

Optimal Supply Networks III: Redistribution

Principles of Complex Systems | @pocsvox
CSYS/MATH 300, Fall, 2017

Distributed
Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation


Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References

Prof. Peter Dodds | @peterdodds

Dept. of Mathematics & Statistics | Vermont Complex Systems Center
Vermont Advanced Computing Core | University of Vermont



Licensed under the *Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License*.

These slides are brought to you by:

PoCS | @pocsvox

Optimal Supply
Networks III

Sealie & Lambie
Productions



Distributed
Sources

Size-density law


Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References




These slides are also brought to you by:

PoCS | @pocsvox

Optimal Supply
Networks III

Special Guest Executive Producer: Pratchett



Distributed
Sources

Size-density law


Cartograms



A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References



 On Instagram at [pratchett_the_cat](https://www.instagram.com/pratchett_the_cat) 



Distributed Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

Distributed Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms


A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References

References



Many sources, many sinks

How do we distribute sources?

- Focus on 2-d (results generalize to higher dimensions).
- Sources = hospitals, post offices, pubs, ...
- Key problem:** How do we cope with uneven population densities?
- Obvious: if density is uniform then sources are best distributed **uniformly**.
- Which lattice is optimal? The **hexagonal lattice**
- Q2:** Given population density is uneven, what do we do?
- We'll follow work by Stephan (1977, 1984)^[4, 5], Gastner and Newman (2006)^[2], Um *et al.* (2009)^[6], and work cited by them.

Distributed Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References



Optimal Supply
Networks IIIDistributed
Sources

Size-density law


Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References



Solidifying the basic problem

- Given a region with some population distribution ρ , most likely uneven.
- Given resources to build and maintain N facilities.
- Q:** How do we locate these N facilities so as to **minimize the average distance** between an individual's residence and the **nearest facility**?

Distributed Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References






“Optimal design of spatial distribution networks”

Gastner and Newman,
Phys. Rev. E, **74**, 016117, 2006. [2]

Distributed Sources

- Size-density law
- Cartograms
- A reasonable derivation
- Global redistribution
- Public versus Private

References




Approximately optimal location of 5000 facilities.



Based on 2000 Census data.




Optimal source allocation




Distributed Sources

- Size-density law
- Cartograms
- A reasonable derivation
- Global redistribution
- Public versus Private

References

 Optimal facility density ρ_{fac} vs. population density ρ_{pop} .

 Fit is $\rho_{\text{fac}} \propto \rho_{\text{pop}}^{0.66}$ with $r^2 = 0.94$.

 Looking good for a 2/3 power ...



Size-density law:



$$\rho_{\text{fac}} \propto \rho_{\text{pop}}^{2/3}$$



Why?



Again: Different story to branching networks where there was either one source or one sink.



Now sources & sinks are distributed throughout region.

Distributed Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References





"Territorial Division: The Least-Time Constraint Behind the Formation of Subnational Boundaries" ↗

G. Edward Stephan,
Science, **196**, 523-524, 1977. [4]

- 🧱 We first examine Stephan's treatment (1977) [4, 5]
- 🧱 Zipf-like approach: invokes **principle of minimal effort**.
- 🧱 Also known as the Homer Simpson principle.

Distributed
Sources

Size-density law
Cartograms

A reasonable derivation
Global redistribution
Public versus Private

References



Optimal source allocation

- Consider a region of area A and population P with a single functional center that everyone needs to access every day.
- Build up a general cost function based on time expended to **access and maintain center**.
- Write **average travel distance** to center as \bar{d} and assume **average speed of travel** is \bar{v} .
- Assume **isometry**: average travel distance \bar{d} will be on the length scale of the region which is $\sim A^{1/2}$
- Average time expended per person in accessing facility is therefore

$$\bar{d}/\bar{v} = cA^{1/2}/\bar{v}$$

where c is an unimportant shape factor.

Distributed
Sources

Size-density law
Cartograms

A reasonable derivation
Global redistribution
Public versus Private

References



- Next assume facility requires regular maintenance (person-hours per day).
- Call this quantity τ .
- If burden of maintenance is shared then average cost per person is τ/P where P = population.
- Replace P by $\rho_{\text{pop}}A$ where ρ_{pop} is density.
- Important assumption: uniform density.
- Total average time cost per person:

$$T = \bar{d}/\bar{v} + \tau/(\rho_{\text{pop}}A) = cA^{1/2}/\bar{v} + \tau/(\rho_{\text{pop}}A).$$

- Now Minimize with respect to A ...

Distributed
Sources

Size-density law
Cartograms

A reasonable derivation
Global redistribution
Public versus Private

References



Optimal source allocation

🧱 Differentiating ...

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial T}{\partial A} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial A} \left(cA^{1/2} / \bar{v} + \tau / (\rho_{\text{pop}} A) \right) \\ &= \frac{c}{2\bar{v}A^{1/2}} - \frac{\tau}{\rho_{\text{pop}} A^2} = 0\end{aligned}$$

🧱 Rearrange:

$$A = \left(\frac{2\bar{v}\tau}{c\rho_{\text{pop}}} \right)^{2/3} \propto \rho_{\text{pop}}^{-2/3}$$

🧱 # facilities per unit area ρ_{fac} :

$$\rho_{\text{fac}} \propto A^{-1} \propto \rho_{\text{pop}}^{2/3}$$

🧱 Groovy ...

Distributed
Sources

Size-density law
Cartograms

A reasonable derivation
Global redistribution
Public versus Private


References



An issue:

🧱 Maintenance (τ) is assumed to be **independent** of population and area (P and A)

🧱 Stephan's online book "**The Division of Territory in Society**" is here .

🧱 (It used to be here .)

🧱 The Readme  is well worth reading (1995).

Distributed
Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution


Public versus Private

References



Cartograms

Standard world map:



Distributed
Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References



Cartograms

Cartogram of countries 'rescaled' by population:



Distributed
Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References



Cartograms

Diffusion-based cartograms:

- Idea of cartograms is to **distort areas** to more accurately represent some local density ρ_{pop} (e.g. population).
- Many methods put forward—typically involve some kind of physical analogy to **spreading or repulsion**.
- Algorithm due to Gastner and Newman (2004)^[1] is based on **standard diffusion**:

$$\nabla^2 \rho_{\text{pop}} - \frac{\partial \rho_{\text{pop}}}{\partial t} = 0.$$

- Allow density to diffuse and trace the movement of individual elements and boundaries.
- Diffusion is constrained by boundary condition of surrounding area having density $\bar{\rho}_{\text{pop}}$.

Distributed Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution


Public versus Private

References



Cartograms

Child mortality:



Distributed Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution


Public versus Private

References



Cartograms

Energy consumption:



Distributed Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution


Public versus Private

References



Cartograms

Gross domestic product:



Distributed
Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution


Public versus Private

References



Cartograms

Greenhouse gas emissions:



Distributed Sources


- Size-density law
- Cartograms
- A reasonable derivation
- Global redistribution
- Public versus Private

References



Cartograms

Spending on healthcare:



Distributed
Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution


Public versus Private

References



Cartograms

People living with HIV:



Distributed
Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms



A reasonable derivation



Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References



 The preceding sampling of Gastner & Newman's cartograms lives [here](#) .

 A larger collection can be found at worldmapper.org .



Distributed Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution


Public versus Private

References



Size-density law



“Optimal design of spatial distribution networks” 

Gastner and Newman,
Phys. Rev. E, **74**, 016117, 2006. [2]

Distributed Sources

Size-density law


Cartograms


A reasonable derivation


Global redistribution


Public versus Private

References




 **Left:** population density-equalized cartogram.

 **Right:** (population density)^{2/3}-equalized cartogram.

 Facility density is uniform for $\rho_{\text{pop}}^{2/3}$ cartogram.



Size-density law



Distributed Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References

From Gastner and Newman (2006) [2]






Cartogram's Voronoi cells are somewhat hexagonal.






Size-density law

Deriving the optimal source distribution:

-  **Basic idea:** Minimize the average distance from a random individual to the nearest facility. [2]
-  Assume given a fixed population density ρ_{pop} defined on a spatial region Ω .
-  Formally, we want to find the locations of n **sources** $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$ that minimizes the **cost function**

$$F(\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}) = \int_{\Omega} \rho_{\text{pop}}(\vec{x}) \min_i \|\vec{x} - \vec{x}_i\| d\vec{x}.$$

-  Also known as the p-median problem.
-  Not easy ...in fact this one is an NP-hard problem. [2]
-  Approximate solution originally due to Gusein-Zade [3].

Distributed
Sources



Size-density law
Cartograms


A reasonable derivation
Global redistribution
Public versus Private


References



Approximations:


 For a given set of source placements $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$, the region Ω is divided up into Voronoi cells , one per source.

 Define $A(\vec{x})$ as the **area** of the Voronoi cell containing \vec{x} .

 As per Stephan's calculation, estimate typical distance from \vec{x} to the nearest source (say i) as

$$c_i A(\vec{x})^{1/2}$$

where c_i is a shape factor for the i th Voronoi cell.

 Approximate c_i as a constant c .

Distributed Sources

Size-density law
Cartograms


A reasonable derivation
Global redistribution
Public versus Private

References





Size-density law

Carrying on:


 The cost function is now


$$F = c \int_{\Omega} \rho_{\text{pop}}(\vec{x}) A(\vec{x})^{1/2} d\vec{x}.$$

 We also have that the **constraint** that Voronoi cells divide up the overall area of Ω : $\sum_{i=1}^n A(\vec{x}_i) = A_{\Omega}$.

 Sneakily turn this into an integral constraint:

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{d\vec{x}}{A(\vec{x})} = n.$$

 Within each cell, $A(\vec{x})$ is constant.

 So ...integral over each of the n cells equals 1.

Distributed
Sources

Size-density law
Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution
Public versus Private

References



Now a Lagrange multiplier story:

By varying $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n\}$, minimize

$$G(A) = c \int_{\Omega} \rho_{\text{pop}}(\vec{x}) A(\vec{x})^{1/2} d\vec{x} - \lambda \left(n - \int_{\Omega} [A(\vec{x})]^{-1} d\vec{x} \right)$$

I Can Haz Calculus of Variations ↗?

Compute $\delta G / \delta A$, the functional derivative ↗ of the functional $G(A)$.

This gives

$$\int_{\Omega} \left[\frac{c}{2} \rho_{\text{pop}}(\vec{x}) A(\vec{x})^{-1/2} - \lambda [A(\vec{x})]^{-2} \right] d\vec{x} = 0.$$

Setting the integrand to be zilch, we have:

$$\rho_{\text{pop}}(\vec{x}) = 2\lambda c^{-1} A(\vec{x})^{-3/2}.$$



Size-density law

Now a Lagrange multiplier story:

🧩 Rearranging, we have

$$A(\vec{x}) = (2\lambda c^{-1})^{2/3} \rho_{\text{pop}}^{-2/3}.$$

🧩 Finally, we indentify $1/A(\vec{x})$ as $\rho_{\text{fac}}(\vec{x})$, an approximation of the local source density.

🧩 Substituting $\rho_{\text{fac}} = 1/A$, we have

$$\rho_{\text{fac}}(\vec{x}) = \left(\frac{c}{2\lambda} \rho_{\text{pop}} \right)^{2/3}.$$

🧩 Normalizing (or solving for λ):

$$\rho_{\text{fac}}(\vec{x}) = n \frac{[\rho_{\text{pop}}(\vec{x})]^{2/3}}{\int_{\Omega} [\rho_{\text{pop}}(\vec{x})]^{2/3} d\vec{x}} \propto [\rho_{\text{pop}}(\vec{x})]^{2/3}.$$

Distributed
Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References



One more thing:

- How do we supply these facilities?
- How do we best redistribute mail? People?
- How do we get beer to the pubs?
- Gastner and Newman model: cost is a function of basic maintenance and travel time:

$$C_{\text{maint}} + \gamma C_{\text{travel}}$$

- Travel time is more complicated: Take 'distance' between nodes to be a composite of shortest path distance l_{ij} and number of legs to journey:

$$(1 - \delta)l_{ij} + \delta(\#\text{hops}).$$

- When $\delta = 1$, only number of hops matters.

Distributed
Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation


Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References



Global redistribution networks



Distributed Sources

- Size-density law
- Cartograms
- A reasonable derivation
- Global redistribution
- Public versus Private

References

From Gastner and Newman (2006) [2]



Optimal Supply
Networks IIIDistributed
Sources

Size-density law


Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References



Public versus private facilities

Beyond minimizing distances:

☰ "Scaling laws between population and facility densities" by Um *et al.*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 2009. [6]

☰ Um *et al.* find empirically and argue theoretically that the connection between facility and population density

$$\rho_{\text{fac}} \propto \rho_{\text{pop}}^{\alpha}$$

does not universally hold with $\alpha = 2/3$.

☰ **Two idealized limiting classes:**

1. For-profit, commercial facilities: $\alpha = 1$;
2. Pro-social, public facilities: $\alpha = 2/3$.

☰ Um *et al.* investigate facility locations in the United States and South Korea.

Distributed
Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation



Global redistribution




Public versus Private

References



Public versus private facilities: evidence



-  **Left plot:** ambulatory hospitals in the U.S.
-  **Right plot:** public schools in the U.S.
-  Note: break in scaling for public schools. Transition from $\alpha \simeq 2/3$ to $\alpha = 1$ around $\rho_{\text{pop}} \simeq 100$.

Distributed Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References



Public versus private facilities: evidence

US facility	α (SE)	R^2
Ambulatory hospital	1.13(1)	0.93
Beauty care	1.08(1)	0.86
Laundry	1.05(1)	0.90
Automotive repair	0.99(1)	0.92
Private school	0.95(1)	0.82
Restaurant	0.93(1)	0.89
Accommodation	0.89(1)	0.70
Bank	0.88(1)	0.89
Gas station	0.86(1)	0.94
Death care	0.79(1)	0.80
* Fire station	0.78(3)	0.93
* Police station	0.71(6)	0.75
Public school	0.69(1)	0.87

SK facility	α (SE)	R^2
Bank	1.18(2)	0.96
Parking place	1.13(2)	0.91
* Primary clinic	1.09(2)	1.00
* Hospital	0.96(5)	0.97
* University/college	0.93(9)	0.89
Market place	0.87(2)	0.90
* Secondary school	0.77(3)	0.98
* Primary school	0.77(3)	0.97
Social welfare org.	0.75(2)	0.84
* Police station	0.71(5)	0.94
Government office	0.70(1)	0.93
* Fire station	0.60(4)	0.93
* Public health center	0.09(5)	0.19

Rough transition
between public
and private at
 $\alpha \simeq 0.8$.

Note: * indicates
analysis is at
state/province
level; otherwise
county level.

Distributed Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation


Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References



Public versus private facilities: evidence



A, C: ambulatory hospitals in the U.S.; **B, D:** public schools in the U.S.; **A, B:** data; **C, D:** Voronoi diagram from model simulation.

Distributed
Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References



Public versus private facilities: the story

So what's going on?

- 🧱 Social institutions seek to minimize distance of travel.
- 🧱 Commercial institutions seek to maximize the number of visitors.
- 🧱 Defns: For the i th facility and its Voronoi cell V_i , define
 - 🧱 n_i = population of the i th cell;
 - 🧱 $\langle r_i \rangle$ = the average travel distance to the i th facility.
 - 🧱 A_i = area of i th cell (s_i in
- 🧱 Objective function to maximize for a facility (highly constructed):

$$v_i = n_i \langle r_i \rangle^\beta \text{ with } 0 \leq \beta \leq 1.$$

- 🧱 Limits:
 - 🧱 $\beta = 0$: purely commercial.
 - 🧱 $\beta = 1$: purely social.

Distributed
Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private


References



Public versus private facilities: the story

- Either proceeding as per the Gastner-Newman-Gusein-Zade calculation or, as Um *et al.* do, observing that the cost for each cell should be the same, we have:

$$\rho_{\text{fac}}(\vec{x}) = n \frac{[\rho_{\text{pop}}(\vec{x})]^{2/(\beta+2)}}{\int_{\Omega} [\rho_{\text{pop}}(\vec{x})]^{2/(\beta+2)} d\vec{x}} \propto [\rho_{\text{pop}}(\vec{x})]^{2/(\beta+2)}$$

- For $\beta = 0$, $\alpha = 1$: commercial scaling is linear.
- For $\beta = 1$, $\alpha = 2/3$: social scaling is sublinear.
- You can try this too:
Insert question from assignment 4 

Distributed
Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References



References I

- [1] M. T. Gastner and M. E. J. Newman.
Diffusion-based method for producing
density-equalizing maps.
[Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., 101:7499–7504, 2004. pdf ↗](#)
- [2] M. T. Gastner and M. E. J. Newman.
Optimal design of spatial distribution networks.
[Phys. Rev. E, 74:016117, 2006. pdf ↗](#)
- [3] S. M. Gusein-Zade.
Bunge's problem in central place theory and its
generalizations.
[Geogr. Anal., 14:246–252, 1982. pdf ↗](#)
- [4] G. E. Stephan.
Territorial division: The least-time constraint
behind the formation of subnational boundaries.
[Science, 196:523–524, 1977. pdf ↗](#)

Distributed
Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References



- [5] G. E. Stephan.
Territorial subdivision.
[Social Forces](#), 63:145–159, 1984. pdf ↗
- [6] J. Um, S.-W. Son, S.-I. Lee, H. Jeong, and B. J. Kim.
Scaling laws between population and facility
densities.
[Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.](#), 106:14236–14240, 2009.
pdf ↗

Distributed
Sources

Size-density law

Cartograms

A reasonable derivation

Global redistribution

Public versus Private

References

