

Optimal Supply Networks II: Blood, Water, and Truthicide

Complex Networks | @networksvox
CSYS/MATH 303, Spring, 2016

Prof. Peter Dodds | @peterdodds

Dept. of Mathematics & Statistics | Vermont Complex Systems Center
Vermont Advanced Computing Core | University of Vermont



Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument
Real networks

Conclusion

References



These slides are brought to you by:

COcoNuTS

Sealie & Lambie
Productions



Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks


Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Outline

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References

COcoNuTS

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Stories—The Fraction Assassin:

COcoNuTS

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Law and Order, Special Science Edition: Truthicide Department

"In the scientific integrity system known as peer review, the people are represented by two highly overlapping yet equally important groups: the independent scientists who review papers and the scientists who punish those who publish garbage. This is one of their stories."



Fundamental biological and ecological constraint:

$$P = c M^\alpha$$

P = basal metabolic rate

M = organismal body mass



$$P = cM^\alpha$$


Prefactor c depends on **body plan** and **body temperature**:

Birds	39–41 °C
Eutherian Mammals	36–38 °C
Marsupials	34–36 °C
Monotremes	30–31 °C





What one might expect:


$\alpha = 2/3$ because ...

 Dimensional analysis suggests an energy balance surface law:

$$P \propto S \propto V^{2/3} \propto M^{2/3}$$

 Assumes isometric scaling (not quite the spherical cow).

 **Lognormal fluctuations:**
Gaussian fluctuations in $\log P$ around $\log cM^\alpha$.

 Stefan-Boltzmann law  for radiated energy:

$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \sigma \epsilon S T^4 \propto S$$



The prevailing belief of the Church of Quarterology:

$$\alpha = 3/4$$

$$P \propto M^{3/4}$$

Huh?

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion



References



The prevailing belief of the Church of Quarterology:

Most obvious concern:

$$3/4 - 2/3 = 1/12$$

-  An exponent higher than $2/3$ points suggests a fundamental inefficiency in biology.
-  Organisms must somehow be running 'hotter' than they need to balance heat loss.



Related putative scalings:

COcoNuTS

Metabolism and
Trithicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories


Geometric
argument


Real networks


Conclusion


References


Wait! There's more!:

 number of capillaries $\propto M^{3/4}$

 time to reproductive maturity $\propto M^{1/4}$

 heart rate $\propto M^{-1/4}$

 cross-sectional area of aorta $\propto M^{3/4}$

 population density $\propto M^{-3/4}$



The great 'law' of heartbeats:

Assuming:

- Average lifespan $\propto M^\beta$
- Average heart rate $\propto M^{-\beta}$
- Irrelevant but perhaps $\beta = 1/4$.

Then:

- Average number of heart beats in a lifespan
 \approx (Average lifespan) \times (Average heart rate)
 $\propto M^{\beta-\beta}$
 $\propto M^0$
- Number of heartbeats per life time is independent of organism size!
- ≈ 1.5 billion....

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks


Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



A theory is born:

1840's: Sarrus and Rameaux^[37] first suggested
 $\alpha = 2/3$.



Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



A theory grows:

1883: Rubner^[35] found $\alpha \approx 2/3$.



Theory meets a different 'truth':

COcoNuTS

1930's: Brody, Benedict study mammals. [6]
Found $\alpha \simeq 0.73$ (standard).



Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument


Real networks

Conclusion

References



Our hero faces a shadowy cabal:



Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories


Geometric argument



Real networks


Conclusion

References

 1932: Kleiber analyzed 13 mammals. [22]

 Found $\alpha = 0.76$ and suggested $\alpha = 3/4$.

 Scaling law of Metabolism became known as Kleiber's Law  (2011 Wikipedia entry is embarrassing).

 1961 book: "The Fire of Life. An Introduction to Animal Energetics". [23]



When a cult becomes a religion:

COcoNuTS

1950/1960: Hemmingsen ^[19, 20]
Extension to unicellular organisms.
 $\alpha = 3/4$ assumed true.



Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Quarterology spreads throughout the land:

The Cabal assassinates 2/3-scaling:


- 1964: Troon, Scotland.
 - 3rd Symposium on Energy Metabolism.
 - $\alpha = 3/4$ made official ...
- ...29 to zip.



- But the Cabal slipped up by publishing the conference proceedings ...

- "Energy Metabolism; Proceedings of the 3rd symposium held at Troon, Scotland, May 1964," Ed. Sir Kenneth Blaxter^[4]





Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion







References



An unsolved truthicide:

COcoNuTS

So many questions ...

-  Did the truth kill a theory? Or did a theory kill the truth?
-  Or was the truth killed by just a lone, lowly hypothesis?
-  Does this go all the way to the top?
To the National Academies of Science?
-  Is $2/3$ -scaling really dead?
-  Could $2/3$ -scaling have faked its own death?
-  What kind of people would vote on scientific facts?

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks


Conclusion

References




Modern Quarterology, Post Truthicide


COcoNuTS

 3/4 is held by many to be the one true exponent.



In the Beat of a Heart: Life, Energy, and the Unity of Nature—by John Whitfield

 But: much controversy ...

 See 'Re-examination of the "3/4-law" of metabolism'

by the Heretical Unbelievers Dodds, Rothman, and Weitz^[13], and ensuing madness...

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument


Real networks

Conclusion

References



Some data on metabolic rates



Heusner's
data
(1991)^[21]



391
Mammals



blue line: 2/3



red line: 3/4.



($B = P$)

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument


Real networks


Conclusion


References





Some data on metabolic rates




 Bennett and Harvey's data (1987) [3]

 398 birds

 blue line: 2/3

 red line: 3/4.

 ($B = P$)

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks


Earlier theories


Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



 Passerine vs. non-passerine issue...

Important:

- 🧱 Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) Linear regression is only appropriate for analyzing a dataset $\{(x_i, y_i)\}$ when we know the x_i are measured without error.
- 🧱 Here we assume that measurements of mass M have less error than measurements of metabolic rate B .
- 🧱 Linear regression assumes Gaussian errors.



Measuring exponents

COcoNuTS

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References

More on regression:

If (a) we don't know what the errors of either variable are,

or (b) no variable can be considered independent,

then we need to use

Standardized Major Axis Linear Regression. [36, 34]

(aka Reduced Major Axis = RMA.)



Measuring exponents

For Standardized Major Axis Linear Regression:

$$\text{slope}_{\text{SMA}} = \frac{\text{standard deviation of } y \text{ data}}{\text{standard deviation of } x \text{ data}}$$

- Very simple!
- Minimization of sum of areas of triangles induced by vertical and horizontal residuals with best fit line.
- The only linear regression that is Scale invariant ↗.
- Attributed to Nobel Laureate economist Paul Samuelson ↗, ^[36] but discovered independently by others.
- #somuchwin

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks


Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Measuring exponents

Relationship to ordinary least squares regression is simple:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{slope}_{\text{SMA}} &= r^{-1} \times \text{slope}_{\text{OLS } y \text{ on } x} \\ &= r \times \text{slope}_{\text{OLS } x \text{ on } y}\end{aligned}$$

where r = standard correlation coefficient:

$$r = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}$$



Heusner's data, 1991 (391 Mammals)

COcoNuTS

range of M	N	$\hat{\alpha}$
≤ 0.1 kg	167	0.678 ± 0.038
≤ 1 kg	276	0.662 ± 0.032
≤ 10 kg	357	0.668 ± 0.019
≤ 25 kg	366	0.669 ± 0.018
≤ 35 kg	371	0.675 ± 0.018
≤ 350 kg	389	0.706 ± 0.016
≤ 3670 kg	391	0.710 ± 0.021

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Bennett and Harvey, 1987 (398 birds)

COcoNuTS

M_{\max}	N	$\hat{\alpha}$
≤ 0.032	162	0.636 ± 0.103
≤ 0.1	236	0.602 ± 0.060
≤ 0.32	290	0.607 ± 0.039
≤ 1	334	0.652 ± 0.030
≤ 3.2	371	0.655 ± 0.023
≤ 10	391	0.664 ± 0.020
≤ 32	396	0.665 ± 0.019
≤ 100	398	0.664 ± 0.019

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks


Earlier theories

Geometric argument


Real networks


Conclusion


References



Fluctuations—Things look normal...



 $P(B|M) = 1/M^{2/3} f(B/M^{2/3})$

 Use a Kolmogorov-Smirnov test.

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Hypothesis testing

Test to see if α' is consistent with our data $\{(M_i, B_i)\}$:

$$H_0 : \alpha = \alpha' \text{ and } H_1 : \alpha \neq \alpha'.$$

- Assume each B_i (now a random variable) is normally distributed about $\alpha' \log_{10} M_i + \log_{10} c$.
- Follows that the measured α for one realization obeys a t distribution with $N - 2$ degrees of freedom.
- Calculate a p -value: probability that the measured α is as least as different to our hypothesized α' as we observe.
- See, for example, DeGroot and Scherish, "Probability and Statistics."^[10]

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Revisiting the past—mammals

Full mass range:

	N	$\hat{\alpha}$	$p_{2/3}$	$p_{3/4}$
Kleiber	13	0.738	$< 10^{-6}$	0.11
Brody	35	0.718	$< 10^{-4}$	$< 10^{-2}$
Heusner	391	0.710	$< 10^{-6}$	$< 10^{-5}$
Bennett and Harvey	398	0.664	0.69	$< 10^{-15}$

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Revisiting the past—mammals

$M \leq 10$ kg:

	N	$\hat{\alpha}$	$p_{2/3}$	$p_{3/4}$
Kleiber	5	0.667	0.99	0.088
Brody	26	0.709	$< 10^{-3}$	$< 10^{-3}$
Heusner	357	0.668	0.91	$< 10^{-15}$

$M \geq 10$ kg:

	N	$\hat{\alpha}$	$p_{2/3}$	$p_{3/4}$
Kleiber	8	0.754	$< 10^{-4}$	0.66
Brody	9	0.760	$< 10^{-3}$	0.56
Heusner	34	0.877	$< 10^{-12}$	$< 10^{-7}$

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion


References



1. Presume an exponent of your choice: $2/3$ or $3/4$.
2. Fit the prefactor ($\log_{10} c$) and then examine the residuals:

$$r_i = \log_{10} B_i - (\alpha' \log_{10} M_i - \log_{10} c).$$

3. H_0 : residuals are uncorrelated
 H_1 : residuals are correlated.
4. Measure the correlations in the residuals and compute a p -value.



Analysis of residuals

We use the spiffing Spearman Rank-Order Correlation Coefficient ↗

Basic idea:

Given $\{(x_i, y_i)\}$, rank the $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$ separately from smallest to largest. Call these ranks R_i and S_i .

Now calculate correlation coefficient for ranks, r_s :

$$r_s = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (R_i - \bar{R})(S_i - \bar{S})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (R_i - \bar{R})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (S_i - \bar{S})^2}}$$

Perfect correlation: x_i 's and y_i 's both increase monotonically.

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument



Real networks


Conclusion


References





We assume all rank orderings are equally likely:

 r_s is distributed according to a Student's
 t -distribution  with $N - 2$ degrees of freedom.


 Excellent feature: Non-parametric—real
distribution of x 's and y 's doesn't matter.

 Bonus: works for non-linear monotonic
relationships as well.

 See Numerical Recipes in C/Fortran  which
contains many good things. ^[32]



Analysis of residuals—mammals



- (a) $M < 3.2$ kg,
- (b) $M < 10$ kg,
- (c) $M < 32$ kg,
- (d) all mammals.

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks


Earlier theories

Geometric argument


Real networks

Conclusion

References



Analysis of residuals—birds



(a) $M < 0.1$ kg,

(b) $M < 1$ kg,

(c) $M < 10$ kg,

(d) all birds.

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks


Earlier theories

Geometric
argument


Real networks



Conclusion

References



Other approaches to measuring exponents:

 Clauset, Shalizi, Newman: “Power-law distributions in empirical data” [9]
SIAM Review, 2009.

 See Clauset’s page on measuring power law exponents  (code, other goodies).







Impure scaling?:

- So: The exponent $\alpha = 2/3$ works for all birds and mammals up to 10–30 kg
- For mammals $> 10\text{--}30$ kg, maybe we have a new scaling regime
- Possible connection?: Economos (1983)—limb length break in scaling around 20 kg^[14]
- But see later: non-isometric growth leads to **lower** metabolic scaling. Oops.



The widening gyre:

Now we're really confused (empirically):

-  White and Seymour, 2005: unhappy with large herbivore measurements^[47]. Pro 2/3: Find $\alpha \simeq 0.686 \pm 0.014$.
-  Glazier, BioScience (2006)^[17]: "The 3/4-Power Law Is Not Universal: Evolution of Isometric, Ontogenetic Metabolic Scaling in Pelagic Animals."
-  Glazier, Biol. Rev. (2005)^[16]: "Beyond the 3/4-power law': variation in the intra- and interspecific scaling of metabolic rate in animals."
-  Savage et al., PLoS Biology (2008)^[38] "Sizing up allometric scaling theory" Pro 3/4: problems claimed to be finite-size scaling.

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument


Real networks


Conclusion


References





Somehow, optimal river networks are connected:



 a = drainage basin area

 l = length of longest (main) stream

 $L = L_{\parallel} =$
longitudinal length of basin



Mysterious allometric scaling in river networks

COcoNuTS

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References

- 1957: J. T. Hack^[18]
"Studies of Longitudinal Stream Profiles in Virginia and Maryland"

$$\ell \sim a^h$$


$$h \sim 0.6$$


- Anomalous scaling: we would expect $h = 1/2$...
- Subsequent studies: $0.5 \lesssim h \lesssim 0.6$
- Another quest to find **universality/god**...
- A catch:** studies done on small scales.




Large-scale networks:


(1992) Montgomery and Dietrich ^[30]:



 **Composite data set:** includes everything from unchanneled valleys up to world's largest rivers.

 **Estimated fit:**

$$L \simeq 1.78a^{0.49}$$

 **Mixture of basin and main stream lengths.**

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks


Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks


Conclusion


References



World's largest rivers only:

COcoNuTS



 Data from Leopold (1994) [26, 12]

 Estimate of Hack exponent: $h = 0.50 \pm 0.06$

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Earlier theories (1973-):

COcoNuTS

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories


Geometric
argument
Real networks

Conclusion

References

Building on the surface area idea:

- 🧱 McMahon (70's, 80's): Elastic Similarity [27, 29]
- 🧱 Idea is that organismal shapes scale allometrically with $1/4$ powers (like trees...)
- 🧱 Disastrously, cites Hemmingsen [20] for surface area data.
- 🧱 Appears to be true for ungulate legs ... [28]
- 🧱 Metabolism and shape never properly connected.





"Size and shape in biology" ↗

T. McMahon,

Science, **179**, 1201-1204, 1973. [27]




Fig. 3. (a) Chest circumference, d_c , plotted against body weight, W , for five species of primates. The broken lines represent the standard error in this least-squares fit [adapted from (21)]. The model proposed here, whereby each length, l , increases as the $2/3$ power of diameter, d , is illustrated for two weights differing by a factor of 16. (b) Body surface area plotted against weight for vertebrates. The animal data are reasonably well fitted by the stretched cylinder model [adapted from (8)].




Fig. 10.

The relation of body surface to body weight in vertebrates. The points surrounded by a circle represent beech trees. The authorities of the data are in approximate order of body sizes of organisms: Fishes (7/area, E.az, Salmo, Pleuronectes flexus, Aspinella, Crenilabrus, Lepomis: 0.94 p-2 kg), sea herring (unpublished), Frog (3.5-32 g), Birds (3-10 g), Fer, 1914, p. 191, *Mus musculus* (23 and 50 g), Kramis, 1904, p. 404, *Lizalis* (*Lacerta nurella* and *nigella*, *Aspidel fragilis*: 5-24 g) and Ringed Snake (43-140 g), Beale, 1911, pp. 7-8, Trinch (7/area: 211 g), frog (44 g), rabbit (3.2 kg), Votr, 1930, pp. 239, 244, 245, Dogs (7 and 20 kg), pigs, (2 and 100 kg), horses (175 and 900 kg), monkeys (2.5 and 5.5 kg), men (5 and 65 kg), Moore, Coester and Matvejeva, 1926, pp. 8, 20, 23 and 51, Snakes (turtle-snake, small and large python, boa: 3.5-32 kg), Robinson, 1923, p. 145, Bats (20 and 250 g), cattle (20 and 600 kg), Hoover, 1945, pp. 360, 361, Giant shark (2.75 t), rhinoceros (1 t), Hissamuddin, 1916, pp. 20 and 63, Beech trees without leaves and roots (120 kg-12 t), MELA, Nusslein and MELA, 1954, tables 2-4 on pp. 227-281.

assuming a specific gravity of 1.0. Naturally, the inclination of this line corresponds to a proportionality power of 0.67.

Of the unicellular organisms represented in fig. 1 not a few are spherical in shape (the bacterium *Sarcinia*, *Sarcinomyces*, marine eggs); and most of the others have surfaces exceeding those of spheres of equal volume by rarely more than what corresponds to 0.1 decade in the log. ordinate system (*Phalodactyloides phosphaerens*: 12 %, i. e. 0.05 decade, *Escherichia coli*: 24 %, i. e. 0.13 decade, the ciliates *Colpoda* and *Paramecium*: 18-22 %, i. e. about 0.08-0.09 decade; calculated on the basis of data of PÖRNER, 1924, table 7 on p. 108, and HANSEN, 1928, table 1). Similar figures probably hold for other ciliates. Only the flagellates represented (*Tritonomastix*, *Asteria kibishi*) and certain amoebae are likely to deviate by higher figures. The surface values of the unicellular organisms represented in fig. 1 will, therefore, fall either on, or in most other cases less than 0.1 decade above, a line representing the relation between surface and volume of spheres.

It will be seen from fig. 10 that the points representing the body surfaces of the metazoic animals in question are grouped parallel to the sphere line; that is, also corresponding to a proportionality power of 0.67. An average line through the points would fall about 0.30 logarithmic decade above the sphere line, meaning that on the average the body surface is roughly 2 (anti-log. 0.30) times higher in the animals under study than in spheres of equal weight or volume. In organisms of extreme shapes as the python (10^{4.5} g) and the beech trees (especially marked in fig. 2) the surface is about 3 and 10 times, respectively, greater than in a sphere of equal weight and volume. These facts agree well with the values 3-11.2 for the constant *k* in the formula

$$\text{body surface in cm}^2 = k \cdot \text{body weight}^{0.67}$$

as tabulated by ROBINSON (1928, p. 175) for various birds and mammals weighing 5 g-14 kg; because this is about double the value of *k* for sphere surface (4.83). The value of *k* (13.05) found by ROBINSON (1940) for *Ascaris* in 2.9 times 4.83, and this corresponds well with the above mentioned figure 3 for the much larger python of similar shape.




Hemmingsen's "fit" is for a 2/3 power, notes possible 10 kg transition. [?]




p 46: "The energy metabolism thus definitely varies interpecifically over similar wide weight ranges with a higher power of the body weight than the body surface."


Earlier theories (1977):


Building on the surface area idea...


 Blum (1977) ^[5] speculates on four-dimensional biology:

$$P \propto M^{(d-1)/d}$$

 $d = 3$ gives $\alpha = 2/3$

 $d = 4$ gives $\alpha = 3/4$

 So we need another dimension...

 Obviously, a bit silly... ^[39]

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion


References



Nutrient delivering networks:

1960's: Rashevsky considers blood networks and finds a $2/3$ scaling.

1997: West *et al.* [46] use a network story to find $3/4$ scaling.



Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References




Nutrient delivering networks:

COcoNuTS


West et al.'s assumptions:

1. hierarchical network
2. capillaries (delivery units) invariant
3. network impedance is minimized via evolution

Claims:

 $P \propto M^{3/4}$

 networks are fractal

 quarter powers everywhere

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks


Earlier theories

Geometric
argument


Real networks

Conclusion


References




Impedance measures:


 Poiseuille flow (outer branches):


$$Z = \frac{8\mu}{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{\ell_k}{r_k^4 N_k}$$

 Pulsatile flow (main branches):

$$Z \propto \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{h_k^{1/2}}{r_k^{5/2} N_k}$$

 Wheel out Lagrange multipliers ...

 Poiseuille gives $P \propto M^1$ with a logarithmic correction.

 Pulsatile calculation explodes into flames.

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Not so fast ...

Actually, model shows:

- ❏ $P \propto M^{3/4}$ does not follow for pulsatile flow
- ❏ networks are not necessarily fractal.

Do find:

- ❏ Murray's cube law (1927) for outer branches: [31]

$$r_0^3 = r_1^3 + r_2^3$$

- ❏ Impedance is distributed evenly.
- ❏ Can still assume networks are fractal.

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Connecting network structure to α

1. Ratios of network parameters:


$$R_n = \frac{n_{k+1}}{n_k}, R_\ell = \frac{\ell_{k+1}}{\ell_k}, R_r = \frac{r_{k+1}}{r_k}$$

2. Number of capillaries $\propto P \propto M^\alpha$.

$$\Rightarrow \alpha = -\frac{\ln R_n}{\ln R_r^2 R_\ell}$$


(also problematic due to prefactor issues)

Obliviously soldiering on, we could assert:

 area-preservingness:

$$R_r = R_n^{-1/2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha = 3/4$$

 space-fillingness: $R_\ell = R_n^{-1/3}$



Data from real networks:

Network	R_n	R_r	R_ℓ	$-\frac{\ln R_r}{\ln R_n}$	$-\frac{\ln R_\ell}{\ln R_n}$	α
West <i>et al.</i>	-	-	-	1/2	1/3	3/4
rat (PAT)	2.76	1.58	1.60	0.45	0.46	0.73
cat (PAT) (Turcotte <i>et al.</i> [43])	3.67	1.71	1.78	0.41	0.44	0.79
dog (PAT)	3.69	1.67	1.52	0.39	0.32	0.90
pig (LCX)	3.57	1.89	2.20	0.50	0.62	0.62
pig (RCA)	3.50	1.81	2.12	0.47	0.60	0.65
pig (LAD)	3.51	1.84	2.02	0.49	0.56	0.65
human (PAT)	3.03	1.60	1.49	0.42	0.36	0.83
human (PAT)	3.36	1.56	1.49	0.37	0.33	0.94

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Metabolism and
TruthicideDeath by
fractionsMeasuring
allometric
exponents

River networks


Earlier theoriesGeometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References

Some people understand it's truly a disaster:



“Power, Sex, Suicide: Mitochondria and the
Meaning of Life” 
by Nick Lane (2005). [25]

“As so often happens in science, the apparently solid foundations of a field turned to rubble on closer inspection.”



Let's never talk about this again:



"The fourth dimension of life: Fractal geometry and allometric scaling of organisms" ↗

West, Brown, and Emquist, Science Magazine, , , 1999. [45]

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion


References


- ❏ No networks: Scaling argument for energy exchange area a .
- ❏ Distinguish between biological and physical length scales (distance between mitochondria versus cell radius).
- ❏ Buckingham π action. [8]
- ❏ Arrive at $a \propto M^{D/D+1}$ and $\ell \propto M^{1/D}$.
- ❏ New disaster: after going on about fractality of a , then state $v \propto al$ in general.




Really, quite confused:

Whole 2004 issue of Functional Ecology addresses the problem:

 J. Kozlowski, M. Konrzewski. "Is West, Brown and Enquist's model of allometric scaling mathematically correct and biologically relevant?" Functional Ecology 18: 283–9, 2004. ^[24]

 J. H. Brown, G. B. West, and B. J. Enquist. "Yes, West, Brown and Enquist's model of allometric scaling is both mathematically correct and biologically relevant." Functional Ecology 19: 735–738, 2005. ^[7]

 J. Kozlowski, M. Konrzewski. "West, Brown and Enquist's model of allometric scaling again: the same questions remain." Functional Ecology 19: 739–743, 2005.

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks


Earlier theories

Geometric argument





Real networks





Conclusion

References



Simple supply networks:



-  Banavar et al., Nature, (1999) [1].
-  Flow rate argument.
-  Ignore impedance.
-  Very general attempt to find most efficient transportation networks.

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument


Real networks

Conclusion


References




Simple supply networks

 Banavar *et al.* find 'most efficient' networks with


$$P \propto M^{d/(d+1)}$$


 ... but also find

$$V_{\text{network}} \propto M^{(d+1)/d}$$

 $d = 3$:

$$V_{\text{blood}} \propto M^{4/3}$$

 Consider a 3 g shrew with $V_{\text{blood}} = 0.1V_{\text{body}}$

 \Rightarrow 3000 kg elephant with $V_{\text{blood}} = 10V_{\text{body}}$

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories


Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References





Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks


Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Geometric argument




“Optimal Form of Branching Supply and Collection Networks”

Peter Sheridan Dodds,

Phys. Rev. Lett., **104**, 048702, 2010. ^[11]

- Consider **one source** supplying **many sinks** in a d -dim. volume in a D -dim. ambient space.
- Assume **sinks are invariant**.
- Assume sink density $\rho = \rho(V)$.
- Assume some cap on flow speed of material.
- See network as a bundle of virtual vessels:



Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument


Real networks


Conclusion

References




Geometric argument


 **Q:** how does the number of sustainable sinks N_{sinks} scale with volume V for the most efficient network design?


 **Or:** what is the highest α for $N_{\text{sinks}} \propto V^\alpha$?



Geometric argument


 Allometrically growing regions:



 Have d length scales which scale as

$$L_i \propto V^{\gamma_i} \text{ where } \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \dots + \gamma_d = 1.$$

 For **isometric** growth, $\gamma_i = 1/d$.

 For **allometric** growth, we must have at least two of the $\{\gamma_i\}$ being different

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument
Real networks


Conclusion

References




Spherical cows and pancake cows:

Assume an isometrically scaling family of cows:



Extremes of allometry:
The pancake cows—



COCoNuTS

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References





Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories


Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References





Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument


Real networks


Conclusion



References



Spherical cows and pancake cows:

 **Question:** How does the surface area S_{COW} of our two types of cows scale with cow volume V_{COW} ?

Insert question from assignment 3 


 **Question:** For general families of regions, how does surface area S scale with volume V ? Insert question from assignment 3 



Geometric argument



Best and worst configurations (Banavar et al.)



Rather obviously:

$$\min V_{\text{net}} \propto \sum \text{distances from source to sinks.}$$



Minimal network volume:

Real supply networks are close to optimal:




Figure 1. (a) Commuter rail network in the Boston area. The arrow marks the assumed root of the network. (b) Star graph. (c) Minimum spanning tree. (d) The model of equation (3) applied to the same set of stations.

Gastner and Newman (2006): "Shape and efficiency in spatial distribution networks" ^[15]

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents


River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument
Real networks

Conclusion


References





"Rules for Biologically Inspired Adaptive Network Design"

Tero et al.,
Science, **327**, 439-442, 2010. ^[42]



COCoNuTS

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References




Urban deslime in action:





<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GwKuFREOgmo> 




Minimal network volume:

We add one more element:




-  Vessel cross-sectional area may vary with distance from the source.
-  Flow rate increases as cross-sectional area decreases.
-  e.g., a collection network may have vessels tapering as they approach the central sink.
-  Find that vessel volume v must scale with vessel length l to affect overall system scalings.



Minimal network volume:

Effecting scaling:



- Consider vessel radius $r \propto (l + 1)^{-\epsilon}$, tapering from $r = r_{\text{max}}$ where $\epsilon \geq 0$.
- Gives $v \propto l^{1-2\epsilon}$ if $\epsilon < 1/2$
- Gives $v \propto 1 - l^{-(2\epsilon-1)} \rightarrow 1$ for large l if $\epsilon > 1/2$
- Previously, we looked at $\epsilon = 0$ only.

Metabolism and
TruthicideDeath by
fractionsMeasuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument
Real networks

Conclusion

References



Minimal network volume:

For $0 \leq \epsilon < 1/2$, approximate network volume by integral over region:

$$\min V_{\text{net}} \propto \int_{\Omega_{d,D}(V)} \rho \|\vec{x}\|^{1-2\epsilon} d\vec{x}$$

Insert question , assignment 3  <2->

$$\propto \rho V^{1+\gamma_{\max}(1-2\epsilon)} \text{ where } \gamma_{\max} = \max_i \gamma_i.$$

For $\epsilon > 1/2$, find simply that

$$\min V_{\text{net}} \propto \rho V$$



So if supply lines can taper fast enough and without limit, minimum network volume can be made negligible.



For $0 \leq \epsilon < 1/2$:



$$\min V_{\text{net}} \propto \rho V^{1+\gamma_{\text{max}}(1-2\epsilon)}$$



If scaling is **isometric**, we have $\gamma_{\text{max}} = 1/d$:

$$\min V_{\text{net/iso}} \propto \rho V^{1+(1-2\epsilon)/d}$$



If scaling is **allometric**, we have $\gamma_{\text{max}} = \gamma_{\text{allo}} > 1/d$:
and

$$\min V_{\text{net/allo}} \propto \rho V^{1+(1-2\epsilon)\gamma_{\text{allo}}}$$



Isometrically growing volumes **require less network volume** than allometrically growing volumes:

$$\frac{\min V_{\text{net/iso}}}{\min V_{\text{net/allo}}} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } V \rightarrow \infty$$

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



For $\epsilon > 1/2$:



$$\min V_{\text{net}} \propto \rho V$$



Network volume scaling is now independent of overall shape scaling.

Limits to scaling



Can argue that ϵ must effectively be 0 for real networks over large enough scales.



Limit to how fast material can move, and how small material packages can be.



e.g., blood velocity and blood cell size.

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks


Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Blood networks

- Velocity at capillaries and aorta approximately constant across body size ^[44]: $\epsilon = 0$.
- Material costly** \Rightarrow expect lower optimal bound of $V_{\text{net}} \propto \rho V^{(d+1)/d}$ to be followed closely.
- For cardiovascular networks, $d = D = 3$.
- Blood volume scales linearly with body volume ^[40], $V_{\text{net}} \propto V$.
- Sink density must \therefore decrease as volume increases:

$$\rho \propto V^{-1/d}.$$

- Density of supplyable sinks **decreases** with organism size.

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Then P , the rate of overall energy use in Ω , can at most scale with volume as

$$P \propto \rho V \propto \rho M \propto M^{(d-1)/d}$$

For $d = 3$ dimensional organisms, we have

$$P \propto M^{2/3}$$

Including other constraints may raise scaling exponent to a higher, less efficient value.

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories


Geometric
argument

Real networks


Conclusion

References



- Exciting bonus: Scaling obtained by the supply network story and the surface-area law **only match** for isometrically growing shapes. Insert question from assignment 3 

The surface area—supply network mismatch for allometrically growing shapes:



Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories


Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References





Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Recall:

- 🧱 The exponent $\alpha = 2/3$ works for all birds and mammals up to 10–30 kg
- 🧱 For mammals $> 10\text{--}30$ kg, maybe we have a new scaling regime
- 🧱 Economos: limb length break in scaling around 20 kg
- 🧱 White and Seymour, 2005: unhappy with large herbivore measurements. Find $\alpha \simeq 0.686 \pm 0.014$



Stefan-Boltzmann law:



$$\frac{dE}{dt} = \sigma S T^4$$

where S is surface and T is temperature.



Very rough estimate of prefactor based on scaling of normal mammalian body temperature and surface area S :

$$B \simeq 10^5 M^{2/3} \text{erg/sec.}$$



Measured for $M \leq 10$ kg:

$$B = 2.57 \times 10^5 M^{2/3} \text{erg/sec.}$$

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks


Earlier theories

Geometric
argument


Real networks


Conclusion

References




River networks


 View river networks as collection networks.


 Many sources and one sink.

 ϵ ?


 Assume ρ is constant over time and $\epsilon = 0$:


$$V_{\text{net}} \propto \rho V^{(d+1)/d} = \text{constant} \times V^{3/2}$$

 Network volume grows faster than basin 'volume' (really area).

 **It's all okay:**

Landscapes are $d=2$ surfaces living in $D=3$ dimensions.

 Streams can grow not just in width but in depth...

 If $\epsilon > 0$, V_{net} will grow more slowly but 3/2 appears to be confirmed from real data.

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument


Real networks


Conclusion

References




Hack's law


 Volume of water in river network can be calculated by adding up basin areas

 Flows sum in such a way that

$$V_{\text{net}} = \sum_{\text{all pixels}} a_{\text{pixel } i}$$


 Hack's law again:

$$\ell \sim a^h$$

 Can argue

$$V_{\text{net}} \propto V_{\text{basin}}^{1+h} = a_{\text{basin}}^{1+h}$$


where h is Hack's exponent.


 \therefore minimal volume calculations gives


$$h = 1/2$$





Real data:

 Banavar et al.'s approach^[1] is okay because ρ really is constant.

 **The irony:** shows optimal basins are isometric

 Optimal Hack's law: $l \sim a^h$ with $h = 1/2$

 (Zzzzzz)



From Banavar et al. (1999)^[1]

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument


Real networks

Conclusion

References



Even better—prefactors match up:



Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks


Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



The Cabal strikes back:

COcoNuTS

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks




Earlier theories


Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References

-  Banavar et al., 2010, PNAS:
"A general basis for quarter-power scaling in animals." [2]
-  "It has been known for decades that the metabolic rate of animals scales with body mass with an exponent that is almost always < 1 , $> 2/3$, and often very close to $3/4$."
-  Cough, cough, cough, hack, wheeze, cough.



Stories—Darth Quarter:

COcoNuTS



Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



Some people understand it's truly a disaster: ↗



Peter Sheridan Dodds, Theoretical Biology's Buzzkill

By Mark Changizi | February 9th 2010 03:24 PM | 1 comment | [Print](#) | [E-mail](#) | [Track Comments](#)

rss Share / Save [f](#) [t](#) [...](#) [Tweet](#) [Like](#)



Mark Changizi

Search This Blog

There is an apocryphal story about a graduate mathematics student at the University of Virginia studying the properties of certain mathematical objects. In his fifth year some killjoy bastard elsewhere published a paper proving that there are no such mathematical objects. He dropped out of the program, and I never did hear where he is today. He's probably making my cappuccino right now.

This week, a professor named Peter Sheridan Dodds published a new paper in *Physical Review Letters* further fleshing out a theory concerning why a $2/3$ power law may apply for metabolic rate. The $2/3$ law says that metabolic rate in animals rises as the $2/3$ power of body mass. It was in a 2001 *Journal of Theoretical Biology* paper that he first argued that perhaps a $2/3$ law applies, and that paper – along with others such as the one that just appeared -- is what has put him in the Killjoy Hall of Fame. The University of Virginia's killjoy was a mere amateur.

Mark Changizi

MORE ARTICLES

- [The Ravenous Color-Blind: New Developments For Color-Deficients](#)
- [Don't Hold Your Breath Waiting For Artificial Brains](#)
- [Welcome To Humans, Version 3.0](#)

All Articles

ABOUT MARK

Mark Changizi is Director of Human Cognition at 2AI, and the author of *The Vision Revolution* (Benbella 2009) and *Harnessed: How...*

[View Mark's Profile](#)

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



The unnecessary bafflement continues:

COcoNuTS

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument


Real networks

Conclusion

References


“Testing the metabolic theory of ecology” [33]

C. Price, J. S. Weitz, V. Savage, J. Stegen, A. Clarke, D. Coomes, P. S. Dodds, R. Etienne, A. Kerkhoff, K. McCulloh, K. Niklas, H. Olff, and N. Swenson
Ecology Letters, **15**, 1465–1474, 2012.



Artisanal, handcrafted silliness:

“Critical truths about power laws”^[41]
Stumpf and Porter, Science, 2012



How good is your power law? The chart reflects the level of statistical support—as measured in (16, 21)—and our opinion about the mechanistic sophistication underlying hypothetical generative models for various reported power laws. Some relationships are identified by name; the others reflect the general characteristics of a wide range of reported power laws. Allometric scaling stands out from the other power laws reported for complex systems.



Call generalization of Central Limit Theorem, stable distributions. Also: PLIPL0 action.



Summary: Wow.



Conclusion

- Supply network story consistent with dimensional analysis.
- Isometrically growing regions can be more efficiently supplied than allometrically growing ones.
- Ambient and region dimensions matter ($D = d$ versus $D > d$).
- Deviations from optimal scaling suggest inefficiency (e.g., gravity for organisms, geological boundaries).
- Actual details of branching networks not that important.
- Exact nature of self-similarity varies.
- 2/3-scaling lives on, largely in hiding.
- 3/4-scaling? Jury ruled a mistrial.
- The truth will out. Maybe.

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks


Earlier theories

Geometric argument




Real networks

Conclusion

References



References I

- [1] J. R. Banavar, A. Maritan, and A. Rinaldo.
Size and form in efficient transportation
networks.
[Nature](#), 399:130–132, 1999. [pdf](#) 
- [2] J. R. Banavar, M. E. Moses, J. H. Brown, J. Damuth,
A. Rinaldo, R. M. Sibly, and A. Maritan.
A general basis for quarter-power scaling in
animals.
[Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.](#), 107:15816–15820, 2010.
[pdf](#) 
- [3] P. Bennett and P. Harvey.
Active and resting metabolism in
birds—allometry, phylogeny and ecology.
[J. Zool.](#), 213:327–363, 1987. [pdf](#) 

COcoNuTS

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks


Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



References II

[4] K. L. Blaxter, editor.
Energy Metabolism; Proceedings of the 3rd
symposium held at Troon, Scotland, May 1964.
Academic Press, New York, 1965.

[5] J. J. Blum.
On the geometry of four-dimensions and the
relationship between metabolism and body
mass.
J. Theor. Biol., 64:599–601, 1977. pdf ↗

[6] S. Brody.
Bioenergetics and Growth.
Reinhold, New York, 1945.
reprint, . pdf ↗

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



References III

[7] J. H. Brown, G. B. West, and B. J. Enquist.
Yes, West, Brown and Enquist's model of
allometric scaling mathematically correct and
biologically relevant?
[Functional Ecology](#), 19:735--738, 2005. pdf ↗

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References




[8] E. Buckingham.
On physically similar systems: Illustrations of the
use of dimensional equations.
[Phys. Rev.](#), 4:345-376, 1914. pdf ↗

[9] A. Clauset, C. R. Shalizi, and M. E. J. Newman.
Power-law distributions in empirical data.
[SIAM Review](#), 51:661-703, 2009. pdf ↗



[10] M. H. DeGroot.
[Probability and Statistics](#).
Addison-Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts, 1975.

References IV

- [11] P. S. Dodds.
Optimal form of branching supply and collection networks.
[Phys. Rev. Lett., 104\(4\):048702, 2010. pdf](#) 
- [12] P. S. Dodds and D. H. Rothman.
Scaling, universality, and geomorphology.
[Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci., 28:571–610, 2000.](#)
[pdf](#) 
- [13] P. S. Dodds, D. H. Rothman, and J. S. Weitz.
Re-examination of the “3/4-law” of metabolism.
[Journal of Theoretical Biology, 209:9–27, 2001.](#)
[pdf](#) 

Metabolism and
Truithicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument




Real networks

Conclusion

References



References V

- [14] A. E. Economos.
Elastic and/or geometric similarity in mammalian design.
[Journal of Theoretical Biology, 103:167–172, 1983.](#)
[pdf](#) 
- [15] M. T. Gastner and M. E. J. Newman.
Shape and efficiency in spatial distribution networks.
[J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. & Exp., 1:P01015, 2006.](#)
[pdf](#) 
- [16] D. S. Glazier.
Beyond the '3/4-power law': variation in the intra- and interspecific scaling of metabolic rate in animals.
[Biol. Rev., 80:611–662, 2005.](#) [pdf](#) 

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



References VI

[17] D. S. Glazier.

The 3/4-power law is not universal: Evolution of isometric, ontogenetic metabolic scaling in pelagic animals.

[BioScience](#), 56:325–332, 2006. pdf ↗

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References

[18] J. T. Hack.

Studies of longitudinal stream profiles in Virginia and Maryland.

[United States Geological Survey Professional Paper](#), 294-B:45–97, 1957. pdf ↗






[19] A. Hemmingsen.

The relation of standard (basal) energy metabolism to total fresh weight of living organisms.

[Rep. Steno Mem. Hosp.](#), 4:1–58, 1950. pdf ↗


References VII

- [20] A. Hemmingsen.
Energy metabolism as related to body size and respiratory surfaces, and its evolution.
[Rep. Steno Mem. Hosp., 9:1–110, 1960. pdf](#) 
- [21] A. A. Heusner.
Size and power in mammals.
[Journal of Experimental Biology, 160:25–54, 1991. pdf](#) 
- [22] M. Kleiber.
Body size and metabolism.
[Hilgardia, 6:315–353, 1932. pdf](#) 
- [23] M. Kleiber.
The Fire of Life. An Introduction to Animal Energetics.
Wiley, New York, 1961.



References VIII

- [24] J. Kozłowski and M. Konarzewski.
Is West, Brown and Enquist's model of allometric scaling mathematically correct and biologically relevant?

[Functional Ecology, 18:283--289, 2004. pdf](#) 

- [25] N. Lane.
Power, Sex, Suicide: Mitochondria and the Meaning of Life.

[Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 2005.](#)

- [26] L. B. Leopold.
A View of the River.



[Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA, 1994.](#)

- [27] T. McMahon.
Size and shape in biology.

[Science, 179:1201-1204, 1973. pdf](#) 



References IX

- [28] T. A. McMahon.
Allometry and biomechanics: Limb bones in adult ungulates.
[The American Naturalist](#), 109:547–563, 1975.
[pdf](#) 
- [29] T. A. McMahon and J. T. Bonner.
On Size and Life.
[Scientific American Library](#), New York, 1983.
- [30] D. R. Montgomery and W. E. Dietrich.
Channel initiation and the problem of landscape scale.
[Science](#), 255:826–30, 1992. [pdf](#) 

COcoNuTS

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



References X

- [31] C. D. Murray.
A relationship between circumference and weight
in trees and its bearing on branching angles.
[J. Gen. Physiol., 10:725-729, 1927. pdf](#)
- [32] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and
B. P. Flannery.
[Numerical Recipes in C.](#)
Cambridge University Press, second edition, 1992.
- [33] C. Price, J. S. Weitz, V. Savage, S. Stegen, A. Clarke,
D. Coomes, P. S. Dodds, R. Etienne, A. Kerkhoff,
K. McCulloh, K. Niklas, H. Olff, and N. Swenson.
Testing the metabolic theory of ecology.
[Ecology Letters, 15:1465-1474, 2012. pdf](#)

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks


Conclusion

References







References XI

- [34] J. M. V. Rayner.
Linear relations in biomechanics: the statistics of scaling functions.
[J. Zool. Lond. \(A\), 206:415–439, 1985. pdf](#) ↗
- [35] M. Rubner.
Ueber den einfluss der körpergrösse auf stoffund kraftwechsel.
[Z. Biol., 19:535–562, 1883. pdf](#) ↗
- [36] P. A. Samuelson.
A note on alternative regressions.
[Econometrica, 10:80–83, 1942. pdf](#) ↗
- [37] Sarrus and Rameaux.
Rapport sur une mémoire adressé à l'Académie de Médecine.
[Bull. Acad. R. Méd. \(Paris\), 3:1094–1100, 1838–39.](#)



References XII

- [38] V. M. Savage, E. J. Deeds, and W. Fontana.
Sizing up allometric scaling theory.
[PLoS Computational Biology](#), 4:e1000171, 2008.
[pdf](#) 
- [39] J. Speakman.
On Blum's four-dimensional geometric
explanation for the 0.75 exponent in metabolic
allometry.
[J. Theor. Biol.](#), 144(1):139–141, 1990. [pdf](#) 
- [40] W. R. Stahl.
Scaling of respiratory variables in mammals.
[Journal of Applied Physiology](#), 22:453–460, 1967.
- [41] M. P. H. Stumpf and M. A. Porter.
Critical truths about power laws.
[Science](#), 335:665–666, 2012. [pdf](#) 



References XIII

- [42] A. Tero, S. Takagi, T. Saigusa, K. Ito, D. P. Bebber, M. D. Fricker, K. Yumiki, R. Kobayashi, and T. Nakagaki.

Rules for biologically inspired adaptive network design.

[Science](#), 327(5964):439–442, 2010. pdf ↗

- [43] D. L. Turcotte, J. D. Pelletier, and W. I. Newman.
Networks with side branching in biology.

[Journal of Theoretical Biology](#), 193:577–592, 1998.

pdf ↗

- [44] P. D. Weinberg and C. R. Ethier.

Twenty-fold difference in hemodynamic wall shear stress between murine and human aortas.

[Journal of Biomechanics](#), 40(7):1594–1598, 2007.

pdf ↗

Metabolism and Truthicide

Death by fractions

Measuring allometric exponents

River networks

Earlier theories




Geometric argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References



- [45] G. B. West, J. H. Brown, and J. Emquist.
The fourth dimension of life: Fractal geometry
and allometric scaling of organisms.
[Science Magazine, 1999. pdf](#) 
- [46] G. B. West, J. H. Brown, and B. J. Enquist.
A general model for the origin of allometric
scaling laws in biology.
[Science, 276:122–126, 1997. pdf](#) 
- [47] C. R. White and R. S. Seymour.
Allometric scaling of mammalian metabolism.
[J. Exp. Biol., 208:1611–1619, 2005. pdf](#) 

Metabolism and
Truthicide

Death by
fractions

Measuring
allometric
exponents

River networks

Earlier theories

Geometric
argument

Real networks

Conclusion

References

