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Site (papers, examples, code):
http://compstorylab.org/allotaxonometry/[

## Foundational papers:


"Allotaxonometry and rank-turbulence divergence: A universal instrument for comparing complex systems" $\boxed{ }$
Dodds et al., , 2020. ${ }^{[5]}$
"Probability-turbulence divergence: A

tunable allotaxonometric instrument for comparing heavy-tailed categorical distributions"
Dodds et al.,
, 2020. ${ }^{[6]}$

## Basic science = Describe + Explain:

Dashboards of single scale instruments helps us understand, monitor, and control systems.
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## Basic science = Describe + Explain:

Dashboards of single scale instruments helps us understand, monitor, and control systems.
Archetype: Cockpit dashboard for flying a plane
Okay if comprehendible.
Complex systems present two problems for dashboards:

1. Scale with internal diversity of components: We need meters for every species, every company, every word.
2. Tracking change: We need to re-arrange meters on the fly.

- Goal-Create comprehendible, dynamically-adjusting, differential dashboards showing two pieces: ${ }^{1}$

1. 'Big picture' map-like overview,
2. A tunable ranking of components.
[^0]
## Baby names, much studied: ${ }^{[12]}$
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just a decade or so. If you were born in the United States around this year, these are names that are more likely to seem common and generic to you, but are distinctive generational markers.

1886 Grover, Maude, Will, Mirnie, Lizzic, Bffic, Mauy, Cora, Lula, Nettie
1890 Maude, Mas, Minnie, Effec, Mabel, Besse, Mertie, Hattie, Lula, Cuma
 1900 Mabel, Myyrte, Bessie, Mamie, Pearl, Blanche, Gertnude, Ethel, Minmie, Gladys
1906 Gladys, Viola, Mabel, Myrtle, Gertrude, Pearl, Bessic, Blanche, Mamic, Ehbel
 1910 Thelma, Gladys, Vaida, Mildred, Beatrix, Lacilie, Gertrude, Agnes, Hazel, Ethed

 1930 Dolvrex, Betty, Jorn, Billie, Dovis, Nargna, Lois, Billy, Jurve, Marilign 1935 Shiridy, Martene, Joan, Doloress, Marilym, Bobby, Berty, Billy, Joyce, Beveriy
 ${ }^{1950}$ Linde, Deboraih, Gail, Jucty, Gary. Larry, Diomer, Dennis, Brenda, Junice 1968 Devran, Deborah, Cathy, Kathy, Pameia, Randy, Kim, Cymthia, Diane, Cheryd

1968 Lisa, Tammy, Lori, Tadd, Kin, Rhonda, Tracy, Tina, Dawn, Michele
1970 Tarmun, Tanga, Troay, Todid, Draun, Thinn, Slocep, Stocy, Michele, Lisa
1980 Brandy, Crystal, April, Jason, Jeremu, Eini, Tïffunk, Jamie, Melissa, Jemnijer 1985 Krystal, Lindkny, Ashbly, Lindsyy, Dustin, Jessikn, Amanda, Tiffany, Oystal, Amber 1990 Briturny, Checsea, Kelscy, Cody, Astley, Courthey, Kapla, Kyle, Megan, Jessic 1996 Taydbr, Kedan, Dakota, Austin, Hakey, Cody, Thwr, Shelhy, Brittany, Kayda
 2010 Jayder, Adifen, Nevereht, Addison, Brayden, Landon, Peyton, Isantello, Ave, 2015 Aria, Harper, Scariett, Jaxon, Grayson, Lincoin, Hiatson, Liam, Zoey, Layla If kids in your class were named Jeff, Lisa, Michael, Karen, and David, then you
were probably born in the mid-1960s. If they were named Jayden, Isabella, Sophia, Ave, and Ethan, then you were probably born somewhere around 2010 ,

But names can reveal things about age in other ways.
The mid-1990s TV show Friends featured six roommates, played by actors, named Matthew, Jennifer, Courtney, Lisa, David, and another Matthew. Each of those names has its own popularity curve; if we combine them all, we can guess what years the group of actors was likely born:


The actors were actually born in the late 1960 s, on the very early edge of the popularity of their names. In other words, the actors all have names that were a little before their time. Courtney cox and Jennifer Aniston had names that didn't really be-
come popular until a decade later. (Maybe people with trendy parents are more likely to wind up in acting) But the names are generally consistent with their era, if a little ahead of the curve.
We get something very different if we look at
Phoebe, Joseph, Ross, Chandler, Rachel, and Monica:


19651970197519801985199019952000200520102015
The show debuted in 1994. There's a clear spike in popularity of the names in 1995 and 1996, which can probably be attributed to the show putting the names in the minds of new parents. But it's not just the show-that name combination was clearly on the rise in the years before Friends premiered. It's possible that parents looking for good names for their children are influenced by some of the same cultural trends as TV writers looking for good names for their characters.
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> How to build a dynamical dashboard that helps sort through a massive number of interconnected time series?
"Is language evolution grinding to a halt? The scaling of lexical turbulence in English fiction suggests it is not" CB
Pechenick, Danforth, Dodds, Alshaabi, Adams, Dewhurst, Reagan, Danforth, Reagan, and Danforth.
Journal of Computational Science, 21, 24-37, 2017. ${ }^{[14]}$


For language, Zipf's law has two scaling regimes:

$$
f \sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}
r^{-\alpha} \text { for } r \ll r_{\mathrm{b}}, \\
r^{-\alpha^{\prime}} \text { for } r \gg r_{\mathrm{b}},
\end{array}\right.
$$

When comparing two texts, define Lexical turbulence as flux of words across a frequency threshold:
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$$
\phi \sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{\mathrm{th}}^{-\mu} \text { for } f_{\mathrm{thr}} \ll f_{\mathrm{b}}, \\
f_{\mathrm{thr}}^{-\mu^{\prime}} \text { for } f_{\mathrm{thr}} \gg f_{\mathrm{b}},
\end{array}\right.
$$

Estimates: $\mu \simeq 0.77$ and $\mu^{\prime} \simeq 1.10$, and $f_{\mathrm{b}}$ is the scaling break point.

$$
\phi \sim\left\{\begin{array}{l}
r^{\nu}=r^{\alpha \mu^{\prime}} \text { for } r \ll r_{\mathrm{b}}, \\
r^{\nu^{\prime}}=r^{\alpha^{\prime} \mu} \text { for } r \gg r_{\mathrm{b}} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Estimates: Lower and upper exponents $\nu \simeq 1.23$ and $\nu^{\prime} \simeq 1.47$.

A. Rank-turbulence histogram:


## Zipf-turbulence histogram for probability:
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 Divergences: Flexible and RobustMeasures of Similarities"【オ
Cichocki and Amari,
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60ish kinds of comparisons grouped into 10 families

## Quite the festival:

| 1. Euclidean $L_{2}$ | $d_{\text {L }}=\sqrt{\sum \sum\left\|P_{-}-Q\right\|^{2}}$ | (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2. City block $L_{1}$ | $d_{c a}=\sum_{c=1}^{j}\left\|P_{t}-Q_{i}\right\|$ | (2) |
| 3. Minkowski $L_{p}$ | $d_{\text {n }}-\sqrt{\sum \sum P^{\prime} P-\left.Q_{1}\right\|^{\prime}}$ | (3) |
| 4. Chebyshev $L_{\text {. }}$ | $d_{\text {cme }}=$ max $\mid P_{1}-Q_{1}$, | (4) |


| Table 2. $L_{1}$ family |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5. Swensen | $\sum \mid P^{-Q}$ |  |
|  | $\sum(p+Q)$ | (5) |


| 6. Gower | $\begin{aligned} & \left.d_{s-}=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{n=1}^{\sum} \frac{\|P-Q\|}{R} \right\rvert\, \\ & -\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}\|P-Q\| \end{aligned}$ | (6) (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. Soergel | $d_{=}=\frac{\sum_{1}^{J} P_{-}-Q_{1}}{\sum \operatorname{man}\left(P, P_{1}\right)}$ | (8) |
| 8. Kulcrynskid | $d_{\Delta}=\frac{\sum_{\infty}^{\dot{c}} P-Q \mid}{\sum_{i=1}^{i} \min \left(P_{C} Q\right)}$ | (9) |
| 9. Cankerra | $d_{c}-\sum_{i=1} \frac{\|P-Q\|}{P_{1}+Q}$ | (10) |
| 10. Lorentrian | $d_{L}-\sum_{L} \ln \left(1+\mid P_{\sim}-Q_{1}\right)$ | (11) |
| $* L_{1}$ family $\supset$ (Intersectoin (13), Wave HedgesCzckanowski (16), Ruzicka (21), Tanimoto (23), etc). |  |  |


| Table 3. Intersection family |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 11. Intersection $\quad s_{5}-\sum \min \left(P_{0}, Q\right)$ | (12) |
| $d_{--a-1-s_{u}}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{1}\left\|R_{1}-Q\right\|$ | (13) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12. Wave Hedges } d_{m 1}-\sum\left(0-\frac{\min (P, Q)}{\max (P, Q)}\right) \\ &-\sum \frac{\|P, Q,\|}{\max (P, Q)} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | (14) (15) |
|  | (16) |
|  | (17) |


| 14. Motyka | $x_{1 L}=\frac{\dot{\sum} \min (P, Q)}{\sum \sum(P+Q)}$ | (18) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (19) |
| 15. Kulczynski $s$ |  | (20) |
| 16. Ruzicka | $\therefore=-\frac{\sum_{1}^{j} \min (P, Q)}{\sum_{1}^{j} \max (P, Q)}$ | (21) |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 17. Taniv } \\ \text { moto } \end{array}$ |  | (22) (23) |


| 18. Inner Product | $s_{y}=P \cdot Q-\sum P Q_{i}$ | (24) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19. Harmonic mean | $s_{\mathrm{ma}}=2 \sum_{\mathrm{M}}^{t} \frac{P Q}{P_{1}+Q_{1}}$ | (25) |
| 20. Cosine | $\operatorname{se}-\frac{\sum_{=1}^{\infty} P Q}{\sqrt{\sum_{=1}^{2} P^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{n=1} Q^{2}}}$ | (26) |



| 22. Jis | $S_{1}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{j} P Q}{\sum_{i=1}^{P_{1}^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Q^{2}-\sum_{==1}^{j} P Q}}$ | (28) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (39) |
| 23. Dice | $s_{\mathrm{n}=}-\frac{2 \sum \sum Q}{\sum \sum_{n}^{2}+\sum Q^{2}}$ | (40) |
|  |  | (31) |


| Table 5. Fidelity farnily or Squared-chord family |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 24. Fidelity | $s_{n}-\sum_{n=1} \sqrt{P_{Q},}$ | (32) |  |
| 25. Bhattacharyya | $d_{\alpha}=-\ln \sum_{n}^{5} \sqrt{P_{Q}}$ | (33) |  |
| 26. Hellinger | $d_{n}-\sqrt{2 \sum_{1}^{2}(\sqrt{P}-\sqrt{Q})^{2}}$ | (34) |  |
|  | $-2 \sqrt{1-\sum_{n=1}^{5} \sqrt{P Q_{n}}}$ | (35) |  |
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## We want two main things:

1. A measure of difference between systems
2. A way of sorting which types/species/words contribute to that difference

| Table 1. $L_{p}$ Minkowski family |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Euclidean $L_{2}$ | $d_{E u c}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|^{2}}$ | (1) |
| 2. City block $L_{1}$ | $d_{C B}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|$ | (2) |
| 3. Minkowski $L_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $d_{M k}=\sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|^{p}}$ | (3) |
| 4. Chebyshev $L_{\infty}$ | $d_{\text {Cheb }}=\max _{i}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|$ |  |
| Table 2. $L_{1}$ family (4) <br> 5. Sørensen $d_{\text {sor }}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(P_{i}+Q_{i}\right)}$ |  |  |$.$|  |
| :--- |

$\begin{array}{|lll|}\hline \text { 6. Gower } & d_{\text {gow }}=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\left|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right|}{R_{i}} & \text { (6) } \\ & =\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right| & \text { (7) } \\ \hline \text { 7. Soergel } & d_{\text {sg }}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right|}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \max \left(P_{i}, Q_{i}\right)} & \text { (8) } \\ \hline \text { 8. Kulczynski } d & d_{\text {kat }}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right|}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \min \left(P_{i}, Q_{i}\right)} & \text { (9) } \\ \hline \text { 9. Canberra } & d_{\text {Can }}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\left|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right|}{P_{i}+Q_{i}} & \text { (10) } \\ \hline \text { 10. Lorentzian } & d_{\text {Lor }}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \ln \left(1+\left|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right|\right)\end{array} \quad$ (11) $)$
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## We want two main things:

1. A measure of difference between systems
2. A way of sorting which types/species/words contribute to that difference

## For sorting, many comparisons give the same ordering.

| Table 1. $L_{p}$ Minkowski family |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Euclidean $L_{2}$ | $d_{E u c}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|^{2}}$ | (1) |
| 2. City block $L_{1}$ | $d_{C B}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|$ | (2) |
| 3. Minkowski $L_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $d_{M k}=\sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|^{p}}$ | (3) |
| 4. Chebyshev $L_{\infty}$ | $d_{\text {Cheb }}=\max _{i}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|$ |  |
| Table 2. $L_{1}$ family (4) <br> 5. Sørensen $d_{\text {sor }}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(P_{i}+Q_{i}\right)}$ |  |  |$.$|  |
| :--- |


| 6. Gower | $\begin{aligned} & d_{\text {gow }}=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{R_{i}} \\ & =\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\| \end{aligned}$ | (6) <br> (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. Soergel | $d_{\mathrm{sg}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \max \left(P_{i}, Q_{i}\right)}$ | (8) |
| 8. Kulczynski d | $d_{k u t}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \min \left(P_{i}, Q_{i}\right)}$ | (9) |
| 9. Canberra | $d_{C a n}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{P_{i}+Q_{i}}$ | (10) |
| 10. Lorentzian | $d_{L o r}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \ln \left(1+\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|\right)$ | (11) |
| * $L_{1}$ family $\supset$ \{Intersectoin (13), Wave Hedges (15), Czekanowski (16), Ruzicka (21), Tanimoto (23), etc \}. |  |  |
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We want two main things:

1. A measure of difference between systems
2. A way of sorting which types/species/words contribute to that difference

## For sorting, many

 comparisons give the same ordering.A few basic building blocks:
$\left|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right|$ (dominant)
$\max \left(P_{i}, Q_{i}\right)$
$\min \left(P_{i}, Q_{i}\right)$
$P_{i} Q_{i}$
$\left|P_{i}^{1 / 2}-Q_{i}^{1 / 2}\right|$
(Hellinger)

## Table 1. $L_{p}$ Minkowski family

| 1. Euclidean $L_{2}$ | $d_{E u C}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|^{2}}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. City block $L_{1}$ | $d_{C B}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|$ | (1) |
| 3. Minkowski $L_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $d_{M M k}=\sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|^{p}}$ |  |
| 4. Chebyshev $L_{\infty}$ | $d_{C h u e b}=\max _{i}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|$ |  |

Table 2. $L_{1}$ family
5. Sørensen

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\text {sor }}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right|}{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(P_{i}+Q_{i}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$
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| 6. Gower | $\begin{aligned} & d_{g o w}=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{R_{i}} \\ & =\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\| \end{aligned}$ | (6) <br> (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. Soergel | $d_{\mathrm{sg}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \max \left(P_{i}, Q_{i}\right)}$ | (8) |
| 8. Kulczynski d | $d_{k u t}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \min \left(P_{i}, Q_{i}\right)}$ | (9) |
| 9. Canberra | $d_{C a n}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{P_{i}+Q_{i}}$ | (10) |
| 10. Lorentzian | $d_{L o r}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \ln \left(1+\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|\right)$ | (11) |
| * $L_{1}$ family $\supset$ \{Intersectoin (13), Wave Hedges (15), Czekanowski (16), Ruzicka (21), Tanimoto (23), etc\} . |  |  |

Table 1. $L_{p}$ Minkowski family

| 1. Euclidean $L_{2}$ | $d_{E u c}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|^{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. City block $L_{1}$ | $d_{C B}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|$ |
| 3. Minkowski $L_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $d_{M k}=\sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|^{p}}$ |
| 4. Chebyshev $L_{\infty}$ | $d_{\text {Cheb }}=\max _{i}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|$ |

Table 2. $L_{1}$ family
5. Sørensen

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{s o o r}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right|}{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(P_{i}+Q_{i}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Information theoretic sortings are more opaque
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| 6. Gower | $d_{\text {gow }}=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{R_{i}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|$ |
| 7. Soergel | $d_{s g}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \max \left(P_{i}, Q_{i}\right)}$ |
| 8. Kulczynski $d$ | $d_{\text {kut }}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \min \left(P_{i}, Q_{i}\right)}$ |
| 9. Canberra | $d_{C a n}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{P_{i}+Q_{i}}$ |
| 10. Lorentzian | $d_{\text {Lor }}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \ln \left(1+\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|\right)$ |

* $L_{1}$ family $\supset$ \{Intersectoin (13), Wave Hedges (15), Czekanowski (16), Ruzicka (21), Tanimoto (23), etc \}.

Table 1. $L_{p}$ Minkowski family

| 1. Euclidean $L_{2}$ | $d_{E u c}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|^{2}}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2. City block $L_{1}$ | $d_{C B}=\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|$ |
| 3. Minkowski $L_{\mathrm{p}}$ | $d_{M k}=\sqrt[p]{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|^{p}}$ |
| 4. Chebyshev $L_{\infty}$ | $d_{C h e b}=\max _{i}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|$ |

Table 2. $L_{1}$ family
5. Sørensen $\quad d_{\text {sor }}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right|}{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(P_{i}+Q_{i}\right)}$

## Information theoretic sortings are more opaque

No tunability
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| 6. Gower | $d_{\text {gow }}=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{R_{i}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $=\frac{1}{d} \sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|$ |
| 7. Soergel | $d_{s g}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \max \left(P_{i}, Q_{i}\right)}$ |
| 8. Kulczynski $d$ | $d_{\text {tul }}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{\sum_{i=1}^{d} \min \left(P_{i}, Q_{i}\right)}$ |
| 9. Canberra | $d_{C a n}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|}{P_{i}+Q_{i}}$ |
| 10. Lorentzian | $d_{\text {Lor }}=\sum_{i=1}^{d} \ln \left(1+\left\|P_{i}-Q_{i}\right\|\right)$ |

* $L_{1}$ family $\supset\{$ Intersectoin (13), Wave Hedges (15), Czekanowski (16), Ruzicka (21), Tanimoto (23), etc \}.

Shannon's Entropy:

$$
H(P)=\left\langle\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{\tau}}\right\rangle=\sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}} p_{\tau} \log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{\tau}}
$$
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\end{equation*}
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Kullback-Liebler (KL) divergence:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(P_{2} \| P_{1}\right)=\left\langle\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{2, \tau}}-\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{1, \tau}}\right\rangle_{P_{2}} \\
& =\sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}} p_{2, \tau}\left[\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{2, \tau}}-\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{1, \tau}}\right] \\
& =\sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}} p_{2, \tau} \log _{2} \frac{p_{1, \tau}}{p_{2, \tau}} . \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The PoCSverse Allotaxonometry 19 of 67
A plenitude of distān̄c̄ēs
Rank-turbulence divergence

Probability-
turbulence divergence

Explorations
References

Shannon's Entropy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(P)=\left\langle\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{\tau}}\right\rangle=\sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}} p_{\tau} \log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{\tau}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Kullback-Liebler (KL) divergence:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(P_{2} \| P_{1}\right)=\left\langle\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{2, \tau}}-\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{1, \tau}}\right\rangle_{P_{2}} \\
& =\sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}} p_{2, \tau}\left[\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{2, \tau}}-\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{1, \tau}}\right] \\
& =\sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}} p_{2, \tau} \log _{2} \frac{p_{1, \tau}}{p_{2, \tau}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Problem: If just one component type in system 2 is not present in system 1,KL divergence $=\infty$.

The PoCSverse Allotaxonometry 19 of 67
A plenitude of distān̄ēs

Rank-turbulence divergence

Probability
turbulence
divergence
Explorations
References

Shannon's Entropy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(P)=\left\langle\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{\tau}}\right\rangle=\sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}} p_{\tau} \log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{\tau}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Kullback-Liebler (KL) divergence:

$$
\begin{align*}
& D^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(P_{2} \| P_{1}\right)=\left\langle\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{2, \tau}}-\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{1, \tau}}\right\rangle_{P_{2}} \\
& =\sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}} p_{2, \tau}\left[\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{2, \tau}}-\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{1, \tau}}\right] \\
& =\sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}} p_{2, \tau} \log _{2} \frac{p_{1, \tau}}{p_{2, \tau}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

The PoCSverse Allotaxonometry 19 of 67
A plenitude of distān̄ēs

Rank-turbulence divergence

Probability
turbulence

Problem: If just one component type in system 2 is not present in system 1, KL divergence $=\infty$.
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Shannon's Entropy:
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\begin{equation*}
H(P)=\left\langle\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{\tau}}\right\rangle=\sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}} p_{\tau} \log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{\tau}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
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Kullback-Liebler (KL) divergence:
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\begin{align*}
& D^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(P_{2} \| P_{1}\right)=\left\langle\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{2, \tau}}-\log _{2} \frac{1}{p_{1, \tau}}\right\rangle_{P_{2}} \\
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& =\sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}} p_{2, \tau} \log _{2} \frac{p_{1, \tau}}{p_{2, \tau}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
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Problem: If just one component type in system 2 is not present in system 1, KL divergence $=\infty$.
Solution: If we can't compare a spork and a platypus directly, we create a fictional spork-platypus hybrid.
Rew problem: Re-read solution.

Jensen-Shannon divergence (JSD): ${ }^{[9,7,13,1]}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D^{\mathrm{JS}}\left(P_{1} \| P_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} D^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(P_{1} \| \frac{1}{2}\left[P_{1}+P_{2}\right]\right)+\frac{1}{2} D^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(P_{2} \| \frac{1}{2}\left[P_{1}+P_{2}\right]\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}}\left(p_{1, \tau} \log _{2} \frac{p_{1, \tau}}{\frac{1}{2}\left[p_{1, \tau}+p_{2, \tau}\right]}+p_{2, \tau} \log _{2} \frac{p_{2, \tau}}{\frac{1}{2}\left[p_{1, \tau}+p_{2, \tau}\right]}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

Involving a third intermediate averaged system means JSD is now finite: $0 \leq D^{\mathrm{S}}\left(P_{1} \| P_{2}\right) \leq 1$.

Jensen-Shannon divergence (JSD): $[9,7,13,1]$

$$
\begin{align*}
& D^{\mathrm{JS}}\left(P_{1} \| P_{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} D^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(P_{1} \| \frac{1}{2}\left[P_{1}+P_{2}\right]\right)+\frac{1}{2} D^{\mathrm{KL}}\left(P_{2} \| \frac{1}{2}\left[P_{1}+P_{2}\right]\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}}\left(p_{1, \tau} \log _{2} \frac{p_{1, \tau}}{\frac{1}{2}\left[p_{1, \tau}+p_{2, \tau}\right]}+p_{2, \tau} \log _{2} \frac{p_{2, \tau}}{\frac{1}{2}\left[p_{1, \tau}+p_{2, \tau}\right]}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

8. Involving a third intermediate averaged system means JSD is now finite: $0 \leq D^{\mathrm{S}}\left(P_{1} \| P_{2}\right) \leq 1$.
\& Generalized entropy divergence: [2]

$$
D_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{AS} 2}\left(P_{1} \| P_{2}\right)=
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha-1)} \sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}}\left[\left(p_{\tau, 1}^{1-\alpha}+p_{\tau, 2}^{1-\alpha}\right)\left(\frac{p_{\tau, 1}+p_{\tau, 2}}{2}\right)^{\alpha}-\left(p_{\tau, 1}+p_{\tau, 2}\right)\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Produces JSD when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.



## Exclusive types:

\& We call types that are present in one system only 'exclusive types'.
When warranted, we will use expressions of the form $\Omega^{(1)}$-exclusive and $\Omega^{(2)}$-exclusive to indicate to which system an exclusive type belongs.

## Desirable rank-turbulence divergence features:

1. Rank-based.
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Desirable rank-turbulence divergence features:

1. Rank-based.
2. Symmetric.
3. Semi-positive: $D_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{R}}\left(\Omega_{1} \| \Omega_{2}\right) \geq 0$.
4. Linearly separable, for interpretability.
5. Subsystem applicable: Ranked lists of any principled subset may be equally well compared (e.g., hashtags on Twitter, stock prices of a certain sector, etc.).
6. Zipfophilic: Able to handle systems with rank-ordered component size distribution that are heavy-tailed.
7. Scalable: Allow for sensible comparisons across system sizes.
8. Tunable.
9. Rank-based.
10. Symmetric.
11. Semi-positive: $D_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{R}}\left(\Omega_{1} \| \Omega_{2}\right) \geq 0$.
12. Linearly separable, for interpretability.
13. Subsystem applicable: Ranked lists of any principled subset may be equally well compared (e.g., hashtags on Twitter, stock prices of a certain sector, etc.).
14. Zipfophilic: Able to handle systems with rank-ordered component size distribution that are heavy-tailed.
15. Scalable: Allow for sensible comparisons across system sizes.
16. Tunable.
17. Story-finding: Features $1-8$ combine to show which component types are most 'important'

## Some good things about ranks:
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A start:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{r_{\tau, 1}}-\frac{1}{r_{\tau, 2}}\right| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

8
Inverse of rank gives an increasing measure of 'importance'
High rank means closer to rank 1
We assign tied ranks for components of equal 'size'

## Some good things about ranks:

Working with ranks is intuitive
Affords some powerful statistics (e.g., Spearman's rank correlation coefficient)
. Can be used to generalize beyond systems with probabilities

## A start:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{r_{\tau, 1}}-\frac{1}{r_{\tau, 2}}\right| \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

8
Inverse of rank gives an increasing measure of 'importance'

- High rank means closer to rank 1

We assign tied ranks for components of equal 'size'Issue: Biases toward high rank components
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We introduce a tuning parameter:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{\left[r_{\tau, 1}\right]^{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{\left[r_{\tau, 2}\right]^{\alpha}}\right|^{1 / \alpha} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, high ranked components are increasingly dampened
For words in texts, for example, the weight of common words and rare words move increasingly closer together.
8 As $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$, high rank components will dominate.
. For texts, the contributions of rare words will vanish.

## Trouble:

- The limit of $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ does not behave well for

$$
\left|\frac{1}{\left[r_{\tau, 1}\right]^{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{\left[r_{\tau, 2}\right]^{\alpha}}\right|^{1 / \alpha} .
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\delta_{r_{\tau, 1} r_{\tau, 2}}\right) \alpha^{1 / \alpha}\left|\ln \frac{r_{\tau, 1}}{r_{\tau, 2}}\right|^{1 / \alpha}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which heads toward $\infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.
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\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\delta_{r_{\tau, 1} r_{\tau, 2}}\right) \alpha^{1 / \alpha}\left|\ln \frac{r_{\tau, 1}}{r_{\tau, 2}}\right|^{1 / \alpha}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which heads toward $\infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.
Oops.

The limit of $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ does not behave well for
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\left|\frac{1}{\left[r_{\tau, 1}\right]^{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{\left[r_{\tau, 2}\right]^{\alpha}}\right|^{1 / \alpha}
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\delta_{r_{\tau, 1} r_{\tau, 2}}\right) \alpha^{1 / \alpha}\left|\ln \frac{r_{\tau, 1}}{r_{\tau, 2}}\right|^{1 / \alpha} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which heads toward $\infty$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.
Oops.
But the insides look nutritious:

$$
\left|\ln \frac{r_{\tau, 1}}{r_{\tau, 2}}\right|
$$

## Some reworking:

$$
\delta D_{\alpha, \tau}^{\mathrm{R}}\left(R_{1} \| R_{2}\right) \propto \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}\left|\frac{1}{\left[r_{\tau, 1}\right]^{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{\left[r_{\tau, 2}\right]^{\alpha}}\right|^{1 /(\alpha+1)}
$$
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## Rank-turbulence divergence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{R}}\left(R_{1} \| R_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_{1,2 ; \alpha}} \sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}} \delta D_{\alpha, \tau}^{\mathrm{R}}\left(R_{1} \| R_{2}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## Normalization:

R Take a data-driven rather than analytic approach to determining $\mathcal{N}_{1,2 ; \alpha}$.
\& Compute $\mathcal{N}_{1,2 ; \alpha}$ by taking the two systems to be disjoint while maintaining their underlying Zipf distributions.
Ensures: $0 \leq D_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{R}}\left(R_{1} \| R_{2}\right) \leq 1$
Limits of 0 and 1 correspond to the two systems having identical and disjoint Zipf distributions.
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$$
D_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{R}}\left(R_{1} \| R_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_{1,2 ; \alpha}} \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} \sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}}\left|\frac{1}{\left[r_{\tau, 1}\right]^{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{\left[r_{\tau, 2}\right]^{\alpha}}\right|^{1 /(\alpha+1)}
$$

(10)

General normalization:
lif the Zipf distributions are disjoint, then in $\Omega^{(1)}$ 's merged ranking, the rank of all $\Omega^{(2)}$ types will be $r=N_{1}+\frac{1}{2} N_{2}$, where $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are the number of distinct types in each system.
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## General normalization:

\& lif the Zipf distributions are disjoint, then in $\Omega^{(1)}$ 's merged ranking, the rank of all $\Omega^{(2)}$ types will be $r=N_{1}+\frac{1}{2} N_{2}$, where $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are the number of distinct types in each system.
Similarly, $\Omega^{(2)}$ 's merged ranking will have all of $\Omega^{(1)}$ 's types in last place with rank $r=N_{2}+\frac{1}{2} N_{1}$.
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The normalization is then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{N}_{1,2 ; \alpha} & =\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} \sum_{\tau \in R_{1}}\left|\frac{1}{\left[r_{\tau, 1}\right]^{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{\left[N_{1}+\frac{1}{2} N_{2}\right]^{\alpha}}\right|^{1 /(\alpha+1)} \\
& +\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} \sum_{\tau \in R_{1}}\left|\frac{1}{\left[N_{2}+\frac{1}{2} N_{1}\right]^{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{\left[r_{\tau, 2}\right]^{\alpha}}\right|^{1 /(\alpha+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{1,2 ; 0}=\sum_{\tau \in R_{1}}\left|\ln \frac{r_{\tau, 1}}{N_{1}+\frac{1}{2} N_{2}}\right|+\sum_{\tau \in R_{2}}\left|\ln \frac{r_{\tau, 2}}{\frac{1}{2} N_{1}+N_{2}}\right| . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Largest rank ratios dominate.

## Limit of $\alpha \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{\infty}^{\mathrm{R}}\left(R_{1} \| R_{2}\right)=\sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}} \delta D_{\infty, \tau}^{\mathrm{R}} \\
& =\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_{1,2 ; \infty}} \sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}}\left(1-\delta_{r_{\tau, 1} r_{\tau, 2}}\right) \max _{\tau}\left\{\frac{1}{r_{\tau, 1}}, \frac{1}{r_{\tau, 2}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{1,2 ; \infty}=\sum_{\tau \in R_{1}} \frac{1}{r_{\tau, 1}}+\sum_{\tau \in R_{2}} \frac{1}{r_{\tau, 2}} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Highest ranks dominate.

## Probability-turbulence divergence:

$$
D_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(P_{1} \| P_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\mathcal{N}_{1,2 ; \alpha}^{p}} \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} \sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \alpha}}\left|\left[p_{\tau, 1}\right]^{\alpha}-\left[p_{\tau, 2}\right]^{\alpha}\right|^{1 /(\alpha+1)} .
$$

(16)
\& For the unnormalized version ( $\mathcal{N}_{1,2 ; \alpha}^{P}=1$ ), some troubles return with 0 probabilities and $\alpha \rightarrow 0$.
Weep not: $\mathcal{N}_{1,2 ; \alpha}^{P}$ will save the day.

## Normalization:

With no matching types, the probability of a type present in one system is zero in the other, and the sum can be split between the two systems' types:

$$
\mathcal{N}_{1,2 ; \alpha}^{\mathrm{P}}=\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} \sum_{\tau \in R_{1}}\left[p_{\tau, 1}\right]^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)}+\frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha} \sum_{\tau \in R_{2}}\left[p_{\tau, 2}\right]^{\alpha /(\alpha+1)}
$$

(17)

## Limit of $\alpha=0$ for probability-turbulence divergence

if both $p_{\tau, 1}>0$ and $p_{\tau, 2}>0$ then

$$
\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha+1}{\alpha}\left|\left[p_{\tau, 1}\right]^{\alpha}-\left[p_{\tau, 2}\right]^{\alpha}\right|^{1 /(\alpha+1)}=\left|\ln \frac{p_{\tau, 2}}{p_{\tau, 1}}\right| .
$$

But if $p_{\tau, 1}=0$ or $p_{\tau, 2}=0$, limit diverges as $1 / \alpha$.

## Limit of $\alpha=0$ for probability-turbulence divergence

 Normalization:$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{1,2 ; \alpha}^{\mathrm{p}} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\alpha}\left(N_{1}+N_{2}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because the normalization also diverges as $1 / \alpha$, the divergence will be zero when there are no exclusive types and non-zero when there are exclusive types.
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References
\& The term $\left(\delta_{p_{\tau, 1}, 0}+\delta_{0, p_{\tau, 2}}\right)$ returns 1 if either $p_{\tau, 1}=0$ or $p_{\tau, 2}=0$, and 0 otherwise when both $p_{\tau, 1}>0$ and $p_{\tau, 2}>0$.
Ratio of types that are exclusive to one system relative to the total possible such types,

## Type contribution ordering for the limit of $\alpha=0$

\& In terms of contribution to the divergence score, all exclusive types supply a weight of $1 /\left(N_{1}+N_{2}\right)$. We can order them by preserving their ordering as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, which amounts to ordering by descending probability in the system in which they appear.

A plenitude of

And while types that appear in both systems make no contribution to $D_{0}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(P_{1} \| P_{2}\right)$, we can still order them according to the log ratio of their probabilities.
The overall ordering of types by divergence contribution for $\alpha=0$ is then: (1) exclusive types by descending probability and then (2) types appearing in both systems by descending log ratio.

## Limit of $\alpha=\infty$ for probability-turbulence divergence

$D_{\infty}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(P_{1} \| P_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \infty}}\left(1-\delta_{p_{\tau, 1}, p_{\tau, 2}}\right) \max \left(p_{\tau, 1}, p_{\tau, 2}\right)$
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where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}_{1,2 ; \infty}^{\mathrm{P}}=\sum_{\tau \in R_{1,2 ; \infty}}\left(p_{\tau, 1}+p_{\tau, 2}\right)=1+1=2 . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Connections for PTD:

$\alpha=0$ : Similarity measure Sørensen-Dice coefficient ${ }^{[4,16,10]}, F_{1}$ score of a test's accuracy ${ }^{[17,15]}$.

Rank-turbulence divergence

Probability-turbule divergence
$\alpha=1 / 2$ : Hellinger distance ${ }^{[8]}$ and Mautusita distance ${ }^{[11]}$.

- $\alpha=1$ : Many including all $L^{(p)}$-norm type constructions.
$\alpha=\infty$ : Motyka distance ${ }^{[3]}$.






$\Omega_{1}$ : Market caps, 2007-Q4 Instrument: Rank-Turbulence Divergence
 Co America Corp Cisco Sysfems the The Cocol Colla


1,000
Counts per cell
$\Omega_{2}$ : Market caps, 2018-Q4
Microsoft Corp

- ohnson \& Johnsone
JPMorgan

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { JPMorgan Chase \& Co } \\
\text { Amazon.com Inc } \\
\text { UnitedHealth Groun Inc }
\end{array}
$$



UnitedHealth Group Inc
$\qquad$

Bacing Co
Home Depot Inc
Amgen Inc


Accenture ple


Facebook Inc
Visa Inc Class A


AbbVie Inc Broadcom Ltd
Charter Commanj ati...Inc
HCA Holdłogs In
Avangrid Inc
Wayfair Inc
HealthEquity Inc 100
$39.8 \%$ total market cap $60.2 \%$
$78.8 \%$ all companies $61-5 \%$
$48.8 \%$ exclusive companies $34.4 \%$

Divergence contribution $\delta D_{1 / 3, \tau}^{\mathrm{R}}(\%)$

| 0.2 | 0.15 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0 | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.15 | 0.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

General Electric Co $2 \rightleftharpoons 78$

- 085 Facebook Inc $\downarrow$

Exxon Mobil Corp $1 \rightleftharpoons 9$
Amazon.com Inc
Visa Inc Class A $\triangleright$
Apple Inc
Microsoft Corp
AbbVie Inc $\triangleright$
$\triangleleft$ Genentech Inc $31 \rightleftharpoons 4,187$
AT\&T Inc $4 \rightleftharpoons 19$
$\checkmark$ Wachovia Corp Anheuser-Busch InBe.../NV $>$
$\triangleleft$ Twenty-First Century Fox $40 \rightleftharpoons 4,187$
Broadcom LtdD
Berkshire Hathaway ...s B $38 \rightleftharpoons 2,331$
Philip Morris Inter...Inc $>$
$\triangleleft$ Time Warner Inc $47 \rightleftharpoons 4,187$
 PayPal Holdings Inc $\triangleright$
AIG Inc $17 \rightleftharpoons 159$
$\triangleleft$ Monsanto Co $54=4,187$
$\triangleleft$ Merrill Lynch \& Co $66 \rightleftharpoons 4,187$

- $214=24$ Mastercard Inc

Procter \& Gamble Co $5 \rightleftharpoons 15$
4 Schering-Plough Corp $74 \rightleftharpoons 4,187$
4 Alcon Inc $76 \rightleftharpoons 4,187$
Charter Communicati...Inc $\triangleright$
Altria Group Inc $12 \rightleftharpoons 52$
$\triangleleft$ EMC Corp $83 \rightleftharpoons 4,187$
$\triangleleft$ Anheuser-Busch Inc. $87 \rightleftharpoons 4,187$
Tesla Inc $\triangleright$
Salesforce.com Inc
$\measuredangle$ DowDuPont Inc $91 \rightleftharpoons 4,187$ 4 Barrick Gold Corp. $95=4,187$

Kraft Heinz Co $\triangleright$
HP Inc $26=162$
4 Lehman Brothers Holding $103 \rightleftharpoons 4,187$
JPMorgan Chase \& Co
$\measuredangle$ Yahoo! Inc $109 \rightleftharpoons 4,187$
$49.6 \% \quad 50.4 \%$

## Effect of subsampling:
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$N=100$
$N=316$
$N=1,000$
$N=10,000$
$N=31,622$

$N=316$

$N=10,000$

$N=316$


$N=1000$

$\Omega_{1}$ : Pride and Prejudice, first half
Instrument: Probability-Turbulence Divergeng $\alpha=3 / 4$
$\longmapsto$,

$\Omega_{2}$ : Pride and Prejudice, second half



Divergence contribution $\delta D_{3 / 4, \tau}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\times 10^{-3} \%\right)$
$\qquad$
she Miss Bingley
she had $9 \rightleftharpoons 29$
had been $6 \rightleftharpoons 16$
I was $36.5=334$
in the Sir William
to be $1=3$
Miss Lucas
her uncle $201 \rightleftharpoons 20,087$
of Lady $\triangleright$
Lady Catherine
7 it is
uncle and $176 \rightleftharpoons 2,981.5$
a very
Collins was
of the
$\triangleleft$ and Gardiner $317 \rightleftharpoons 44,665.5$
glad to young ladies
at Pemberley $201=2,981.5$
and aunt $201=2,981.5$
$\checkmark$ every thing the room
$\checkmark$ every thing $381 \rightleftharpoons 44,665.5$

## I have

it was $10 \rightleftharpoons 20$ honour of
I must $89 \rightleftharpoons 448$
have been $15.5=35.5$
-4have 448 the Parsonage $D$
$\triangleleft$ to Brighton $430 \rightleftharpoons 44,665.5$
It was $32.5 \rightleftharpoons 93$
4604=142. young man

## me to

20.5 and the
to all $201 \rightleftharpoons 1,444$
sort of
$282=87$ does not
$50.0 \%-50.0 \%$





Divergence contribution $\delta D_{3 / 4, \tau}^{\mathrm{P}}\left(\times 10^{-4} \%\right)$
$\qquad$

George Floyd
the coronavirus $10=806$

```
                                    the police
                                    in Minneapolis
                                    black people
```

tested positive $26 \rightleftharpoons 6,425$.
positive for $31 \rightleftharpoons 6,125$,
the virus $28 \rightleftharpoons 1,404$
for coronavirus $45 \rightleftharpoons 13,978.5$
of coronavirus $50 \rightleftharpoons 14,998$. 5


Tom Hanks of George
$62 \rightleftharpoons 192,366$
white people
black lives
Rudy Gobert $97 \rightleftharpoons 1,478,89$ police officer has tested police office corona virus $73 \rightleftharpoons 3,111$ the black
due to $37 \rightleftharpoons 245$
the Coronavirus $117 \rightleftharpoons 13.204 .5$
will be $8=27$
spread of $119 \rightleftharpoons 10,611$
to cancel $128 \rightleftharpoons 13,725.5$
toilet paper $132 \approx 17.650 .5$ $132 \rightleftharpoons 17,650.5$ to stop
for the $5=$
sick leave $169,159,890$
$205=42$ the people
the spread $135=11,282$
Corona virus $158=39,796$ police brutality of police peaceful protest If you protesting in in Atlanta
$51.6 \%-48.4 \%$
$\Omega_{1}$ : Twitter on 2020/03/12 Instrument: Probability-Turbulence Divergenge


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { bless and stay } \\
& \text { iimpo commit }
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { him fo co } \\
& \text { WHO. let ghe }
\end{aligned}
$$



$\Omega_{2}:$ Twitter on 2020/05/30
you want to
the White House
needs to be
If you are
the death of
front of the
to the ground
the same reason
them to stop
stand in ...ity
She says she
black liv...ter
before th...ice


Kannah mo...and
of George Floyd
will.repr.. you

Counts per cell


0,000,000
100,000



Divergence contribution $\delta D_{\infty, \tau}^{\mathrm{P}}(\%)$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}0.03 & 0.02 & 0.01 & 0 & 0.01 & 0.02 & 0.03\end{array}$
tested positive for $1 \rightleftharpoons 4,975$.

> of George Floyd
> the White House
> in front of
one of the $2 \rightleftharpoons 4$
has tested positive $3 \rightleftharpoons 11,879$
positive for coronavirus $4 \rightleftharpoons 14,798$
the spread of $5 \rightleftharpoons 7,264.5$
going to be $6 \rightleftharpoons 33$

## out of the

 community in Minneapolisp
## is going to $7=108$

to do with
part of the
World Health Organization $8 \rightleftharpoons 1,420$
to the ground
for the coronavirus $9 \rightleftharpoons 78,795$ for George Floyd $\triangleright$
positive for the $10 \rightleftharpoons 53,912$

$$
\text { due to the } 11 \rightleftharpoons 603
$$

has announced that $12 \rightleftharpoons 22,783.5$

## needs to be

Support from the
be able to $13 \rightleftharpoons 45$
the rest of $14 \rightleftharpoons 143.5$
in the world $15=30$

## This is the

because of coronavirus $16 \approx 277,424.5$ because of the $17 \rightleftharpoons 631.5$
4 that dogs cannot $18 \rightleftharpoons 43,073,107$
the United States $19=22$
$\triangleleft$ announced that dogs $20 \rightleftharpoons 43,073,107$ Health Organization has $21 \rightleftharpoons 172,568$
the corona virus $22 \rightleftharpoons 1,421$
4 dogs cannot contract $23 \rightleftharpoons 43,073,107$ $\triangleleft$ Organization has an...ced $24 \rightleftharpoons 43,073,107$ white vs black D
$50.4 \%-49.6 \%$
$\Omega_{1}$ : Barro Colorado Island, 1985 Census Instrument: Probability-Turbulence Divergenge $\alpha=1 / 3$ $\begin{array}{lllllllllll} & 0 & 1 / 4 & 1 / 2 & 3 / 4 & 1 & 3 / 2 & 2 & 3 & 5 & \infty\end{array}$ $D_{1 / 3}^{\mathrm{p}}\left(\Omega_{1} \| \Omega_{2}\right)=\sum_{i} \delta D_{1 / 3, \tau}^{\mathrm{p}}$

$$
=4 \sum_{\tau}\left|p_{\tau, 2}^{1 / 3}-p_{\tau, 2}^{1 / 3}\right|^{3 / 4}
$$

## $\Omega_{2}:$ Barro Colorado Island, 2015 Census



Divergence contribution $\delta D_{1 / 3, \tau}^{\mathrm{P}}(\%)$

| 2 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.5 | 1 | 1.5 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Piper cordulatum $9 \rightleftharpoons 138$
Psychotria horizontalis $8 \rightleftharpoons 23$
Poulsenia armata $14 \rightleftharpoons 53$

## Calophyllum longifolium <br> Inga acuminata <br> Palicourea guianensis

Bactris barronis $137 \rightleftharpoons 269$
$<$ Bactris coloradonis $185 \rightleftharpoons 308$
Eugenia galalonensis
Trema integerrima>
Xylopia macrantha
Cecropia insignis
$\triangleleft$ Trema unidentified $209 \rightleftharpoons 308$
Inga thibaudiana
Chamguava schippii
Piper playablancanum $140 \rightleftharpoons 230$
$\triangle$ Inga unidentified $215 \rightleftharpoons 308$
Cecropia obtusifolia
Protium stevensonii

## Guarea bullata $34 \approx 70$

Cupania seemannii
Piper culebranum $123 \rightleftharpoons 21$.
Virola sebifera $22 \rightleftharpoons 40$
Cespedesia spathulata
Piper cabagranum 98 $\rightleftharpoons 170$
Erythrina costaricensis $103 \rightleftharpoons 178$
Hasseltia floribunda $37 \rightleftharpoons 77$
Xylosma oligandra $97 \rightleftharpoons 165$
$\checkmark$ Geonoma interrupta $228=308$
$\triangleleft$ Koanophyllon wetmorei $231 \rightleftharpoons 308$
Conostegia cinnamomea $85 \rightleftharpoons 135$
Bactris coloniata $116 \rightleftharpoons 188$
Solanum asperumb
Psychotria graciliflora
3 Anaxagorea panamensis
4 Psychotria tenuifolia 241 $=308$
Garcinia recondita
Psychotria limonensis
Aegiphila panamensis $143 \rightleftharpoons 215$

## Pourouma bicolor

## Flipbooks：

# Twitter： <br> instrument－flipbook－1－rank－div．pdf䀠 <br> instrument－flipbook－2－probability－div．pdf <br> instrument－flipbook－3－gen－entropy－div．pdf 䀠 $^{\text {ind }}$ 

## ，Market caps：

instrument－flipbook－4－marketcaps－6years－rank－div．pdf䀠
8 Baby names：
instrument－flipbook－5－babynames－girls－50years－rank－div．pdf䀠 instrument－flipbook－6－babynames－boys－50years－rank－div．pdf目

R Google books：
instrument－flipbook－7－google－books－onegrams－rank－div．pdf䀠 instrument－flipbook－8－google－books－bigrams－rank－div．pdf instrument－flipbook－9－google－books－trigrams－rank－div．pdff

## Flipbooks：

Pride and Prejudice， 1 －grams
Pride and Prejudice，2－grams
Pride and Prejudice， 3 －grams 眼 $^{\text {a }}$
Twitter， 1 －grams
Twitter，2－grams 䀠 $^{2}$
Twitter，3－grams
Barro Colorado İsland 䀠

## Code:

Probability
turbulence divergence
https://gitlab.com/compstorylab/allotaxonometer

Claims, exaggerations, reminders:
Needed for comparing large-scale complex systems: Comprehendible, dynamically-adjusting, differential dashboards
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## Claims, exaggerations, reminders:

Needed for comparing large-scale complex systems:
Comprehendible, dynamically-adjusting, differential dashboards

Many measures seem poorly motivated and largely unexamined (e.g., JSD)
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Of value: Combining big-picture maps with ranked lists
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\& Of value: Combining big-picture maps with ranked lists
B
Maybe one day: Online tunable version of rank-turbulence divergence (plus many other instruments)


Instrument: Rank-Turbulence Divergence

$D_{\infty}^{\mathrm{R}}\left(\Omega_{1}-\Omega_{2}\right)=0.926$
$\Omega_{2}$ : Baby girl names in 2018
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