

Dept. of Mathematics & Statistics | Vermont Complex Systems Center Vermont Advanced Computing Core | University of Vermont



Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License.

COcoNuTS @networksvox Pollyanna Principle

Pollyanna Principle

English is happy

10 languages

Text parsing

Corpus generation

References

Extras

Outline

**Pollyanna Principle** 

English is happy

10 languages

Extras

Corpora

Text parsing

Corpus generation

References

ି 🕷

These slides are brought to you by:

COcoNuTS @networksvox Pollyanna Principle

Pollvanna

Principle

Extras

Corpora

English is happy

10 languages

Text parsing



### These slides are also brought to you by:

#### Special Guest Executive Producer



On Instagram at pratchett the cat



IVM 8 



Pollyanna Principle English is happy 10 languages Extras Corpora

Text parsing Corpus generation

References





わくひ 3 of 53





COcoNuTS

Pollyanna Principle

Pollyanna Principle

English is happy

10 languages

Extras Corpora

@networksvox

ି 🐘

COcoNuTS network Pollyanna Principle

Pollyanna Principle

English is happy

10 languages

Text parsing

Corpus generation

References

Extras

Corpora

function property states a	-
12000/0101000	207201288
and conversion	
Received and the rest	
46.70/2010/01/01/01	
1911 - 1946 - 1946 - 1945 - 1945 - 1945 1921 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946 - 1946	
nation of the second se	
NORMAL CONTRACTORS	

"Human language reveals a universal positivity bias" Dodds et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 112, 2389-2394, 2015. [2]



**in S** 

•୨ < C • 5 of 53

COcoNuTS @networksvox Pollyanna Principle

## Pollyanna Principle

English is happy 10 languages Extras Corpora Text parsing

Corpus generation References



#### **IIII** |

#### Data we've generated: 🗞 English plus nine other languages.

Basic question:

language?

🗞 Key: incorporate word usage frequency (= size).

🗞 What's the distribution of emotional content of the atoms of

Who are we? Stories we tell about how we should/could/must behave vary

enormously.

Basic observations:

lainism to Rand's Objectivism.

🗞 Language is our great social technology.

language.









#### English's scale-invariant, positive bias:<sup>[8]</sup>



- 🚳 Social organism story manifested in language.
- Pollyanna Hypothesis: Interactions are predominantly positive

COcoNuTS @networksvox Pollyanna Principle

Pollyanna Principle

English is happy

10 language

Text parsing

Corpus generation

References

ି 🕷

COcoNuTS

Pollyanna Principle

Pollyanna Principle

English is happy

10 languages

Text parsing

Corpus generation

References

IVM 8

•੭ < C+ 8 of 53

Extras

Corpora

Extras

- Positive anchor of concepts: Unhappy but not unsad.
- 🗞 Many ways for things to go wrong: "All happy families are alike; each unhappy family is unhappy in its own way."
- 🗞 Guns, Germs, and Steel [1] invokes the Anna Karenina Principle 📿
- But: must account for frequency of word usage ...





COcoNuTS orksvox Pollyanna Principle Pollyanna Principle English is happy 10 languages Extras Corpora Text parsing Corpus generation References

> ୍ଲା 👸 •) < (~ 9 of 53



Global press including National Geographic Top 100 altmetric article, 2015 🖸

### Good buzz according to Altmetric ... (report is no longer findable):

#### COcoNuTS @networksvox Pollyanna Principle

#### As of May 7, 2015:

- \lambda Altmetric Score: 772.
- 🗞 Ranked 3rd out of 933 articles published in PNAS surrounding 12 weeks.
- 🗞 Ranked 24nd out of 34,050 articles in PNAS all time. (Mean score 13.5.)
- Ranked 60th out of all 109,841 tracked articles published in surrounding 12 weeks.
- 🗞 Ranked 459th out of 3,724,005 tracked articles all time.

This doesn't mean it's a good article ... but it is.

Spanish: Google Web Crawl	
Spanish: Google Books	
Spanish: Twitter	
Portuguese: Google Web Crawl	
Portuguese: Twitter	
English: Google Books	
English: New York Times	
German: Google Web Crawl	
French: Google Web Crawl	
English: Twitter	
Indonesian: Movie subtitles	
German: Twitter	
Russian: Twitter	
French: Google Books	
German: Google Books	
French: Twitter	
Russian: Movie and TV subtitles	
Arabic: Movie and TV subtitles	
Indonesian: Twitter	
Korean: Twitter	
Russian: Google Books	
English: Music Lyrics	
Korean: Movie subtitles	
Chinese: Google Books	
- 1	23456789
	h
	avg

English is happy 10 languages Extras

Pollyanna Principle

Corpora Text parsing Corpus generation References



IVM 00 

COcoNuTS @netwo Pollyanna Principle

Pollyanna Principle

English is happy 10 languages Extras Corpora

Text parsing Corpus generation References



· 8 

9





#### COcoNuTS @networksvox Pollyanna Principle

Pollyanna Principle English is happy 10 languages Extras Text parsing Corpus generation References





COcoNuTS

Pollyanna Principle

Pollvanna

English is happy

10 languages Extras Corpora Text parsing

Principle

#### No one understands anything: A revealing letter and our reply:

1	
5	The language dependent of elements how and
Contraction of the local division of the loc	
	Electron developments

"Language-dependent relationship between word happiness and frequency" Garcia, Garas, and Schweitzer Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., , , 2015. [7]

'Reply to Garcia et al.: Common mistakes in measuring requency dependent word characteristics" 🗹 Dodds et al., Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., , , 2015. [4]

Full version here: http://arxiv.org/abs/1406.3855

#### Abstract:

The concerns expressed by Garcia et al. <sup>[7]</sup> are misplaced due to a range of misconceptions about word usage frequency, word rank, and expert-constructed word lists such as LIWC <sup>[11]</sup>. We provide a complete response in our paper's online appendices  $\ensuremath{^{[3]}}$  .



- "unique" (h<sub>avg</sub>=6.98),
- "negative" (h<sub>avg</sub>=2.42), 8
- 8
- "worst" ( $h_{avg}$ =2.10).

Common scientific sense for text analysis: Always look at the words.

Corpus generation References





COcoNuTS orksvox Pollyanna Principle

Pollyanna Principle English is happy

10 languages Extras

Corpora

Text parsing Corpus generation





#### More LIWC function words:

$h_{avg}$	Neutral	$h_{avg}$	Low	$h_{avg}$
billion 7.56		5.04	wouldnt	3.86
7.38	other	5.04	not	3.86
7.30	into	5.04	shouldn't	3.84
7.26	theyre	5.04	none	3.84
7.26	it	5.02	haven't	3.82
7.18	some	5.02	wouldn't	3.78
7.18	where	5.02	fewer	3.72
7.08	themselves	5.02	lacking	3.71
6.98	im	5.02	won't	3.70
6.98	quarterly	5.02	wasnt	3.70
6.86	ive	5.02	dont	3.70
6.84	because	5.00	don't	3.70
6.82	whereas	5.00	down	3.66
6.76	id	5.00	nobody	3.64
6.68	til	5.00	doesn't	3.62
6.68	the	4.98	couldnt	3.58
6.60	to	4.98	without	3.54
6.58	by	4.98	no	3.48
6.54	or	4.98	cant	3.48
6.52	part	4.98	zero	3.44
6.44	rather	4.98	against	3.40
simply 6.42		4.96	never	3.34
6.40	when	4.96	cannot	3.32
6.39	perhaps	4.96	lack	3.16
6.38	yall	4.96	negative	2.42
6.34	of	4.94	worst	2.10
	<i>h</i> avg 7.56 7.38 7.30 7.26 7.18 7.18 7.18 7.18 7.08 6.98 6.86 6.82 6.68 6.68 6.68 6.68 6.68 6.6	have Neutral   7.56 been   7.30 into   7.26 theyr   7.26 theyr   7.26 theyr   7.18 some   7.18 where   7.08 quarterly   6.98 quarterly   6.86 ide   6.84 because   6.76 id   6.68 the   6.68 the   6.68 to   6.52 part   6.44 rather   6.52 part   6.44 rather   6.42 its   6.40 when   6.39 perhaps   6.34 of		

COcoNuTS @networksvox Pollyanna Principle

#### Pollyanna Principle English is happy 10 languages

Extras Corpora Text parsing Corpus generation

References







#### The jellyfish knows:



Scatterplot of  $h_{avg}$  as a function of word usage frequency for the English Google Books word list generated by Garcia *et al.*. Uncontrolled subsampling of lower frequency words yields a The generative of the second around rank 5000, the involved lexicon size for Google Books in labMT <sup>[3, 6]</sup>. B. and Scatterplot of  $h_{\text{avg}}$  as a function of rank r for the 5000 words for Google Books contributing

scatter prot or  $n_{avg}$  as a function of rank r for the 5000 words for Google Books contributing to labMT, the basis of our jellyfish plots  ${[3]}$ . C. Same data as **B** plotted against f. Linear regression fits for the first two scatterplots are  $h_{avg}\simeq 0.08910g_{10}f+4.85$  and  $h_{avg}\simeq -3.04\times 10^{-5}r+5.62$  (as reported in  ${[3]}$ ). Note difference in signs, and the far weaker trend for the statistically appropriate regression against rank in **B**. Pearson correlation coefficients: +0.105, -0.042, and -0.043 with p-values  $6.15\times 10^{-26}$ ,  $3.03\times 10^{-3}$ , and  $2.57\times 10^{-3}$ , sparam correlation coefficients: +0.201, -0.013, and -0.013 with p-values  $6.37\times 10^{-92}$ , 0.350, and 0.350.

- 🗞 Linguistic positivity bias holds for 10 major languages.
- 🗞 Spread across 24 corpora: books, news, social media, movie titles, ...
- languages and evaluating groups spread around the world.
- Diverse in language origins.

Nutshell:

- 🗞 Language appears to reflect social, cooperative tendency of people.
- Negative emotion is more variable—must be specific, Tolstoyfully.

COcoNuTS @networksvo Pollyanna Principle

Pollyanna Principle

English is happy

10 languages Extras

Corpora Text parsing

Corpus generation References



IVM 00 •⊃ < へ 24 of 53



わくひ 20 of 53

Corpus:	# Words	Reference(s
English: Twitter	5000	[ <b>?</b> , 6]
English: Google Books Project	5000	[10]
English: The New York Times	5000	[12]
English: Music lyrics	5000	[5]
Portuguese: Google Web Crawl	7133	[?]
Portuguese: Twitter	7119	[?]
Spanish: Google Web Crawl	7189	[?]
Spanish: Twitter	6415	[?]
Spanish: Google Books Project	6379	[10]
French: Google Web Crawl	7056	[?]
French: Twitter	6569	[?]
French: Google Books Project	6192	[10] MITRE
Indonesian: Twitter	7044	[?]
Indonesian: Movie subtitles	6726	MITRE
Russian: Twitter	6575	[?]
Russian: Google Books Project	5980	[10]
Russian: Movie and TV subtitles	6186	[?]
German: Google Web Crawl	6902	[?]
German: Twitter	6459	[?]
German: Google Books Project	6097	[10]
Korean: Twitter	6728	[?]
Korean: Movie subtitles	5389	MITRE
Chinese: Google Books Project	10000	[10]

Language	Participants' location(s)	# of participants	Average words scored
English	US, India	384	1302
German	Germany	196	2551
Indonesian	Indonesia	146	3425
Russian	Russia	125	4000
Arabic	Egypt	185	2703
French	France	179	2793
Spanish	Mexico	236	2119
Portuguese	Brazil	208	2404
Simplified Chinese	China	128	3906
Korean	Korea, US	109	4587

Number and main country/countries of location for participants evaluating the 10,000 common words for each of the 10 languages we studied. Also recorded is the average number of words evaluated by each participant (rounded to the nearest integer). We note that each word received 50 evaluations from distinct individuals. The English word list was evaluated via Mechanical Turk for our initial study  $^{[9]}.$  The nine languages evaluated through Appen-Butler Hill yielded a higher participation rate likely due to better pay and the organization's quality of service.

We used the services of Appen Butler Hill (http://www.appen.com) for all word evaluations excluding English, for which we had earlier employed Mechanical Turk (https://www.mturk.com/ [9]).

English instructions were translated to all other languages and given to participants along with survey questions, and an example of the English instruction page is below. Non-english language experiments were conducted through a custom interactive website built by Appen Butler Hill, and all participants were required to pass a stringent aural proficiency test in their own language.

COcoNuTS networksvox Pollyanna Principle

Pollyanna Principle English is happy 10 languages Extras

Corpora Text parsing



IVM S  Measuring the Happiness of Words

Our overall aim is to assess how people feel about individual words. With this particula survey, we are focusing on the dual emotions of sadness and happiness. You are to rate 100 individual words on a 9 point unhappy-happy scale.

# Please consider each word carefully. If we determine that your ratings are rar otherwise inappropriately selected, or that any questions are left unanswered not approve your work. These words were chosen based on their common us result, a small portion of words may be offensive to some people, written in a

ompleting the word ratings, we ask that you answer a few short demographic s. We expect the entire survey to require 10 minutes of your time. Thank you questic for par



#### Demographic Questio

1. What is your gender? (Male/Female

- What is your age? (Free text) Which of the following best describes your highest achieved education Some High School, High School Graduate, Some college, no degree, degree, Bachelors degree, Graduate degree (Masters, Doctorate, etc.)
- What is the total income of your household?
- 5. Where are you from originally?
- 6. Where do you live currently?

Is \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ your first language? (Yes/No) If it is not, please specify what your first language is.

8. Do you have any comments or suggestions? (Free text)

Of our 24 corpora, we received 17 already parsed by the source: the Google Books Project (6 corpora), the Google Web Crawl (8 corpora), and Movie and TV

subtitles (3 corpora). For the other 7 corpora (Twitter,

by standard white space separation (more on Twitter

inflections and variable orthography. We have found

require a more sophisticated treatment going beyond

observed that allowing, say, different conjugation of verbs to stand in our corpora is valuable as human

evaluations of such have proved to be distinguishable

Twitter was easily the most variable and unruly of our

first checked if a string contains at least one valid utf8

text sources and required additional treatment. We

letter, discarding if not. Next we filtered out strings

symbols can be problematic. We ignored all strings

We ignored strings with a leading @ or &, or either

that start with < and end with > (generally html code).

preceded with standard punctuation (e.g., Twitter ID's),

but kept hashtags. We also removed all strings starting

with www. or http: or end in .com (all websites). We

punctuation, and we replaced all double quotes (") by

stripped the remaining strings of standard

alphabet letters to lowercase.

single quotes ('). Finally, we converted all Latin

containing invisible control characters, as these

merit in not collapsing related words, which would

the present paper's bounds. Moreover, we have

(e.g., present versus past tense <sup>[6]</sup>).

below). We acknowledge the many complications with

New York Times, and Music Lyrics), we extracted words

COcoNuTS @networksvox Pollyanna Principle

Pollyanna Principle English is happy 10 languages Extras

Corpora Text parsing

Corpus generation



COCONUTS netwo Pollyanna Principle

Pollvanna Principle English is happy 10 languages Extras Corpora

Text parsing Corpus generation



• へへ 29 of 53

COcoNuTS @networksvox Pollyanna Principle

Pollyanna Principle

English is happy 10 languages Extras

Corpora Text parsing Corpus generation



W 8  Tokenization example:

Term	count			
love	10			
LoVE	5		Term	count
love!	2	、	love	19
#love	3	$\rightarrow$	#love	3
.love	2		love87	1
@love	1	:		
love87	1			

The term '@love' is discarded, and all other terms map to either 'love' or 'love87

COcoNuTS @networksvox
Pollvanna

Pollyanna Principle

Pollyanna Principle English is happy 10 languages Extras Text parsing Corpus generation



	Spanisn	Portuguese	English	Indonesian	French	German	Arabic	Russian	Korean
Spanish	1.00	0.89	0.87	0.82	0.86	0.82	0.83	0.73	0.79
Portuguese	0.89	1.00	0.87	0.82	0.84	0.81	0.84	0.84	0.79
English	0.87	0.87	1.00	0.88	0.86	0.82	0.86	0.87	0.82
Indonesian	0.82	0.82	0.88	1.00	0.79	0.77	0.83	0.85	0.79
French	0.86	0.84	0.86	0.79	1.00	0.84	0.77	0.84	0.79
German	0.82	0.81	0.82	0.77	0.84	1.00	0.76	0.80	0.73
Arabic	0.83	0.84	0.86	0.83	0.77	0.76	1.00	0.83	0.79
Russian	0.73	0.84	0.87	0.85	0.84	0.80	0.83	1.00	0.80
Korean	0.79	0.79	0.82	0.79	0.79	0.73	0.79	0.80	1.00
Chinese	0.79	0.76	0.81	0.77	0.76	0.74	0.80	0.82	0.81

Pearson correlation coefficients for translation-stable words for all language pairs. All p-values are  $< 10^{-118}$ 

andeu, and an other	termsmap
וי	
•	

পৎে	31	of 53	

COcoNuTS @networksvox Pollyanna Principle

There is no single, principled way to merge corpora to create an ordered list of words for a given language. For example, it is impossible to weight the most commonly used words in the New York Times against those of Twitter. Nevertheless, we are obliged to choose some method for doing so to facilitate comparisons across languages and for the purposes of building adaptable linguistic instruments. For each language where we had more than one corpus, we created a single quasi-ranked word list by finding the smallest integer r such that the union of all words with rank  $\leq r$  in at least one corpus formed a set of at least 10,000 words.

Pollyanna Principle English is happy 10 languages Extras Corpora Text parsing Corpus generation Reference 0

IVM 8 わくひ 32 of 53

	Spanish	Portuguese	English	Indonesian	French	German	Arabic	Russian	Korean
Spanish	1.00	0.85	0.83	0.77	0.81	0.77	0.75	0.74	0.74
Portuguese	0.85	1.00	0.83	0.77	0.78	0.77	0.77	0.81	0.75
English	0.83	0.83	1.00	0.82	0.80	0.78	0.78	0.81	0.75
Indonesian	0.77	0.77	0.82	1.00	0.72	0.72	0.76	0.77	0.71
French	0.81	0.78	0.80	0.72	1.00	0.80	0.67	0.79	0.71
German	0.77	0.77	0.78	0.72	0.80	1.00	0.69	0.76	0.64
Arabic	0.75	0.77	0.78	0.76	0.67	0.69	1.00	0.74	0.69
Russian	0.74	0.81	0.81	0.77	0.79	0.76	0.74	1.00	0.70
Korean	0.74	0.75	0.75	0.71	0.71	0.64	0.69	0.70	1.00
Chinese	0.68	0.66	0.70	0.71	0.64	0.62	0.68	0.66	0.71

Spearman correlation coefficients for translation-stable words. All p-values are  $< 10^{-82}$ .

									8				
	Spanish	Portuguese	English	Indonesian	French	German	Arabic	Russian	5	X = 3494 44 - 4 24	X = 1042 A + . 8 20		A = 2875
Spanish	1.00, 0.00	1.01, 0.03	1.06, -0.07	1.22, -0.88	1.11, -0.24	1.22, -0.84	1.13, -0.22	1.31, -1.16	. ŭ	.ilh.			
Portuguese	0.99, -0.03	1.00, 0.00	1.04, -0.03	1.22, -0.97	1.11, -0.33	1.21, -0.86	1.09, -0.08	1.26, -0.95	· 8	X = 3204 A.v. 0.34	X = 2689 A v - 8-27	47 = 2471 44 + - 0.05	
English	0.94, 0.06	0.96, 0.03	1.00, 0.00	1.13, -0.66	1.06, -0.23	1.16, -0.75	1.05, -0.10	1.21, -0.91	· a	16	1 1.		
Indonesian	0.82, 0.72	0.82, 0.80	0.88, 0.58	1.00, 0.00	0.92, 0.48	0.99, 0.06	0.89, 0.71	1.02, 0.04	· *			_ الله	
French	0.90, 0.22	0.90, 0.30	0.94, 0.22	1.09, -0.52	1.00, 0.00	1.08, -0.44	0.99, 0.12	1.12, -0.50	· 5	Av. 0.30	452	4612	A0.04
German	0.82, 0.69	0.83, 0.71	0.86, 0.65	1.01, -0.06	0.92, 0.41	1.00, 0.00	0.91, 0.61	1.07, -0.25	- £	_h	1 .lh .	l II i	L I
Arabic	0.88, 0.19	0.92, 0.08	0.95, 0.10	1.12, -0.80	1.01, -0.12	1.10, -0.68	1.00, 0.00	1.12, -0.63	· ·	X = 2484	X = 2647		-V = 1943
Russian	0.76, 0.88	0.80, 0.75	0.83, 0.75	0.98, -0.04	0.89, 0.45	0.93, 0.24	0.89, 0.56	1.00, 0.00	· 6	r L			
Korean	0.62, 1.70	0.62, 1.81	0.66, 1.67	0.77, 1.17	0.73, 1.37	0.78, 1.12	0.71, 1.53	0.79, 1.10	8			alh.	
Chinese	0.63, 1.46	0.63, 1.51	0.68, 1.43	0.75, 1.07	0.71, 1.26	0.76, 1.03	0.70, 1.41	0.80, 0.84	· .	4 = 1384 4 = . 8. 51	X = 1247 A = .8.40	X = 1000 4 = -0.17	-W = 1345 -4 = .0.12
									<u> </u>	i li		h h	1

Reduced Major Axis (RMA) regression fits for row language as a linear function of the column language:  $h_{wg}^{(coul)}(w) = m \, h_{wg}^{(coulm)}(w) + c$  where w indicates a translation-stable word. Each entry in the table contains the coefficient pair m and c. We use RMA regression, also known as Standardized Major Axis linear regression, because of its accommodation of errors in both variables.



Pollyanna Principle English is happy 10 languages Extras





Histograms of the change in average happiness for translation-stable words between each language pair. The largest deviations correspond to strong changes in a word's perceived primary meaning (e.g., 'lying' and 'acostado'). The inset quantities are N, the number of translation-stable words, and  $\Delta$  is the average difference in translation-stable word happiness between the row language and column language.

**IM** 8 わへで 36 of 53

Language: Corpus	$\rho_{\rm p}$	<i>p</i> -value	$\rho_{s}$	<i>p</i> -value	α	β
Spanish: Google Web Crawl	-0.114	3.38×10 <sup>-22</sup>	-0.090	$1.85 \times 10^{-14}$	-5.55×10 <sup>-5</sup>	6.10
Spanish: Google Books	-0.040	$1.51 \times 10^{-3}$	-0.016	1.90×10 <sup>-1</sup>	-2.28×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.90
Spanish: Twitter	-0.048	$1.14 \times 10^{-4}$	-0.032	$1.10 \times 10^{-2}$	-3.10×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.94
Portuguese: Google Web Crawl	-0.085	6.33×10 <sup>-13</sup>	-0.060	3.23×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-3.98×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.96
Portuguese: Twitter	-0.041	$5.98 \times 10^{-4}$	-0.030	$1.15 \times 10^{-2}$	-2.40×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.73
English: Google Books	-0.042	3.03×10 <sup>-3</sup>	-0.013	$3.50 \times 10^{-1}$	-3.04×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.62
English: New York Times	-0.056	6.93×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-0.044	$1.99 \times 10^{-3}$	-4.17×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.61
German: Google Web Crawl	-0.096	$1.11 \times 10^{-15}$	-0.082	$6.75 \times 10^{-12}$	-3.67×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.65
French: Google Web Crawl	-0.105	9.20×10 <sup>-19</sup>	-0.080	$1.99 \times 10^{-11}$	-4.50×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.68
English: Twitter	-0.097	6.56×10 <sup>-12</sup>	-0.103	$2.37 \times 10^{-13}$	-7.78×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.67
Indonesian: Movie subtitles	-0.039	$1.48 \times 10^{-3}$	-0.063	2.45×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-2.04×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.45
German: Twitter	-0.054	$1.47 \times 10^{-5}$	-0.036	4.02×10 <sup>-3</sup>	-2.51×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.58
Russian: Twitter	-0.052	2.38×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-0.028	2.42×10 <sup>-2</sup>	$-2.55 \times 10^{-5}$	5.52
French: Google Books	-0.043	6.80×10 <sup>-4</sup>	-0.030	$1.71 \times 10^{-2}$	-2.31×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.49
German: Google Books	-0.003	8.12×10 <sup>-1</sup>	+0.014	$2.74 \times 10^{-1}$	-1.38×10 <sup>-6</sup>	5.45
French: Twitter	-0.049	6.08×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-0.023	6.31×10 <sup>-2</sup>	-2.54×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.54
Russian: Movie and TV subtitles	-0.029	2.36×10 <sup>-2</sup>	-0.033	9.17×10 <sup>-3</sup>	-1.57×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.43
Arabic: Movie and TV subtitles	-0.045	7.10×10 <sup>-6</sup>	-0.029	4.19×10 <sup>-3</sup>	-1.66×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.44
Indonesian: Twitter	-0.051	2.14×10 <sup>-5</sup>	-0.018	$1.24 \times 10^{-1}$	-2.50×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.46
Korean: Twitter	-0.032	8.29×10 <sup>-3</sup>	-0.016	1.91×10 <sup>-1</sup>	-1.24×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.38
Russian: Google Books	+0.030	2.09×10 <sup>-2</sup>	+0.070	5.08×10 <sup>-8</sup>	+1.20×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.35
English: Music Lyrics	-0.073	2.53×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-0.081	$1.05 \times 10^{-8}$	-6.12×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.45
Korean: Movie subtitles	-0.187	$8.22 \times 10^{-44}$	-0.180	$2.01 \times 10^{-40}$	-9.66×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.41
Chinese: Google Books	-0.067	$1.48 \times 10^{-11}$	-0.050	5.01×10 <sup>-7</sup>	-1.72×10 <sup>-5</sup>	5.21

Pearson correlation coefficients and p-values, Spearman correlation coefficients and p-values, and linear fit coefficients, for average word happiness  $h_{\rm avg}$  as a function of word usage frequency rank r. We use the fit is  $h_{\rm avg} = \alpha r + \beta$  for the most common S000 words in each corpora, determining  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  via ordinary least squares, and order languages by the median of their average word happiness scores (descending). We note that stemming of words may affect these estimates.

Language: Corpus	$\rho_{\rm p}$	<i>p</i> -value	$\rho_{s}$	<i>p</i> -value	α	β
Portuguese: Twitter	+0.090	$2.55 \times 10^{-14}$	+0.095	$1.28 \times 10^{-15}$	1.19×10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.29
Spanish: Twitter	+0.097	$8.45 \times 10^{-15}$	+0.104	$5.92 \times 10^{-17}$	$1.47 \times 10^{-5}$	1.26
English: Music Lyrics	+0.129	$4.87 \times 10^{-20}$	+0.134	$1.63 \times 10^{-21}$	2.76×10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.33
English: Twitter	+0.007	$6.26 \times 10^{-1}$	+0.012	$4.11 \times 10^{-1}$	$1.47 \times 10^{-6}$	1.35
English: New York Times	+0.050	$4.56 \times 10^{-4}$	+0.044	$1.91 \times 10^{-3}$	9.34×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.32
Arabic: Movie and TV subtitles	+0.101	7.13×10 <sup>-24</sup>	+0.101	$3.41 \times 10^{-24}$	9.41×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.01
English: Google Books	+0.180	$1.68 \times 10^{-37}$	+0.176	4.96×10 <sup>-36</sup>	3.36×10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.27
Spanish: Google Books	+0.066	1.23×10 <sup>-7</sup>	+0.062	6.53×10 <sup>-7</sup>	9.17×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.26
Indonesian: Movie subtitles	+0.026	3.43×10 <sup>-2</sup>	+0.027	$2.81 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.87 \times 10^{-6}$	1.12
Russian: Movie and TV subtitles	+0.083	7.60×10 <sup>-11</sup>	+0.075	$3.28 \times 10^{-9}$	1.06×10 <sup>-5</sup>	0.89
French: Twitter	+0.072	$4.77 \times 10^{-9}$	+0.076	$8.94 \times 10^{-10}$	$1.07 \times 10^{-5}$	1.05
Indonesian: Twitter	+0.072	$1.17 \times 10^{-9}$	+0.072	$1.73 \times 10^{-9}$	$8.16 \times 10^{-6}$	1.12
French: Google Books	+0.090	$1.02 \times 10^{-12}$	+0.085	$1.67 \times 10^{-11}$	$1.25 \times 10^{-5}$	1.02
Russian: Twitter	+0.055	6.83×10 <sup>-6</sup>	+0.053	$1.67 \times 10^{-5}$	7.39×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.91
Spanish: Google Web Crawl	+0.119	$4.45 \times 10^{-24}$	+0.106	$2.60 \times 10^{-19}$	$1.45 \times 10^{-5}$	1.23
Portuguese: Google Web Crawl	+0.093	$4.06 \times 10^{-15}$	+0.083	$2.91 \times 10^{-12}$	1.07×10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.26
German: Twitter	+0.051	$4.45 \times 10^{-5}$	+0.050	5.15×10 <sup>-5</sup>	7.39×10 <sup>-6</sup>	1.15
French: Google Web Crawl	+0.104	$2.12 \times 10^{-18}$	+0.088	$9.64 \times 10^{-14}$	1.27×10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.01
Korean: Movie subtitles	+0.171	$1.39 \times 10^{-36}$	+0.185	$8.85 \times 10^{-43}$	$2.58 \times 10^{-5}$	0.88
German: Google Books	+0.157	$6.06 \times 10^{-35}$	+0.162	$4.96 \times 10^{-37}$	$2.17 \times 10^{-5}$	1.03
Korean: Twitter	+0.056	$4.07 \times 10^{-6}$	+0.062	$4.25 \times 10^{-7}$	6.98×10 <sup>-6</sup>	0.93
German: Google Web Crawl	+0.099	$2.05 \times 10^{-16}$	+0.085	$1.18 \times 10^{-12}$	1.20×10 <sup>-5</sup>	1.07
Chinese: Google Books	+0.099	$3.07 \times 10^{-23}$	+0.097	$3.81 \times 10^{-22}$	$8.70 \times 10^{-6}$	1.16
Russian: Google Books	+0.187	$5.15 \times 10^{-48}$	+0.177	$2.24 \times 10^{-43}$	$2.28 \times 10^{-5}$	0.81

Pearson correlation coefficients and *p*-values, Spearman correlation coefficients and *p*-values, and linear fit coefficients for standard deviation of word happiness  $h_{std}$  as a function of word usage frequency rank *r*. We consider the fit is  $h_{std} = \alpha r + \beta$  for the most common S000 words in each corpora, determining  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  via ordinary least squares, and order corpora according to their emotional variance (descending).





Pollyanna Principle English is happy 10 languages Extras

Corpora Text parsing











• 𝔍 𝔍 𝔍 𝔅 𝔅 𝔅











#### References I

- COcoNuTS @networksvox Pollyanna Principle
- J. M. Diamond. Guns, Germs, and Steel. W. W. Norton & Company, 1997.
- [2] P. S. Dodds, E. M. Clark, S. Desu, M. R. Frank, A. J. Reagan, J. R. Williams, L. Mitchell, K. D. Harris, I. M. Kloumann, J. P. Bagrow, K. Megerdoomian, M. T. McMahon, B. F. Tivnan, and C. M. Danforth. Human language reveals a universal positivity bias.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 112(8):2389–2394, 2015. Available online at http://www.pnas.org/content/112/8/2389. pdf Pollyanna Principle English is happy 10 languages

Extras Corpora Text parsing Corpus generation



ා <mark>හි</mark> නදල 48 of 53

#### References II

[3] P. S. Dodds, E. M. Clark, S. Desu, M. R. Frank, A. J. Reagan, J. R. Williams, L. Mitchell, K. D. Harris, I. M. Kloumann, J. P. Bagrow, K. Megerdoomian, M. T. McMahon, B. F. Tivnan, and C. M. Danforth. Human language reveals a universal positivity bias.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 112(8):2389-2394, 2015. Available online at

http://www.pnas.org/content/112/8/2389; online appendices:

http://compstorylab.org/share/papers/dodds2014a/.

## . \* **(**)

COcoNuTS

Pollyanna

Pollyanna Principle

English is happy

10 languages

Text parsing

Corpus generation

References

0

Extras

Principle

@networksvox

うへで 49 of 53

COcoNuTS @networksvox

Pollyanna Principle

Pollvanna

English is happy

10 languages

Text parsing

Corpus generation

References

0

Principle

Extras

Corpora

### **References III**

P. S. Dodds, E. M. Clark, S. Desu, M. R. Frank, A. J. [4] Reagan, J. R. Williams, L. Mitchell, K. D. Harris, I. M. Kloumann, J. P. Bagrow, K. Megerdoomian, M. T. McMahon, B. F. Tivnan, and C. M. Danforth. Reply to garcia et al.: Common mistakes in measuring frequency dependent word characteristics.

Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 2015. Available online at http://www.pnas.org/content/ early/2015/05/20/1505647112. pdf 🖸

[5] P. S. Dodds and C. M. Danforth. Measuring the happiness of large-scale written expression: songs, blogs, and presidents. Journal of Happiness Studies, 2009. doi:10.1007/s10902-009-9150-9. pdf 🕑

#### **References IV**

- [6] P. S. Dodds, K. D. Harris, I. M. Kloumann, C. A. Bliss, and C. M. Danforth. Temporal patterns of happiness and information in a global social network: Hedonometrics and Twitter. PLoS ONE, 6:e26752, 2011. pdf
- [7] D. Garcia, A. Garas, and F. Schweitzer. Language-dependent relationship between word happiness and frequency. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 2015. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1502909112. pdf 🖸
- [8] I. M. Kloumann, C. M. Danforth, K. D. Harris, C. A. Bliss, and P. S. Dodds. Positivity of the English language. PLoS ONE, 7:e29484, 2012. pdf

**References VI** 

#### [12] E. Sandhaus. The New York Times Annotated Corpus. Linguistic Data Consortium, Philadelphia, 2008.







### References V

- [9] I. M. Kloumann, C. M. Danforth, K. D. Harris, C. A. Bliss, and P. S. Dodds. Positivity of the English language. PLoS ONE, 7:e29484, 2012. pdf
- [10] J.-B. Michel, Y. K. Shen, A. P. Aiden, A. Veres, M. K. Gray, The Google Books Team, J. P. Pickett, D. Hoiberg, D. Clancy, P. Norvig, J. Orwant, S. Pinker, M. A. Nowak, and E. A. Lieberman. Quantitative analysis of culture using millions of digitized books. Science Magazine, 331:176–182, 2011. pdf 🖸
- [11] J. W. Pennebaker, R. J. Booth, and M. E. Francis. Linguistic Inquiry and Word Count: LIWC 2007. at http://bit.ly/S1Dk2L, accessed May 15, 2014., 2007.

COcoNuTS @networksvox Pollyanna Principle

Pollyanna Principle English is happy 10 languages Extras Corpora Text parsing Corpus generation



ି 🐘 • n q (r + 52 of 53

COcoNuTS networ Pollyanna Principle

Pollyanna Principle English is happy 10 languages Extras Corpora Text parsing Corpus generation





COcoNuTS orksvox Pollyanna Principle

• 𝔍 𝔄 50 of 53

Pollyanna Principle English is happy 10 languages Extras Corpora Text parsing

Corpus generation References



IVM S •⊃ < (~ 51 of 53