Random walks and diffusion on networks

Complex Networks, Course 303A, Spring, 2009

Prof. Peter Dodds

Department of Mathematics & Statistics
University of Vermont

Outline

Random walks on networks—basics:

- Imagine a single random walker moving around on a network.
- At $t = 0$, start walker at node j and take time to be discrete.
- Q: What's the long term probability distribution for where the walker will be?
- Define $p_i(t)$ as the probability that at time step t, our walker is at node i.
- We want to characterize the evolution of $\vec{p}(t)$.
- First task: connect $\vec{p}(t+1)$ to $\vec{p}(t)$.

Random walks on networks—basics:

- Imagine a single random walker moving around on a network.
- At $t = 0$, start walker at node j and take time to be discrete.
- Q: What's the long term probability distribution for where the walker will be?
- Define $p_i(t)$ as the probability that at time step t, our walker is at node i.
- We want to characterize the evolution of $\vec{p}(t)$.
- First task: connect $\vec{p}(t+1)$ to $\vec{p}(t)$.

Random walks on networks—basics:

- Imagine a single random walker moving around on a network.
- At $t = 0$, start walker at node j and take time to be discrete.
- Q: What's the long term probability distribution for where the walker will be?
- Define $p_i(t)$ as the probability that at time step t, our walker is at node i.
- We want to characterize the evolution of $\vec{p}(t)$.
- First task: connect $\vec{p}(t+1)$ to $\vec{p}(t)$.

Random walks on networks—basics:

- Imagine a single random walker moving around on a network.
- At $t = 0$, start walker at node j and take time to be discrete.
- Q: What's the long term probability distribution for where the walker will be?
- Define $p_i(t)$ as the probability that at time step t, our walker is at node i.
- We want to characterize the evolution of $\vec{p}(t)$.
- First task: connect $\vec{p}(t+1)$ to $\vec{p}(t)$.

Random walks on networks—basics:

- Imagine a single random walker moving around on a network.
- At $t = 0$, start walker at node j and take time to be discrete.
- Q: What's the long term probability distribution for where the walker will be?
- Define $p_i(t)$ as the probability that at time step t, our walker is at node i.
- We want to characterize the evolution of $\vec{p}(t)$.
- First task: connect $\vec{p}(t+1)$ to $\vec{p}(t)$.

Random walks on networks—basics:

- Imagine a single random walker moving around on a network.
- At $t = 0$, start walker at node j and take time to be discrete.
- Q: What's the long term probability distribution for where the walker will be?
- Define $p_i(t)$ as the probability that at time step t, our walker is at node i.
- We want to characterize the evolution of $\vec{p}(t)$.
- First task: connect $\vec{p}(t+1)$ to $\vec{p}(t)$.

Random walks on networks—basics:

- Imagine a single random walker moving around on a network.
- At $t = 0$, start walker at node j and take time to be discrete.
- Q: What's the long term probability distribution for where the walker will be?
- Define $p_i(t)$ as the probability that at time step t, our walker is at node i.
- We want to characterize the evolution of $\vec{p}(t)$.
- First task: connect $\vec{p}(t+1)$ to $\vec{p}(t)$.
- Let's call our walker Barry.

Random walks on networks—basics:

- Imagine a single random walker moving around on a network.
- At $t = 0$, start walker at node j and take time to be discrete.
- Q: What's the long term probability distribution for where the walker will be?
- Define $p_i(t)$ as the probability that at time step t, our walker is at node i.
- We want to characterize the evolution of $\vec{p}(t)$.
- First task: connect $\vec{p}(t+1)$ to $\vec{p}(t)$.
- Let's call our walker Barry.
- Unfortunately for Barry, he lives on a high dimensional graph and is far from home.

Random walks on networks—basics:

- Imagine a single random walker moving around on a network.
- At $t = 0$, start walker at node j and take time to be discrete.
- Q: What's the long term probability distribution for where the walker will be?
- Define $p_i(t)$ as the probability that at time step t, our walker is at node i.
- We want to characterize the evolution of $\vec{p}(t)$.
- First task: connect $\vec{p}(t+1)$ to $\vec{p}(t)$.
- Let's call our walker Barry.
- Unfortunately for Barry, he lives on a high dimensional graph and is far from home.
- Worse still: Barry is hopelessly drunk.

Where is Barry?

- Consider simple undirected networks with an edges either present of absent.
- Represent network by a symmetric adjacency matrix A where

$$a_{ij} = 1 \text{ if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are connected,}$$
$$a_{ij} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

- Barry is at node i at time t with probability $p_i(t)$.
- In the next time step he randomly lurches toward one of i's neighbors.
- Equation-wise:

$$p_j(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_i} a_{ji} p_i(t).$$

where k_i is i's degree.

Where is Barry?

- Consider simple undirected networks with an edges either present of absent.
- Represent network by a symmetric adjacency matrix A where

$$a_{ij} = 1 \text{ if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are connected,}$$
$$a_{ij} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

- Barry is at node i at time t with probability $p_i(t)$.
- In the next time step he randomly lurches toward one of i's neighbors.
- Equation-wise:

$$p_j(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_i} a_{ji} p_i(t).$$

where k_i is i's degree.

Where is Barry?

- Consider simple undirected networks with an edges either present of absent.
- Represent network by a symmetric adjacency matrix A where

$$a_{ij} = 1 \text{ if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are connected,}$$
$$a_{ij} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

- Barry is at node i at time t with probability $p_i(t)$.
- In the next time step he randomly lurches toward one of i's neighbors.
- Equation-wise:

$$p_j(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_i} a_{ji} p_i(t).$$

where k_i is i's degree.

Where is Barry?

- Consider simple undirected networks with an edges either present of absent.
- Represent network by a symmetric adjacency matrix A where

$$a_{ij} = 1 \text{ if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are connected,}$$
$$a_{ij} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

- Barry is at node i at time t with probability $p_i(t)$.
- In the next time step he randomly lurches toward one of i's neighbors.
- Equation-wise:

$$p_j(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_i} a_{ji} p_i(t).$$

where k_i is i's degree.

Where is Barry?

- Consider simple undirected networks with an edges either present of absent.
- Represent network by a symmetric adjacency matrix A where

$$a_{ij} = 1 \text{ if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are connected,}$$
$$a_{ij} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

- Barry is at node i at time t with probability $p_i(t)$.
- In the next time step he randomly lurches toward one of i's neighbors.
- Equation-wise:

$$p_j(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_i} a_{ji} p_i(t).$$

where k_i is i's degree.

Where is Barry?

- Consider simple undirected networks with an edges either present of absent.
- Represent network by a symmetric adjacency matrix A where

$$a_{ij} = 1 \text{ if } i \text{ and } j \text{ are connected,}$$
$$a_{ij} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

- Barry is at node i at time t with probability $p_i(t)$.
- In the next time step he randomly lurches toward one of i's neighbors.
- Equation-wise:

$$p_j(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_i} a_{ji} p_i(t).$$

where k_i is i's degree. Note: $k_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}$.

Where is Barry?

- Linear algebra-based excitement:
  $p_j(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_i} a_{ji} p_i(t)$ is more usefully viewed as

  $$\vec{p}(t+1) = AK^{-1}\vec{p}(t)$$

  where $[K_{ij}] = [\delta_{ij} k_i]$ has node degrees on the main diagonal and zeros everywhere else.
- So... we need to find the dominant eigenvalue of AK^{-1}.
- Expect this eigenvalue will be 1 (doesn't make sense for total probability to change).
- The corresponding eigenvector will be the limiting probability distribution (or invariant measure).
- Extra concerns: multiplicity of eigenvalue = 1, and network connectedness.

Where is Barry?

- Linear algebra-based excitement:
  $p_j(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_i} a_{ji} p_i(t)$ is more usefully viewed as

  $$\vec{p}(t+1) = AK^{-1}\vec{p}(t)$$

  where $[K_{ij}] = [\delta_{ij} k_i]$ has node degrees on the main diagonal and zeros everywhere else.
- So... we need to find the dominant eigenvalue of AK^{-1}.
- Expect this eigenvalue will be 1 (doesn't make sense for total probability to change).
- The corresponding eigenvector will be the limiting probability distribution (or invariant measure).
- Extra concerns: multiplicity of eigenvalue = 1, and network connectedness.

Where is Barry?

- Linear algebra-based excitement: $p_j(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_i} a_{ji} p_i(t)$ is more usefully viewed as

$$\vec{p}(t+1) = AK^{-1}\vec{p}(t)$$

  where $[K_{ij}] = [\delta_{ij} k_i]$ has node degrees on the main diagonal and zeros everywhere else.
- So... we need to find the dominant eigenvalue of AK^{-1}.
- Expect this eigenvalue will be 1 (doesn't make sense for total probability to change).
- The corresponding eigenvector will be the limiting probability distribution (or invariant measure).
- Extra concerns: multiplicity of eigenvalue = 1, and network connectedness.

Where is Barry?

- Linear algebra-based excitement: $p_j(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_i} a_{ji} p_i(t)$ is more usefully viewed as

$$\vec{p}(t+1) = AK^{-1}\vec{p}(t)$$

  where $[K_{ij}] = [\delta_{ij} k_i]$ has node degrees on the main diagonal and zeros everywhere else.
- So... we need to find the dominant eigenvalue of AK^{-1}.
- Expect this eigenvalue will be 1 (doesn't make sense for total probability to change).
- The corresponding eigenvector will be the limiting probability distribution (or invariant measure).
- Extra concerns: multiplicity of eigenvalue = 1, and network connectedness.

Where is Barry?

- Linear algebra-based excitement: $p_j(t+1) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k_i} a_{ji} p_i(t)$ is more usefully viewed as

$$\vec{p}(t+1) = AK^{-1}\vec{p}(t)$$

  where $[K_{ij}] = [\delta_{ij} k_i]$ has node degrees on the main diagonal and zeros everywhere else.
- So... we need to find the dominant eigenvalue of AK^{-1}.
- Expect this eigenvalue will be 1 (doesn't make sense for total probability to change).
- The corresponding eigenvector will be the limiting probability distribution (or invariant measure).
- Extra concerns: multiplicity of eigenvalue = 1, and network connectedness.

Where is Barry?

- By inspection, we see that

$$\vec{p}(\infty) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i}\vec{k}$$

  satisfies $\vec{p}(\infty) = AK^{-1}\vec{p}(\infty)$ with eigenvalue 1.
- We will find Barry at node i with probability proportional to its degree k_i.
- Nice implication: probability of finding Barry travelling along any edge is uniform.
- Diffusion in real space smooths things out.
- On networks, uniformity occurs on edges.
- So in fact, diffusion in real space is about the edges too but we just don't see that.

Where is Barry?

- By inspection, we see that

$$\vec{p}(\infty) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i}\vec{k}$$

  satisfies $\vec{p}(\infty) = AK^{-1}\vec{p}(\infty)$ with eigenvalue 1.
- We will find Barry at node i with probability proportional to its degree k_i.
- Nice implication: probability of finding Barry travelling along any edge is uniform.
- Diffusion in real space smooths things out.
- On networks, uniformity occurs on edges.
- So in fact, diffusion in real space is about the edges too but we just don't see that.

Where is Barry?

- By inspection, we see that

$$\vec{p}(\infty) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i} \vec{k}$$

satisfies $\vec{p}(\infty) = AK^{-1}\vec{p}(\infty)$ with eigenvalue 1.

- We will find Barry at node i with probability proportional to its degree k_i.
- Nice implication: probability of finding Barry travelling along any edge is uniform.
- Diffusion in real space smooths things out.
- On networks, uniformity occurs on edges.
- So in fact, diffusion in real space is about the edges too but we just don't see that.

Where is Barry?

- By inspection, we see that

$$\vec{p}(\infty) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i} \vec{k}$$

  satisfies $\vec{p}(\infty) = AK^{-1}\vec{p}(\infty)$ with eigenvalue 1.
- We will find Barry at node i with probability proportional to its degree k_i.
- Nice implication: probability of finding Barry travelling along any edge is uniform.
- Diffusion in real space smooths things out.
- On networks, uniformity occurs on edges.
- So in fact, diffusion in real space is about the edges too but we just don't see that.

Where is Barry?

- By inspection, we see that

$$\vec{p}(\infty) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i} \vec{k}$$

satisfies $\vec{p}(\infty) = AK^{-1}\vec{p}(\infty)$ with eigenvalue 1.
- We will find Barry at node i with probability proportional to its degree k_i.
- Nice implication: probability of finding Barry travelling along any edge is uniform.
- Diffusion in real space smooths things out.
- On networks, uniformity occurs on edges.
- So in fact, diffusion in real space is about the edges too but we just don't see that.

Where is Barry?

- By inspection, we see that

$$\vec{p}(\infty) = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} k_i} \vec{k}$$

  satisfies $\vec{p}(\infty) = AK^{-1}\vec{p}(\infty)$ with eigenvalue 1.
- We will find Barry at node i with probability proportional to its degree k_i.
- Nice implication: probability of finding Barry travelling along any edge is uniform.
- Diffusion in real space smooths things out.
- On networks, uniformity occurs on edges.
- So in fact, diffusion in real space is about the edges too but we just don't see that.

Other pieces:

- Good news: AK^{-1} is similar to a real symmetric matrix.
- Consider the transformation $M = K^{-1/2}$:

$$K^{-1/2}AK^{-1}K^{1/2} = K^{-1/2}AK^{-1/2}.$$

- Since $A^{\mathrm{T}} = A$, we have

$$(K^{-1/2}AK^{-1/2})^{\mathrm{T}} = K^{-1/2}AK^{-1/2}.$$

- Upshot: AK^{-1} has real eigenvalues and a complete set of orthogonal eigenvectors.
- Can also show that maximum eigenvalue magnitude is indeed 1.
- Other goodies: next time round.

Other pieces:

- Good news: AK^{-1} is similar to a real symmetric matrix.
- Consider the transformation $M = K^{-1/2}$:

$$K^{-1/2}AK^{-1}K^{1/2} = K^{-1/2}AK^{-1/2}.$$

- Since $A^{\mathrm{T}} = A$, we have

$$(K^{-1/2}AK^{-1/2})^{\mathrm{T}} = K^{-1/2}AK^{-1/2}.$$

- Upshot: AK^{-1} has real eigenvalues and a complete set of orthogonal eigenvectors.
- Can also show that maximum eigenvalue magnitude is indeed 1.
- Other goodies: next time round.

Other pieces:

- Good news: AK^{-1} is similar to a real symmetric matrix.
- Consider the transformation $M = K^{-1/2}$:

$$K^{-1/2} A K^{-1} K^{1/2} = K^{-1/2} A K^{-1/2}.$$

- Since $A^{\mathrm{T}} = A$, we have

$$(K^{-1/2} A K^{-1/2})^{\mathrm{T}} = K^{-1/2} A K^{-1/2}.$$

- Upshot: AK^{-1} has real eigenvalues and a complete set of orthogonal eigenvectors.
- Can also show that maximum eigenvalue magnitude is indeed 1.
- Other goodies: next time round.

Other pieces:

- Good news: AK^{-1} is similar to a real symmetric matrix.
- Consider the transformation $M = K^{-1/2}$:

$$K^{-1/2} A K^{-1} K^{1/2} = K^{-1/2} A K^{-1/2}.$$

- Since $A^{\mathrm{T}} = A$, we have

$$(K^{-1/2} A K^{-1/2})^{\mathrm{T}} = K^{-1/2} A K^{-1/2}.$$

- Upshot: AK^{-1} has real eigenvalues and a complete set of orthogonal eigenvectors.
- Can also show that maximum eigenvalue magnitude is indeed 1.
- Other goodies: next time round.

Other pieces:

- Good news: AK^{-1} is similar to a real symmetric matrix.
- Consider the transformation $M = K^{-1/2}$:

$$K^{-1/2} A K^{-1} K^{1/2} = K^{-1/2} A K^{-1/2}.$$

- Since $A^{\mathrm{T}} = A$, we have

$$(K^{-1/2} A K^{-1/2})^{\mathrm{T}} = K^{-1/2} A K^{-1/2}.$$

- Upshot: AK^{-1} has real eigenvalues and a complete set of orthogonal eigenvectors.
- Can also show that maximum eigenvalue magnitude is indeed 1.
- Other goodies: next time round.

Other pieces:

- Good news: AK^{-1} is similar to a real symmetric matrix.
- Consider the transformation $M = K^{-1/2}$:

$$K^{-1/2} A K^{-1} K^{1/2} = K^{-1/2} A K^{-1/2}.$$

- Since $A^{\mathrm{T}} = A$, we have

$$(K^{-1/2} A K^{-1/2})^{\mathrm{T}} = K^{-1/2} A K^{-1/2}.$$

- Upshot: AK^{-1} has real eigenvalues and a complete set of orthogonal eigenvectors.
- Can also show that maximum eigenvalue magnitude is indeed 1.
- Other goodies: next time round.

References I