

Chaotic Contagion: The Idealized Hipster Effect

Last updated: 2022/08/29, 05:13:16 EDT

Principles of Complex Systems, Vols. 1, 2, & 3D
CSYS/MATH 300, 303, & 394, 2022-2023 | @pocsvox

Prof. Peter Sheridan Dodds | @peterdodds

Computational Story Lab | Vermont Complex Systems Center
Santa Fe Institute | University of Vermont



PoCS
@pocsvox
Chaotic
Contagion

Chaotic
Contagion
Chaos
Invariant densities
References



Licensed under the *Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License*.

These slides are brought to you by:

PoCS
@pocsvox

Chaotic
Contagion

Sealie & Lambie
Productions



Chaotic
Contagion

Chaos
Invariant densities


References





These slides are also brought to you by:

PoCS
@pocsvox
Chaotic
Contagion

Special Guest Executive Producer



Chaotic
Contagion
Chaos
Invariant densities
References

 On Instagram at [pratchett_the_cat](https://www.instagram.com/pratchett_the_cat) 



Outline

PoCS
@pocsvox

Chaotic
Contagion

Chaotic
Contagion

Chaos
Invariant densities

References

Chaotic Contagion

Chaos

Invariant densities

References



Chaotic Contagion on Networks:

PoCS
@pocsvox
Chaotic
Contagion



"Limited Imitation Contagion on random networks: Chaos, universality, and unpredictability" [↗](#)

Dodds, Harris, and Danforth,
Phys. Rev. Lett., **110**, 158701, 2013. [1]



"Dynamical influence processes on networks: General theory and applications to social contagion" [↗](#)

Harris, Danforth, and Dodds,
Phys. Rev. E, **88**, 022816, 2013. [2]

Chaotic
Contagion
Chaos
Invariant densities
References

A. Mandel, conference at Urbana-Champaign,
2007:


"If I was a younger man, I would have stolen this from you."





Chaotic contagion:

PoCS
@pocsvox

Chaotic
Contagion


 What if individual response functions are not monotonic?


 Consider a simple deterministic version:

 Node i has an 'activation threshold'

$$\phi_{i,1}$$

...and a 'de-activation threshold' $\phi_{i,2}$

 Nodes like to imitate but only up to a limit—they don't want to be like everyone else.




Chaotic
Contagion

Chaos
Invariant densities


References









Definition of the tent map:

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} rx & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ r(1-x) & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

 The usual business: look at how F iteratively maps the unit interval $[0, 1]$.

The tent map

Effect of increasing r from 1 to 2.




Orbit diagram:

Chaotic behavior increases
as map slope r is
increased.




Chaotic behavior

Take $r = 2$ case:




- What happens if nodes have limited information?
- As before, allow interactions to take place on a sparse random network.
- Vary average degree $z = \langle k \rangle$, a measure of information

Two population examples:




- Randomly select $(\phi_{i,1}, \phi_{i,2})$ from gray regions shown in plots B and C.
- Insets show composite response function averaged over population.
- We'll consider plot C's example: **the tent map**.

Invariant densities—stochastic response functions



activation time series



activation density

Invariant densities—stochastic response functions













PoCS
@pocsvox

Chaotic
Contagion













Chaotic
Contagion

Chaos
Invariant densities

References



Invariant densities—deterministic response functions for one specific network with $\langle k \rangle = 18$



Invariant densities—stochastic response functions


PoCS
@pocsvox
Chaotic
Contagion

Chaotic
Contagion

Chaos

Invariant densities







References



Trying out higher values of $\langle k \rangle$...





Invariant densities—deterministic response functions




Trying out higher values of $\langle k \rangle$...

Connectivity leads to chaos:



Stochastic response functions:






Chaotic
Contagion

Chaos
Invariant densities

References



Bifurcation diagram: Asynchronous updating



Bifurcation diagram: Asynchronous updating

PoCS
@pocsvox

Chaotic
Contagion

Chaotic
Contagion

Chaos
Invariant densities

References




FIG. 3. Bifurcation diagram for the dense map $\Phi(\phi; \alpha)$, Eqn. (18). This was generated by iterating the map at 1000 α values between 0 and 1. The iteration was carried out with 3 random initial conditions for 10000 time steps each, discarding the first 1000. The ϕ -axis contains 1000 bins and the invariant density, shown by the grayscale value, is normalized by the maximum for each α . With $\alpha < 2/3$, all trajectories go to the fixed point at $\phi = 2/3$.



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7JHrZyyq870?rel=0>


How the bifurcation diagram changes with increasing average degree $\langle k \rangle$ as a function of the synchronicity parameter α for the stochastic response (tent map) case.




https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_zwK6polBvc?rel=0

How the bifurcation diagram changes with increasing α , the synchronicity parameter as a function of average degree $\langle k \rangle$ for the stochastic response (tent map) case.




<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=3bo4fzp4Snw?rel=0> 
LIC dynamics on a fixed graph with a shared stochastic (tent map) response function. Average degree = 6, update synchronicity parameter $\alpha = 1$. The macroscopic behavior is period-1, plus noisy fluctuations.



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7UCula_ktmw?rel=0 
LIC dynamics on a fixed graph with a shared stochastic (tent map) response function. Average degree = 11, update synchronicity parameter $\alpha = 1$. The macroscopic behavior is period-2, plus noisy fluctuations.




<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oWKt8Zj1Ccw?rel=0> 
LIC dynamics on a fixed graph with a shared stochastic (tent map) response function. $\langle k \rangle = 30$, update synchronicity parameter $\alpha = 1$. The macroscopic behavior is chaotic.



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AfhUlklOiOU?rel=0>

LIC dynamics on a fixed graph with fixed, deterministic response functions. Average degree = 30, update synchronicity parameter $\alpha = 1$. Shown are nodes which continue changing (703/1000) after the transient chaotic behavior has "collapsed."





<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZwY0hTstj2M?rel=0> 








LIC dynamics on a fixed graph with fixed, deterministic response functions. Average degree = 30, update synchronicity parameter $\alpha = 1$. The dynamics exhibit transient chaotic behavior before collapsing to a fixed point.



<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YDhjmFyBSn4?rel=0> ↗
LIC dynamics on a fixed graph with fixed, deterministic response functions. Average degree = 17, update synchronicity parameter $\alpha = 1$. The dynamics exhibit transient chaotic behavior before collapsing to a period-4 orbit.







[1] P. S. Dodds, K. D. Harris, and C. M. Danforth.
Limited Imitation Contagion on random networks:
Chaos, universality, and unpredictability.
[Phys. Rev. Lett., 110:158701, 2013. pdf](#)

[2] K. D. Harris, C. M. Danforth, and P. S. Dodds.
Dynamical influence processes on networks:
General theory and applications to social
contagion.
[Phys. Rev. E, 88:022816, 2013. pdf](#)

