Why Complexify?

Principles of Complex Systems | @pocsvox CSYS/MATH 300, Fall, 2016 | #FallPoCS2016

Prof. Peter Dodds | @peterdodds

Dept. of Mathematics & Statistics | Vermont Complex Systems Center Vermont Advanced Computing Core | University of Vermont



PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License.

200 1 of 31

These slides are brought to you by:

Sealie & Lambie Productions

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





20f31

Outline

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





200 3 of 31





PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





200 4 of 31

Limits to what's possible:

- The property that the macroscopic aspects of a system do not depend sensitively on the system's details.
- 🚳 Key figure: Leo Kadanoff 🗹
- Kadanoff's retrospective: "Innovations in Statistics Physics" ^[3]

Examples:

🚳 The Central Limit Theorem:

$$P(x;\mu,\sigma) \mathsf{d} x \, = rac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-(x-\mu)^2/2\sigma^2} \mathsf{d} x \, .$$

Navier Stokes equation for fluids.
 Nature of phase transitions in statistical mechanics.

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





Universality

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References



- Many-to-one mapping from micro to macro
- Suggests not all possible behaviors are available at higher levels of complexity.
- 🚳 Universality means some things are fated.

Large questions:

- How universal is universality?
- What are the possible long-time states (attractors) for a universe?





Fluid mechanics

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References



- Navier-Stokes equations: micro-macro system evolution.
- The big three: Experiment + Theory + Simulations.
- 🚳 Works for many very different 'fluids':
 - 🗊 the atmosphere,
 - 定 oceans,
 - 🗊 blood,
 - the earth's mantle,
 - 定 galaxies, ...
 - and ball bearings on lattices ...?





Lattice gas models

Collision rules in 2-d on a hexagonal lattice:



PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References

Lattice matters ...
No 'good' lattice in 3-d.
Upshot: play with 'particles' of a system to obtain new or specific macro behaviours.





200 8 of 31

Hexagons—Honeycomb:



PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References



Orchestrated? Or an accident of bees working hard?
 See "On Growth and Form" by D'Arcy Wentworth Thompson C.^[6, 7]



VERMONT

Hexagons—Giant's Causeway:



http://newdesktopwallpapers.info

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





990 10 of 31

Hexagons—Giant's Causeway:



http://www.physics.utoronto.ca/

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





990 11 of 31

Saturn has a hexagon:

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





👶 One side is longer than Earth's diameter 🗹

200 12 of 31

Hexagons run amok:

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





 Graphene C: single layer of carbon molecules in a perfect hexagonal lattice (super strong).
 Chicken wire C ...





990 13 of 31

Triumph of the Hexagon

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References



VERMONT

From the remarkable Hexnet.org C, the Global Hexagonal Awareness Resource Center.

200 14 of 31





PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





うへで 15 of 31

"More is different" **C** P. W. Anderson, Science, **177**, 393–396, 1972.^[1]



Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References



Anderson C argues against idea that the only real scientists are those working on the fundamental laws.

Symmetry breaking \rightarrow different laws/rules at different scales ...

2006 study: "most creative physicist in the world"



200 16 of 31

"Elementary entities of science X obey the laws of science Y"

- 💑 X
- solid state or many-body physics
 chemistry
- A molecular biology
 Cell biology
- Bychology
 Social sciences

- 🔒 Y
- elementary particle physics
 solid state many-body physics
 chemistry
 molecular biology
- A physiology
 A psychology

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





Anderson:

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References



Scale and complexity thwart the constructionist hypothesis.

Accidents of history and path dependence matter.





200 18 of 31

Distance of the second second

"Critical Phenomena in Natural Sciences" **3** by Didier Sornette (2003). ^[4]

Page 291–292 of Sornette^[5]: Renormalization \equiv Anderson's hierarchy.

- But Anderson's hierarchy is not a simple one: the rules change.
- Crucial dichotomy between evolving systems following stochastic paths that lead to (a) inevitable or (b) particular destinations (states).

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





200 19 of 31

More is different:

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?





http://xkcd.com/435/





20 of 31

A real science of complexity:

A real theory of everything anything:

Is not just about the ridiculously small stuff ...
 It's about the increase of complexity

Symmetry breaking/ Accidents of history

Universality

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References



VS.

- So how likely is the local complexification of structure we enjoy?
 - How likely are the Big Transitions?





Why complexify?

"Why do things become more complex?" W. Brian Arthur, Scientific American, **268**, 92, 1993.^[2]



- Jet engine replaced the complex piston engine and then itself became more complex.
- & Complexification \equiv evolution of algorithms?
 - \$ Differential equations and stories \subset Algorithms.
- Life is a loaded word: The Search for Extraterrestrial Algorithms (SETA)?

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





Why complexify?

Driving complexity's trajectory:

- \delta Big Bang
- Randomness leads to replicating structures;
- Biological evolution;
- Sociocultural evolution;
- Technological evolution;
- line contechnological evolution.

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





23 of 31

Complexification—the Big Transitions:

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References

Big Randomness. Big Structure. Big Replicate. Big Life. Big Evolve.

Big Bang.

3

-

3

🚳 Big Word. 🚴 Big Story. 💑 Big Number. 🚳 Big Farm. Big God. 44 Big Make. 3 Big City. \lambda Big Culture. 🚴 Big Science. 🚳 Big Data. **Big Information.** \lambda Big Algorithm. **Big Connection.** 3 **Big Social.** 3 **Big Awareness.** \lambda Big Spread. 💑 Big ...?



DAC 24 of 31



PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





うへへ 25 of 31





PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





26 of 31

The absolute basics:

Modern basic science in three steps:

- 1. Find interesting/meaningful/important phenomena, optionally involving spectacular amounts of data.
- 2. Describe what you see.
- 3. Explain it.

Unlocks our (limited) ability to: Create, predict, and control.

And be good people: Share.

Beware your assumptions: Don't use tools/models because they're there, or because everyone else does ...

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





This is a thing that could be next:



Branching networks (rivers, cardiovascular systems).

- Optimal (re)distribution networks (hospitals, coffee shops, airlines, post, Internet).
 - Structure detection for complex systems.
- 🚳 Moar Contagion.

CSYS/MATH 303: Complex Networks C @networksvox C

- Random networks-arama.
- 🚳 Distributed Search.
- 🚳 Organizational networks.

Deeper investigations of scale-free networks.

and more ...

PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References







Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





うへで 29 of 31



References I

[1] P. W. Anderson. More is different. <u>Science</u>, 177(4047):393–396, 1972. pdf C

[2] W. B. Arthur. Why do things become more complex? Scientific American, 268:92, 1993. pdf

[3] L. P. Kadanoff. Innovations in statistical physics, 2014. http://arxiv.org/abs/1403.6464. pdf

[4] D. Sornette, Critical Phenomena in Natural Sciences, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2nd edition, 2003. PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





20 0 30 of 31

References II

[5] D. Sornette. Critical Phenomena in Natural Sciences. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1st edition, 2003.

 [6] D. W. Thompson.
 <u>On Growth and From</u>.
 Cambridge University Pres, Great Britain, 2nd edition, 1952.

[7] D. W. Thompson. On Growth and Form — Abridged Edition. Cambridge University Press, Great Britain, 1961. PoCS | @pocsvox Why Complexify?

Universality

Symmetry Breaking

The Big Theory

Final words

For your consideration

References





200 31 of 31