increases as map slope $r$ is increased.
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## Chaotic behavior

Take $r=2$ case:


What happens if nodes have limited information?
As before, allow interactions to take place on a sparse random network.
8
Vary average degree $z=\langle k\rangle$, a measure of information

## Two population examples:
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Randomly select $\left(\phi_{i, 1}, \phi_{i, 2}\right)$ from gray regions shown in plots B and C.
\& Insets show composite response function averaged over population.
We'll consider plot C's example: the tent map.

What's the Ston
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## Invariant densities-stochastic response functions


activation time series

activation density

## Invariant densities-stochastic response functions
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## Invariant densities-deterministic response functions for one specific network with $\langle k\rangle=18$



## Invariant densities-stochastic response functions



Trying out higher values of $\langle k\rangle$...

## Invariant densities-deterministic response functions
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## Connectivity leads to chaos:



Stochastic response functions:
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## Bifurcation diagram: Asynchronous updating
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## Bifurcation diagram: Asynchronous updating

## Chaotic
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FIG. 3. Bifurcation diagram for the dense map $\Phi(\phi ; \alpha)$, Eqn. (18). This was generated by iterating the map at 1000 $\alpha$ values between 0 and 1 . The iteration was carried out with 3 random initial conditions for 10000 time steps each, discarding the first 1000 . The $\phi$-axis contains 1000 bins and the invariant density, shown by the grayscale value, is normalized by the maximum for each $\alpha$. With $\alpha<2 / 3$, all trajectories go to the fixed point at $\phi=2 / 3$.
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https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7JHrZyyq870?rel=0[` How the bifurcation diagram changes with increasing average degree $\langle k\rangle$ as a function of the synchronicity parameter $\alpha$ for the stochastic response (tent map) case.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_zwK6polBvc?rel=0[入 How the bifurcation diagram changes with increasing $\alpha$, the synchronicity parameter as a function of average degree $\langle k\rangle$ for the stochastic response (tent map) case.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3bo4fzp4Snw?rel=0[ LIC dynamics on a fixed graph with a shared stochastic (tent map) response function. Average degree $=6$, update synchronicity parameter $\alpha=1$. The macroscopic behavior is period-1, plus noisy fluctuations.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7UCula_ktmw?rel=0匹 LIC dynamics on a fixed graph with a shared stochastic (tent $\mathrm{map})$ response function. Average degree = 11, update synchronicity parameter $\alpha=1$. The macroscopic behavior is period-2, plus noisy fluctuations.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oWKt8Zj1 Ccw?rel=0[ß Líc dynamics on a fixed graph with a shared stochastic (tent map) response function. $\langle k\rangle=30$, update synchronicity parameter $\alpha=1$. The macroscopic behavior is chaotic.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AfhUlkIOiOU?rel=0 © LIC dynamics on a fixed graph with fixed, deterministic response functions. Average degree $=30$, update synchronicity parameter $\alpha=1$. Shown are nodes which continue changing (703/1000) after the transient chaotic behavior has "collapsed."
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZwY0hTstJ2M?rel=0【
LIC dynamics on a fixed graph with fixed, deterministic response functions. Average degree $=30$, update synchronicity parameter $\alpha=1$. The dynamics exhibit transient chaotic behavior before collapsing to a fixed point.
https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YDhjmFyBSn4?rel=0〔
LIC dynamics on a fixed graph with fixed, deterministic response functions. Average degree $=17$, update synchronicity parameter $\alpha=1$. The dynamics exhibit transient chaotic behavior before collapsing to a period-4 orbit.

Maps of the Interval:


Limited Imitation Contagion on Networks:
$\chi\left(\phi \mid k_{\text {avg }}\right) / \max \chi\left(\phi \mid k_{\text {avg }}\right)$
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