Small-world networks

Last updated: 2024/11/11, 14:11:37 EST

Principles of Complex Systems, Vols. 1, 2, & 3D CSYS/MATH 6701, 6713, & a pretend number, 2024-2025

Prof. Peter Sheridan Dodds

Computational Story Lab | Vermont Complex Systems Center Santa Fe Institute | University of Vermont



Outline

Small-world networks

Experiments Theory Generalized affiliation networks Nutshell

References

People thinking about people:

How are social networks structured?

- How do we define and measure connections?
- Methods/issues of self-report and remote sensing.

What about the dynamics of social networks?

- How do social networks/movements begin & evolve?

What can people and computers do together? (google)

Small-world networks 1 of 66

Small-world networks

2 of 66

References

Small-world networks

Small-world network

5 of 66

Social Search

A small slice of the pie:

- Q. Can people pass messages between distant individuals using only their existing social connections?
- A. Apparently yes ...

Milgram's social search experiment (1960s)



- Target person = Boston stockbroker.
- 296 senders from Boston and Omaha.
- 20% of senders reached target.
- \Leftrightarrow chain length $\simeq 6.5$.

- The Small World Phenomenon;
- Six Degrees of Separation.



http://www.stanleymilgram.com

Popular terms:

From Frigyes Karinthy's "Chain-links" I in "Everything is Different", 1929:

'A fascinating game grew out of this discussion. One of us suggested performing the following experiment to prove that the population of the Earth is closer together now than they have ever been before. We should select any person from the 1.5 billion inhabitants of the Earth-anyone, anywhere at all. He bet us that, using no more than five individuals, one of whom is a personal acquaintance, he could contact the selected individual using nothing except the network of personal acquaintances. For example, "Look, you know Mr. X.Y., please ask him to contact his friend Mr. Q.Z., whom he knows, and so forth."

Six Degrees of Kevin Bacon: Small-world networks 6 of 66

of the Universe"

The Oracle of Bacon

Six Degrees of Paul Erdös:



- Academic papers.
- 备 Erdös Number 🗹
- 🙈 Erdös Number Project 🗹
- So naturally we must have the Erdös-Bacon Number .
- Story Lab alum has EB# < ∞.</p>
- Natalie Hershlag's (Portman's) EB# = 5 + 2 = 7.
- The EBS# is also a thing: erdosbaconsabbath.com ...

Small-world networks Small-world network


Small-world network

References

References

7 of 66

Good Will Hunting:



🙈 Boardwork by Dan Kleitman 🗹, EB# = 1 +2=3.

🗞 See Kleitman's sidebar in Mark Saul's Movie Review (Notices of the AMS, Vol. 45, 1998.)

You may already be a winner in NSA's Small-world network 8 of 66 "three-degrees" surveillance sweepstakes! Small-world network NSA's probes could cover hundreds of millions of Americans, Thanks, Kevin Bacon

by Sean Gallamber - July 18 2013, 4:00nm EDT

Amy people are within three degrees from a random person ...

Small-world network 11 of 66 Small-world network

The PoCSverse

Small-world networks 9 of 66

Small-world network

Small-world network

10 of 66


- How does collective problem solving work?
- How does information move through social networks?
- Which rules give the best 'game of society?'

Sociotechnical phenomena and algorithms:

Use Play + Crunch to solve problems. Which problems?

The problem

Lengths of successful chains:



From Travers and Milgram (1969) in Sociometry: [9] "An Experimental Study of the Small World Problem."

The problem


Two features characterize a social 'Small World':

- 1. Short paths exist, (= Geometric piece)
- 2. People are good at finding them. (= Algorithmic piece)

Social Search

Milgram's small world experiment with email:





Small-world networks 12 of 66

Small-world networks

& 60,000+ participants in 166 countries

18 targets in 13 countries including

a professor at an Ivy League university,

Social search—the Columbia experiment

an archival inspector in Estonia, a technology consultant in India,

a policeman in Australia,

a veterinarian in the Norwegian army.

24,000+ chains

We were lucky and contagious (more later):

"Using E-Mail to Count Connections" , Sarah Milstein, New York Times, Circuits Section (December, 2001)

All targets: Table S1

Small-world networks

Reference

Table 31								
Target	City	Country	Occupation	Gender	N	$N_c(\%)$	r (r_0)	<l></l>
1	Novosibirsk	Russia	PhD student	F	8234	20(0.24)	64 (76)	4.05
2	New York	USA	Writer	F	6044	31 (0.51)	65 (73)	3.61
3	Bandung	Indonesia	Unemployed	M	8151	0	66 (76)	n/a
4	New York	USA	Journalist	F	5690	44 (0.77)	60 (72)	3.9
5	Ithaca	USA	Professor	M	5855	168 (2.87)	54 (71)	3.84
6	Melbourne	Australia	Travel Consultant	F	5597	20 (0.36)	60 (71)	5.2
7	Bardufoss	Norway	Army veterinarian	M	4343	16 (0.37)	63 (76)	4.25
8	Perth	Australia	Police Officer	M	4485	4 (0.09)	64 (75)	4.5
9	Omaha	USA	Life Insurance	F	4562	2 (0.04)	66 (79)	4.5
			Agent					
10	Welwyn Garden City	UK	Retired	M	6593	1 (0.02)	68 (74)	4
11	Paris	France	Librarian	F	4198	3 (0.07)	65 (75)	5
12	Tallinn	Estonia	Archival Inspector	M	4530	8 (0.18)	63(79)	4
13	Munich	Germany	Journalist	M	4350	32 (0.74)	62 (74)	4.66
14	Split	Croatia	Student	M	6629	0	63 (77)	n/a
15	Gurgaon	India	Technology	M	4510	12 (0.27)	67 (78)	3.67
			Consultant					
16	Managua	Nicaragua	Computer analyst	M	6547	2 (0.03)	68 (78)	5.5
17	Katikati	New Zealand	Potter	M	4091	12 (0.3)	62 (74)	4.33
18	Elderton	USA	Lutheran Pastor	M	4438	9 (0.21)	68 (76)	4.33
Totals	1				98,847	384 (0.4)	63 (75)	4.05

Small-world networks 14 of 66 Small-world network

References

Social search—the Columbia experiment

Milgram's participation rate was roughly 75%

& Email version: Approximately 37% participation rate.

Probability of a chain of length 10 getting through:

 $.37^{10} \simeq 5 \times 10^{-5}$

 \Rightarrow 384 completed chains (1.6% of all chains).

Small-world networks 15 of 66 Small-world network

Motivation/Incentives/Perception matter.


Social search—the Columbia experiment


- If target seems reachable ⇒ participation more likely.
- Small changes in attrition rates ⇒ large changes in completion rates
- \Leftrightarrow e.g., \searrow 15% in attrition rate \Rightarrow \nearrow 800% in completion rate

Social search—the Columbia experiment

References

Comparing successful to unsuccessful chains:





Small-world networks Small-world network

References

Social search—the Columbia experiment

Successful chains disproportionately used:

& Weak ties, Granovetter [5]

Professional ties (34% vs. 13%)

Ties originating at work/college

Target's work (65% vs. 40%)

...and disproportionately avoided

hubs (8% vs. 1%) (+ no evidence of funnels)

amily/friendship ties (60% vs. 83%)

Geography → Work

Small-world network

Small-world networks 18 of 66

Small-world network

References

Small-world network Small-world network

References

Social search—the Columbia experiment

Senders of successful messages showed little absolute dependency on

🚳 age, gender

acountry of residence

income

religion

relationship to recipient

Range of completion rates for subpopulations:

30% to 40%

Small-world networks 21 of 66

Small-world networks

Small-world networks

References

23 of 66

Experiments

Harnessing social search:

Usefulness:

Can distributed social search be used for something big/good?

What about something evil? (Good idea to check.)

What about socio-inspired algorithms for information search? (More later.)

For real social search, we have an incentives problem.

Nhich kind of influence mechanisms/algorithms would help propagate search?

Fun, money, prestige, ...?

Must be 'non-gameable.'

Social search—the Columbia experiment

Mildly bad for continuing chain:

choosing recipients because "they have lots of friends" or because they will "likely continue the chain."

Why:

Specificity important

Successful links used relevant information. (e.g. connecting to someone who shares same profession as target.)

Red balloons:

A Grand Challenge:

🚳 1969: The Internet is born 🗹 (the ARPANET —four nodes!).

Originally funded by DARPA who created a grand Network Challenge of for the 40th anniversary.

Saturday December 5, 2009: DARPA puts 10 red weather balloons up during the day.

Each 8 foot diameter balloon is anchored to the ground somewhere in the United States.

A Challenge: Find the latitude and longitude of each balloon.

& Prize: \$40,000.

*DARPA = Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency .

Social search—the Columbia experiment

Basic results:

 $\langle L \rangle = 4.05$ for all completed chains

& L_* = Estimated 'true' median chain length (zero attrition)

 \clubsuit Intra-country chains: $L_* = 5$

 \clubsuit Inter-country chains: $L_* = 7$

All chains: $L_* = 7$

 $\mathcal{L}_{*} \simeq 9$

Where the balloons were: Small-world networks



Small-world networks 24 of 66 Small-world network

Small-world networks

Small-world network

Small-world network

Small-world network

26 of 66

Reference

25 of 66

References

Finding red balloons:

The winning team and strategy:

MIT's Media Lab won in less than 9 hours. [7]

Pickard et al. "Time-Critical Social Mobilization," [7] Science Magazine, 2011.

People were virally recruited online to help out.

A Idea: Want people to both (1) find the balloons, and (2) involve more people.

Recursive incentive structure with exponentially decaying payout:

\$2000 for correctly reporting the coordinates of a balloon.

\$1000 for recruiting a person who finds a balloon.

\$500 for recruiting a person who recruits the balloon finder, ...

(Not a Ponzi scheme.)

True victory: Colbert interviews Riley Crane

Finding balloons:

Clever scheme:

Max payout = \$4000 per balloon.

Individuals have clear incentives to both

1. involve/source more people (spread), and

2. find balloons (goal action).

Gameable?

Limit to how much money a set of bad actors can extract.

Extra notes:

MIT's brand helped greatly.

MIT group first heard about the competition a few days before. Ouch.

A number of other teams did well .

Worthwhile looking at these competing strategies. [7]

Collective Detective:

Once again, social media proved to be a powerful dragnet, Around 1:1 p.m., a Washingtonian posted a picture on Twitter of Rusty in a patch of weeds in the Adams Morgan district, not far from the 163-acre 200, which was created in 1889 by an act of Congress. "Red panda in our neighborhood," wrote Ashley Foughty, who identified herself as a singer actress and traveler. "Please come save him!"

Autother neighbor posted a photograph of two zo workers, one in safer short's standing on a rooftup, one holding a giant butterfly net. Soon the short's standing on a rooftup, one holding a giant butterfly net. Soon the zoon amounteed: "Busty the red pands hab been recovered, crited & is headed safely back to the National Zoot" Another neighbor posted a photograph of two zoo workers, one in safari

Nature News: "Crowdsourcing in manhunts can work: Despite mistakes over the Boston bombers, social media can help to find people quickly" by Philip Ball (April 26, 2013)

Motherboard, Vice: One Degree of Separation in the Forever War 🗹 by Brian Castner (November 11, 2015)

Small-world network 28 of 66 Small-world network

The PoCSverse

Small-world no

Small-world networks 27 of 66

References

Small-world network 29 of 66 Small-world network

The social world appears to be small ...why?

Theory: how do we understand the small world property?

& Connected random networks have short average path lengths:

$$\langle d_{AB} \rangle \sim \log(N)$$

N = population size,

 d_{AB} = distance between nodes A and B.

But: social networks aren't random ...

Small-world networks 32 of 66


Small-world networks

Small-world network:

Small-world networks

Reference

Randomness + regularity



Now have $d_{AB}=3$

 $\langle d \rangle$ decreases overall

The structural small-world property:

Small-world networks 35 of 66 Small-world network

The PoCSverse Small-world networks

Small-world network

References


C(p) / C(0)0.6 0.4 L(p) / L(0)0.2 0.1 0.0001 0.001 0.01

& L(p) = average shortest path length as a function of p

р

C(p) = average clustring as a function of p

Simple socialness in a network:



Need "clustering" (your friends are likely to know each other):

Small-world networks

Introduced by Watts and Strogatz (Nature, 1998) [11] "Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks."

Small-world networks were found everywhere:

neural network of C. elegans,

& semantic networks of languages,

actor collaboration graph,

nod webs,

social networks of comic book characters, ...

Very weak requirements:

& local regularity + random short cuts

Previous work—finding short paths


But are these short cuts findable?

Nope. [6]

Nodes cannot find each other quickly with any local search method.


Need a more sophisticated model ...

Non-randomness gives clustering:



 $d_{AB} = 10 \rightarrow$ too many long paths.

Papers should be apps:



🚵 Bret Victor's Scientific Communication As Sequential Art 🗹

Interactive figures and tables = windows into large data sets (empirical or simulated).

Small-world networks

Small-world network

Previous work—finding short paths

What can a local search method reasonably use?

A How to find things without a map?

Need some measure of distance between friends and the target.

Some possible knowledge:

Target's identity

Friends' popularity

Friends' identities Where message has been Small-world network

Small-world networks 38 of 66

Small-world network

Small-world n

Previous work—finding short paths

Jon Kleinberg (Nature, 2000) [6] "Navigation in a small world."

Allowed to vary:

- 1. local search algorithm and

2. network structure.

Previous work—finding short paths

Kleinberg's Network:

- 1. Start with regular d-dimensional cubic lattice.
- 2. Add local links so nodes know all nodes within a distance q.
- 3. Add *m* short cuts per node.
- 4. Connect i to j with probability

$$p_{ij} \propto x_{ij}^{-\alpha}$$
.

 $\alpha = 0$: random connections.

 α large: reinforce local connections.

 $\alpha = d$: connections grow logarithmically in space.

Small-world networks

Small-world networks 41 of 66

Small-world networks

Small-world networks

Small-world networks

Theory Generalized aff

The problem


 $(2001)^{[1]}$

Basic idea: get to hubs first

But: hubs in social networks are limited.

(airline networks).

If there are no hubs and no underlying lattice, how can search be efficient?



Previous work—finding short paths

Adamic et al.

where k = degree of node i (number of friends).

 $P(k_i) \propto k_i^{-\gamma}$

Which friend of a is closest to the target b?

What is 'social distance'?



What does 'closest' mean?

Previous work—finding short paths

Theoretical optimal search:

Greedy" algorithm.

Number of connections grow logarithmically (slowly) in space: $\alpha = d$.

Social golf.

Search time grows slowly with system size (like $\log^2 N$).

But: social networks aren't lattices plus links.

Models

One approach: incorporate identity.

Identity is formed from attributes such as:

Geographic location

Type of employment

Religious beliefs

Recreational activities.

Groups are formed by people with at least one similar attribute.


Attributes ⇔ Contexts ⇔ Interactions ⇔ Networks.

Small-world networks 44 of 66

Small-world network

References

Bipartite affiliation structures:



Many real-world networks have an underlying multi-partite structure.

Stories-tropes. Boards and directors. Films-actors-directors.

Classes-teachersstudents.


Upstairs-downstairs.

Unipartite networks may be induced or co-exist.

Social distance—Context distance

Generalized affiliation

46 of 66



Small-world network 49 of 66

The PoCSverse

Small-world networks 48 of 66

Small-world network

References


Models

Small-world networks 47 of 66 Small-world network

Generalized affiliation network

References

Distance between two individuals x_{ij} is the height of lowest common ancestor.



$$x_{ij} = 3, x_{ik} = 1, x_{iv} = 4.$$

Small-world network 50 of 66 Small-world network

Generalized affiliation

A Individuals are more likely to know each other the closer they are within a hierarchy.

& Construct z connections for each node using

$$p_{ij} = c \mathrm{exp}\{-\alpha x_{ij}\}.$$


 $\alpha = 0$: random connections.

 α large: local connections.

The model

Small-world networks 51 of 66 Small-world networks

Triangle inequality doesn't hold:




$$y_{ik} = 4 > y_{ij} + y_{jk} = 1 + 1 = 2.$$

The model-results

Small-world networks 54 of 66 Small-world network

Milgram's Nebraska-Boston data:



Model parameters:


 $N = 10^8,$

$$b = 10,$$

$$L_{\rm data} \simeq 6.5$$

Models

Generalized affiliation networks



& Blau & Schwartz [2], Simmel [8], Breiger [3], Watts et al. [10]; see also Google+ Circles.

Small-world networks

Small-world network:

Small-world networks

Small-world network

Generalized affiliation r

References

The model

- Individuals know the identity vectors of
 - 1. themselves.
 - 2. their friends, and
 - 3. the target.
- Individuals can estimate the social distance between their friends and the target.
- Use a greedy algorithm + allow searches to fail randomly.

Small-world network

Generalized affiliation n

References

Social search—Data

58 of 66

Small-world network

Small-world networks 57 of 66


Small-world network


References

Adamic and Adar (2003)

- For HP Labs, found probability of connection as function of organization distance well fit by exponential distribution.
- $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}$ Probability of connection as function of real distance $\propto 1/r$.

The model






 $\vec{v}_i = [1 \ 1 \ 1]^T, \vec{v}_i = [8 \ 4 \ 1]^T$ $x_{ij}^1 = 4$, $x_{ij}^2 = 3$, $x_{ij}^3 = 1$.

Social distance: $y_{ij} = \min_h x_{ij}^h$

The model-results—searchable networks



q = probability an arbitrary message chain reaches a target.

- A few dimensions help.
- Searchability decreases as population increases.
- Precise form of hierarchy largely doesn't matter.

Social Search—Real world uses

Small-world networks Small-world network

References

Generalized affiliation network

Tags create identities for objects & Website tagging: bitly.com

& (e.g., Wikipedia)

Dynamic creation of metadata plus links between information objects.

Folksonomy: collaborative creation of metadata

Small-world network 59 of 66

Small-world network

Generalized affiliation

References

Social Search—Real world uses

Recommender systems:

- Amazon uses people's actions to build effective connections between books.
- & Conflict between 'expert judgments' and tagging of the hoi polloi.

Nutshell for Small-World Networks:

- Bare networks are typically unsearchable.
- Paths are findable if nodes understand how network is formed.
- Importance of identity (interaction contexts).
- Improved social network models.
- Construction of peer-to-peer networks.
- Construction of searchable information databases.

Small-world networks References I

Small-world networks

The PoCSverse Small-world networks 62 of 66

Small-world networks

Nutshell

References

[1] L. Adamic, R. Lukose, A. Puniyani, and B. Huberman. Search in power-law networks. Phys. Rev. E, 64:046135, 2001. pdf

[2] P. M. Blau and J. E. Schwartz. Crosscutting Social Circles. Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1984.

[3] R. L. Breiger. The duality of persons and groups. Social Forces, 53(2):181–190, 1974. pdf

[4] P. S. Dodds, R. Muhamad, and D. J. Watts. An experimental study of search in global social networks. Science, 301:827-829, 2003. pdf

References II

[5] M. Granovetter. The strength of weak ties. Am. J. Sociol., 78(6):1360-1380, 1973. pdf

[6] J. Kleinberg. Navigation in a small world. Nature, 406:845, 2000. pdf

[7] G. Pickard, W. Pan, I. Rahwan, M. Cebrian, R. Crane, A. Madan, and A. Pentland. Time-critical social mobilization. Science, 334:509-512, 2011. pdf

[8] G. Simmel. The number of members as determining the sociological form of the group. I. American Journal of Sociology, 8:1-46, 1902.

Small-world networks 64 of 66

Small-world networks

References

References III

[9] J. Travers and S. Milgram. An experimental study of the small world problem. Sociometry, 32:425-443, 1969. pdf

[10] D. J. Watts, P. S. Dodds, and M. E. J. Newman. Identity and search in social networks. Science, 296:1302-1305, 2002. pdf

[11] D. J. Watts and S. J. Strogatz. Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks. Nature, 393:440-442, 1998. pdf

Small-world networks 66 of 66

Small-world network

References

Small-world network 65 of 66 Small-world network

References