

Optimal Supply Networks I: Branching

Last updated: 2018/03/23, 20:59:06

Complex Networks | @networksvox
CSYS/MATH 303, Spring, 2018

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References

Prof. Peter Dodds | @peterdodds

Dept. of Mathematics & Statistics | Vermont Complex Systems Center
Vermont Advanced Computing Core | University of Vermont



Licensed under the *Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License*.



These slides are brought to you by:

COcoNuTS

Sealie & Lambie
Productions



Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga


References



These slides are also brought to you by:

COcoNuTS

Special Guest Executive Producer





Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References

 On Instagram at [pratchett_the_cat](https://www.instagram.com/pratchett_the_cat) 



Outline

COcoNuTS

Optimal transportation

Optimal
transportation

Optimal branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

Optimal
branching

Murray's law


Murray meets Tokunaga


References

References




What's the best way to distribute stuff?

 Stuff = medical services, energy, people, ...

 **Some** fundamental network problems:


1. Distribute stuff from a **single source** to **many sinks**
2. Distribute stuff from **many sources** to many sinks
3. **Redistribute** stuff between nodes that are both sources and sinks

 Supply and Collection are equivalent problems



Single source optimal supply

Basic question for distribution/supply networks:

 How does flow behave given cost:


$$C = \sum_j I_j^\gamma Z_j$$

where

I_j = current on link j


and

Z_j = link j 's impedance?

 Example: $\gamma = 2$ for electrical networks.




Single source optimal supply



(a) $\gamma > 1$: Braided (bulk) flow

(b) $\gamma < 1$: Local minimum: Branching flow

(c) $\gamma < 1$: Global minimum: Branching flow

 Note: This is a single source supplying a region.

From Bohn and Magnasco ^[3]

See also Banavar *et al.* ^[1]: “Topology of the Fittest Transportation Network”; focus is on presence or absence of loops—same story

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga



References



Single source optimal supply

COcoNuTS

Optimal paths related to transport (Monge)
problems ↗:



Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References




“Optimal paths related to transport
problems” ↗

Qinglan Xia,
Communications in Contemporary
Mathematics, **5**, 251–279, 2003. ^[19]



Growing networks—two parameter model: [20]

FIGURE 1. $\alpha = 0.6, \beta = 0.5$





Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga


References

 Parameters control impedance ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) and angles of junctions ($0 < \beta$)

 For this example: $\alpha = 0.6$ and $\beta = 0.5$

Growing networks: [20]

FIGURE 3. A maple leaf




Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References

 Top: $\alpha = 0.66$, $\beta = 0.38$; Bottom: $\alpha = 0.66$, $\beta = 0.70$

Single source optimal supply

An immensely controversial issue ...

- 🧱 The form of natural branching networks:
Random, optimal, or some
combination? [6, 18, 2, 5, 4]
- 🧱 River networks, blood networks, trees, ...

Two observations:

- 🧱 Self-similar networks appear everywhere in nature
for single source supply/single sink collection.
- 🧱 Real networks differ in details of scaling but
reasonably agree in scaling relations.

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching



Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References





Optimality:

-  Optimal channel networks^[13]
-  Thermodynamic analogy^[14]

versus ...

Randomness:

-  Scheidegger's directed random networks
-  Undirected random networks

Optimal
transportation


Optimal
branching


Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References








 Murray's law (1926) connects branch radii at forks: [11, 10, 12, 7, 16]

$$r_0^3 = r_1^3 + r_2^3$$

where r_0 = radius of main branch, and r_1 and r_2 are radii of sub-branches.

-  Holds up well for outer branchings of blood networks.
-  Also found to hold for trees [12, 8] when xylem is not a supporting structure [9].
-  See D'Arcy Thompson's "On Growth and Form" for background and general inspiration [15, 16].

Optimal transportation

Optimal branching

Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References





Use hydraulic equivalent of Ohm's law:

$$\Delta p = \Phi Z \Leftrightarrow V = IR$$


where Δp = pressure difference, Φ = flux.

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References



Fluid mechanics: Poiseuille impedance for smooth Poiseuille flow in a tube of radius r and length l :

$$Z = \frac{8\eta l}{\pi r^4}$$



η = dynamic viscosity (units: $ML^{-1}T^{-1}$).



Power required to overcome impedance:

$$P_{\text{drag}} = \Phi \Delta p = \Phi^2 Z.$$




Also have rate of energy expenditure in maintaining blood given metabolic constant c :


$$P_{\text{metabolic}} = cr^2 l$$





Optimization—Murray's law

Aside on P_{drag}

 Work done = $F \cdot d$ = energy transferred by force F

 Power = P = rate work is done = $F \cdot v$

 Δp = Force per unit area


 Φ = Volume per unit time
= cross-sectional area \cdot velocity

 So $\Phi \Delta p$ = Force \cdot velocity




Optimization—Murray's law



Murray's law:

 Total power (cost):

$$P = P_{\text{drag}} + P_{\text{metabolic}} = \Phi^2 \frac{8\eta\ell}{\pi r^4} + cr^2\ell$$

 Observe power increases linearly with ℓ

 But r 's effect is nonlinear:

-  increasing r makes flow easier **but increases metabolic cost** (as r^2)
-  decreasing r decrease metabolic cost **but impedance goes up** (as r^{-4})

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law


Murray meets Tokunaga

References




Optimization—Murray's law

Murray's law:

 Minimize P with respect to r :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial P}{\partial r} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\Phi^2 \frac{8\eta\ell}{\pi r^4} + cr^2\ell \right) \\ &= -4\Phi^2 \frac{8\eta\ell}{\pi r^5} + c2r\ell = 0\end{aligned}$$

 Rearrange/cancel/slap:

$$\Phi^2 = \frac{c\pi r^6}{16\eta} = k^2 r^6$$

where $k = \text{constant}$.

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching


Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References




Optimization—Murray's law

Murray's law:


 So we now have:

$$\Phi = kr^3$$

 Flow rates at each branching have to add up (else our organism is in serious trouble ...):

$$\Phi_0 = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2$$

where again 0 refers to the main branch and 1 and 2 refers to the offspring branches

 All of this means we have a groovy cube-law:

$$r_0^3 = r_1^3 + r_2^3$$

Optimal
transportation


Optimal
branching


Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References




Murray meets Tokunaga:


 Φ_ω = volume rate of flow into an order ω vessel segment

 Tokunaga picture:

$$\Phi_\omega = 2\Phi_{\omega-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\omega-1} T_k \Phi_{\omega-k}$$

 Using $\phi_\omega = kr_\omega^3$

$$r_\omega^3 = 2r_{\omega-1}^3 + \sum_{k=1}^{\omega-1} T_k r_{\omega-k}^3$$

 Find Horton ratio for vessel radius $R_r = r_\omega / r_{\omega-1} \dots$

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching


Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga


References



Murray meets Tokunaga:

-  Find R_r^3 satisfies same equation as R_n and R_v
(v is for volume):

$$R_r^3 = R_n = R_v$$

-  Is there more we could do here to constrain the
Horton ratios and Tokunaga constants?



Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References

Murray meets Tokunaga:

Isometry: $V_\omega \propto \ell_\omega^3$

Gives




$$R_\ell^3 = R_r^3 = R_n = R_v$$

We need one more constraint ...

West *et al.* (1997)^[18] achieve similar results following Horton's laws (but this work is disaster).

So does Turcotte *et al.* (1998)^[17] using Tokunaga (sort of).



- [1] J. R. Banavar, F. Colaiori, A. Flammini, A. Maritan, and A. Rinaldo.
Topology of the fittest transportation network.
[Phys. Rev. Lett., 84:4745–4748, 2000. pdf](#) 
- [2] J. R. Banavar, A. Maritan, and A. Rinaldo.
Size and form in efficient transportation networks.
[Nature, 399:130–132, 1999. pdf](#) 
- [3] S. Bohn and M. O. Magnasco.
Structure, scaling, and phase transition in the optimal transport network.
[Phys. Rev. Lett., 98:088702, 2007. pdf](#) 

Optimal
transportation




Optimal
branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References



- [4] P. S. Dodds.
Optimal form of branching supply and collection networks.
[Phys. Rev. Lett., 104\(4\):048702, 2010. pdf](#) 
- [5] P. S. Dodds and D. H. Rothman.
Geometry of river networks. I. Scaling, fluctuations, and deviations.
[Physical Review E, 63\(1\):016115, 2001. pdf](#) 
- [6] J. W. Kirchner.
Statistical inevitability of Horton's laws and the apparent randomness of stream channel networks.
[Geology, 21:591-594, 1993. pdf](#) 

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law
Murray meets Tokunaga

References



References III

- [7] P. La Barbera and R. Rosso.
Reply.
[Water Resources Research](#), 26(9):2245–2248,
1990. pdf ↗
- [8] K. A. McCulloh, J. S. Sperry, and F. R. Adler.
Water transport in plants obeys Murray's law.
[Nature](#), 421:939–942, 2003. pdf ↗
- [9] K. A. McCulloh, J. S. Sperry, and F. R. Adler.
Murray's law and the hydraulic vs mechanical
functioning of wood.
[Functional Ecology](#), 18:931–938, 2004. pdf ↗
- [10] C. D. Murray.
The physiological principle of minimum work
applied to the angle of branching of arteries.
[J. Gen. Physiol.](#), 9(9):835–841, 1926. pdf ↗

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References



- [11] C. D. Murray.
The physiological principle of minimum work. I.
The vascular system and the cost of blood
volume.
[Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 12:207–214, 1926. pdf](#) ↗
- [12] C. D. Murray.
A relationship between circumference and weight
in trees and its bearing on branching angles.
[J. Gen. Physiol., 10:725–729, 1927. pdf](#) ↗
- [13] I. Rodríguez-Iturbe and A. Rinaldo.
Fractal River Basins: Chance and
Self-Organization.
Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK,
1997.

Optimal
transportation


Optimal
branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References



- [14] A. E. Scheidegger.
Theoretical Geomorphology.
Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 1991.
- [15] D. W. Thompson.
On Growth and Form.
Cambridge University Pres, Great Britain, 2nd
edition, 1952.
- [16] D. W. Thompson.
On Growth and Form — Abridged Edition.
Cambridge University Press, Great Britain, 1961.
- [17] D. L. Turcotte, J. D. Pelletier, and W. I. Newman.
Networks with side branching in biology.
Journal of Theoretical Biology, 193:577–592, 1998.
[pdf](#) 

Optimal
transportation

Optimal
branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References



[18] G. B. West, J. H. Brown, and B. J. Enquist.
A general model for the origin of allometric
scaling laws in biology.
[Science](#), 276:122–126, 1997. pdf ↗

Optimal
transportationOptimal
branching

Murray's law

Murray meets Tokunaga

References

[19] Q. Xia.
Optimal paths related to transport problems.
[Communications in Contemporary Mathematics](#),
5:251–279, 2003. pdf ↗

[20] Q. Xia.
The formation of a tree leaf.
[ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of
Variations](#), 13:359–377, 2007. pdf ↗

