

Optimal Supply Networks I: Branching

Last updated: 2019/01/14, 23:14:28

Complex Networks | @networksvox
CSYS/MATH 303, Spring, 2019

Prof. Peter Dodds | @peterdodds

Dept. of Mathematics & Statistics | Vermont Complex Systems Center
Vermont Advanced Computing Core | University of Vermont



COcoNuTS
@networksvox

Optimal Supply
Networks I

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References



These slides are brought to you by:

COcoNuTS
@networksvox

Optimal Supply
Networks I

Sealie & Lambie
Productions



Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References




These slides are also brought to you by:

COcoNuTS
@networksvox

Optimal Supply
Networks I

Special Guest Executive Producer






Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References



 On Instagram at [pratchett_the_cat](https://www.instagram.com/pratchett_the_cat) 



Outline

COcoNuTS
@networksvox

Optimal Supply
Networks I

Optimal transportation

Optimal
transportation

Optimal branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

Optimal
branching

Murray's law


Murray meets Tokunaga


References

References




What's the best way to distribute stuff?

 Stuff = medical services, energy, people, ...

 **Some** fundamental network problems:


1. Distribute stuff from a **single source** to **many sinks**
2. Distribute stuff from **many sources** to many sinks
3. **Redistribute** stuff between nodes that are both sources and sinks

 Supply and Collection are equivalent problems



Single source optimal supply

Basic question for distribution/supply networks:

 How does flow behave given cost:


$$C = \sum_j I_j^\gamma Z_j$$

where

I_j = current on link j

and

Z_j = link j 's impedance?

 Example: $\gamma = 2$ for electrical networks.

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching


Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References




Single source optimal supply



(a) $\gamma > 1$: Braided (bulk) flow

(b) $\gamma < 1$: Local minimum: Branching flow

(c) $\gamma < 1$: Global minimum: Branching flow

 Note: This is a single source supplying a region.

From Bohn and Magnasco ^[3]


See also Banavar *et al.* ^[1]: "Topology of the Fittest Transportation Network"; focus is on presence or absence of loops—same story





Single source optimal supply

COcoNuTS
@networksvox

Optimal Supply
Networks I

Optimal paths related to transport (Monge)
problems 



Optimal
transportation


Optimal
branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References



“Optimal paths related to transport
problems” 

Qinglan Xia,
Communications in Contemporary
Mathematics, **5**, 251–279, 2003. ^[19]




Growing networks—two parameter model: [20]

COCoNuTS
@networksvox

Optimal Supply
Networks I

FIGURE 1. $\alpha = 0.6, \beta = 0.5$





Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References


 Parameters control impedance ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and angles of junctions ($0 < \beta$)

 For this example: $\alpha = 0.6$ and $\beta = 0.5$



Growing networks: [20]

FIGURE 3. A maple leaf




Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga



References

 Top: $\alpha = 0.66$, $\beta = 0.38$; Bottom: $\alpha = 0.66$, $\beta = 0.70$





Single source optimal supply

An immensely controversial issue ...

-  The form of natural branching networks:
Random, optimal, or some
combination? [6, 18, 2, 5, 4]
-  River networks, blood networks, trees, ...

Two observations:

-  Self-similar networks appear everywhere in nature
for single source supply/single sink collection.
-  Real networks differ in **details of scaling** but
reasonably agree in **scaling relations**.

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching



Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References





Optimality:

-  Optimal channel networks^[13]
-  Thermodynamic analogy^[14]

versus ...

Randomness:

-  Scheidegger's directed random networks
-  Undirected random networks

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga


References



Optimization—Murray's law

COcoNuTS
@networksvox

Optimal Supply
Networks I



Murray's law (1926)
connects branch radii at
forks: [11, 10, 12, 7, 16]

$$r_0^3 = r_1^3 + r_2^3$$

where r_0 = radius of main
branch, and r_1 and r_2 are
radii of sub-branches.

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References



Holds up well for outer branchings of blood networks.



Also found to hold for trees [12, 8] when xylem is not a supporting structure [9].



See D'Arcy Thompson's "On Growth and Form" for background and general inspiration [15, 16].






Use hydraulic equivalent of Ohm's law:

$$\Delta p = \Phi Z \Leftrightarrow V = IR$$

where Δp = pressure difference, Φ = flux.



Fluid mechanics: Poiseuille impedance for smooth Poiseuille flow in a tube of radius r and length l :

$$Z = \frac{8\eta l}{\pi r^4}$$



η = dynamic viscosity (units: $ML^{-1}T^{-1}$).



Power required to overcome impedance:

$$P_{\text{drag}} = \Phi \Delta p = \Phi^2 Z.$$




Also have rate of energy expenditure in maintaining blood given metabolic constant c :


$$P_{\text{metabolic}} = cr^2 l$$





Optimization—Murray's law


Aside on P_{drag}

 Work done = $F \cdot d$ = energy transferred by force F

 Power = P = rate work is done = $F \cdot v$

 Δp = Force per unit area


 Φ = Volume per unit time
= cross-sectional area \cdot velocity

 So $\Phi \Delta p$ = Force \cdot velocity





Optimization—Murray's law



Murray's law:

 Total power (cost):

$$P = P_{\text{drag}} + P_{\text{metabolic}} = \Phi^2 \frac{8\eta\ell}{\pi r^4} + cr^2\ell$$

 Observe power increases linearly with ℓ

 But r 's effect is nonlinear:

-  increasing r makes flow easier **but increases metabolic cost** (as r^2)
-  decreasing r decrease metabolic cost **but impedance goes up** (as r^{-4})

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching


Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References




Optimization—Murray's law

Murray's law:

 Minimize P with respect to r :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\Phi^2 \frac{8\eta\ell}{\pi r^4} + cr^2\ell \right) \\ &= -4\Phi^2 \frac{8\eta\ell}{\pi r^5} + c2r\ell = 0\end{aligned}$$

 Rearrange/cancel/slap:

$$\Phi^2 = \frac{c\pi r^6}{16\eta} = k^2 r^6$$

where $k = \text{constant}$.

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching


Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References




Optimization—Murray's law

Murray's law:


 So we now have:

$$\Phi = kr^3$$

 Flow rates at each branching have to add up (else our organism is in serious trouble ...):

$$\Phi_0 = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2$$

where again 0 refers to the main branch and 1 and 2 refers to the offspring branches

 All of this means we have a groovy cube-law:

$$r_0^3 = r_1^3 + r_2^3$$

Optimal
transportation


Optimal
branching


Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References




Murray meets Tokunaga:


 Φ_ω = volume rate of flow into an order ω vessel segment

 Tokunaga picture:

$$\Phi_\omega = 2\Phi_{\omega-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\omega-1} T_k \Phi_{\omega-k}$$

 Using $\phi_\omega = kr_\omega^3$

$$r_\omega^3 = 2r_{\omega-1}^3 + \sum_{k=1}^{\omega-1} T_k r_{\omega-k}^3$$

 Find Horton ratio for vessel radius $R_r = r_\omega/r_{\omega-1} \dots$

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching


Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga


References



Murray meets Tokunaga:

-  Find R_r^3 satisfies same equation as R_n and R_v
(v is for volume):

$$R_r^3 = R_n = R_v$$

-  Is there more we could do here to constrain the
Horton ratios and Tokunaga constants?



Murray meets Tokunaga:

Isometry: $V_\omega \propto \ell_\omega^3$

Gives

$$R_\ell^3 = R_r^3 = R_n = R_v$$

We need one more constraint ...

West *et al.* (1997)^[18] achieve similar results following Horton's laws (but this work is disaster).

So does Turcotte *et al.* (1998)^[17] using Tokunaga (sort of).

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching




Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References



References I

- [1] J. R. Banavar, F. Colaiori, A. Flammini, A. Maritan, and A. Rinaldo.
Topology of the fittest transportation network.
[Phys. Rev. Lett., 84:4745–4748, 2000. pdf](#) 
- [2] J. R. Banavar, A. Maritan, and A. Rinaldo.
Size and form in efficient transportation networks.
[Nature, 399:130–132, 1999. pdf](#) 
- [3] S. Bohn and M. O. Magnasco.
Structure, scaling, and phase transition in the optimal transport network.
[Phys. Rev. Lett., 98:088702, 2007. pdf](#) 

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References



References II

- [4] P. S. Dodds.
Optimal form of branching supply and collection networks.
[Phys. Rev. Lett., 104\(4\):048702, 2010. pdf](#)
- [5] P. S. Dodds and D. H. Rothman.
Geometry of river networks. I. Scaling, fluctuations, and deviations.
[Physical Review E, 63\(1\):016115, 2001. pdf](#)
- [6] J. W. Kirchner.
Statistical inevitability of Horton's laws and the apparent randomness of stream channel networks.
[Geology, 21:591-594, 1993. pdf](#)

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References



References III

- [7] P. La Barbera and R. Rosso.
Reply.
[Water Resources Research](#), 26(9):2245–2248,
1990. pdf ↗
- [8] K. A. McCulloh, J. S. Sperry, and F. R. Adler.
Water transport in plants obeys Murray's law.
[Nature](#), 421:939–942, 2003. pdf ↗
- [9] K. A. McCulloh, J. S. Sperry, and F. R. Adler.
Murray's law and the hydraulic vs mechanical
functioning of wood.
[Functional Ecology](#), 18:931–938, 2004. pdf ↗
- [10] C. D. Murray.
The physiological principle of minimum work
applied to the angle of branching of arteries.
[J. Gen. Physiol.](#), 9(9):835–841, 1926. pdf ↗



References IV

[11] C. D. Murray.

The physiological principle of minimum work. I.
The vascular system and the cost of blood
volume.

[Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 12:207-214, 1926. pdf](#) ↗

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References

[12] C. D. Murray.

A relationship between circumference and weight
in trees and its bearing on branching angles.

[J. Gen. Physiol., 10:725-729, 1927. pdf](#) ↗


[13] I. Rodríguez-Iturbe and A. Rinaldo.

Fractal River Basins: Chance and
Self-Organization.

[Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK,
1997.](#)



References V

- [14] A. E. Scheidegger.
Theoretical Geomorphology.
Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 1991.
- [15] D. W. Thompson.
On Growth and Form.
Cambridge University Pres, Great Britain, 2nd
edition, 1952.
- [16] D. W. Thompson.
On Growth and Form — Abridged Edition.
Cambridge University Press, Great Britain, 1961.
- [17] D. L. Turcotte, J. D. Pelletier, and W. I. Newman.
Networks with side branching in biology.
Journal of Theoretical Biology, 193:577–592, 1998.
[pdf](#) 

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References



References VI

- [18] G. B. West, J. H. Brown, and B. J. Enquist.
A general model for the origin of allometric
scaling laws in biology.

[Science](#), 276:122–126, 1997. pdf ↗

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

- [19] Q. Xia.
Optimal paths related to transport problems.
[Communications in Contemporary Mathematics](#),
5:251–279, 2003. pdf ↗

References

- [20] Q. Xia.
The formation of a tree leaf.
[ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of
Variations](#), 13:359–377, 2007. pdf ↗

